

Modal Logics from Categories of Coalgebras

Alexander Kurz
University of Leicester

2nd June 2003

Overview

- A Brief Review of Coalgebras
- Coalgebraic Semantics of Modal Logic
(Stone Coalgebras, with C. Kupke and Y. Venema, Amsterdam)
- Modal Logics from Categories of Coalgebras
(with M. Bonsangue, Leiden)

Part I: A Brief Review of Coalgebras

Coalg(T)

Functor

$$T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

Coalgebra $A = (A, \alpha)$

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} TA$$

Morphism $(A, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \beta)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & TA \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow Tf \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & TB \end{array}$$

Example: Kripke Frames

Functor

$$\begin{aligned} T : \mathbf{Set} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ X &\mapsto \mathcal{P}X \end{aligned}$$

Kripke frame

$$X \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{P}X$$

for $f : X \rightarrow Y$

$$Tf : \mathcal{P}X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}Y \quad , \quad X \supseteq Z \mapsto f(Z)$$

Example: Kripke Models

A set of propositional variables Prop , a two-element set $2 = \{0, 1\}$

Functor

$$TX = \mathcal{P}X \times \prod_{\text{Prop}} 2$$

Coalgebra

$$X \xrightarrow{\langle \xi, v \rangle} \mathcal{P}X \times \prod_{\text{Prop}} 2$$

$$\xi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$$

$$v(x)_p \in 2 \quad \text{for } x \in X, \quad p \in \text{Prop}$$

Example: Labelled Transitions Systems

$$T : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$TX = \mathcal{P}(\text{Act} \times X)$$

Process [Milner, 1983]: initial state, equality is bisimulation.

Processes are precisely the elements in the final coalgebra [Aczel, 1988].

Behavioural Equivalence

Given a functor $T : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, behavioural equivalence (bisimulation) for T -coalgebras is defined as follows.

Definition: Let \sim be the smallest equivalence relation such that for all morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$

$$(A, a) \sim (B, f(a)).$$

If $(A, a) \sim (B, b)$ we say (A, a) and (B, b) are **behaviourally equivalent**.

Final Coalgebras

Definition: Z is the **final** T -coalgebra iff for all T -coalgebras A there is a unique morphism

$$!_A : A \rightarrow Z.$$

Fact: $(A, a), (B, b)$ are behaviourally equivalent iff

$$!_A(a) = !_B(b).$$

Examples:

Coalgebras	Carrier Z of the Final Coalgebra
$A \longrightarrow O \times A$	$O^{\mathbb{N}}$
$A \longrightarrow 2 \times A^I$	all languages $L \subseteq I^*$
$A \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}A$	Aczel's universe of non-well founded sets
$A \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Act \times A)$	equivalence classes of processes up to bisimulation

$\text{Alg}(T)$

Functor

$$T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

Algebra $A = (A, \alpha)$

$$TA \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$$

Morphism $(A, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \beta)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A \\ \downarrow Tf & & \downarrow f \\ TB & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \end{array}$$

Duality of Algebras and Coalgebras

- $\text{Coalg}(T) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is dual to $\text{Alg}(T^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}}$.
- Why algebras for *signatures* and coalgebras for *functors* ?
 - For $T : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, $\text{Alg}(T)$ can be described by operations and equations.
 - Every category of coalgebras can be described by a signature of co-operations and equations, but these descriptions seem less natural. For example, even for polynomial functors one may need a proper class of operations and equations.
 - Technically: Finite sets are dense in Set but they are codense in Set only if there do not exist arbitrarily large measurable cardinals.
- Modal logic is dual to equational logic.

Part II: Coalgebraic Semantics of Modal Logic

or

Stone Coalgebras

(with C. Kupke and Y. Venema, CMCS'03)

Modal Logic

The modal logic **K** is given as follows.

Syntax: $\varphi ::= \top \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \mid \Box\varphi \mid p \quad , \quad p \in \text{Prop}$

Axioms and Rules:

propositional logic

$$\Box(\varphi \wedge \psi) \leftrightarrow \Box\varphi \wedge \Box\psi$$

from φ derive $\Box\varphi$

Modal Algebras

$(A, \top, \neg, \wedge, \Box)$ is a modal algebra if $(A, 1, \neg, \wedge)$ is a Boolean algebra and

$$\Box(a \wedge b) = \Box a \wedge \Box b$$

$$\Box \top = \top$$

Modal formulae and algebraic equations correspond via

$$\begin{array}{ll} \varphi & \varphi = \top \\ t \leftrightarrow t' & t = t' \end{array}$$

Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \varphi & \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathbf{MA}} \varphi = \top \\ \vdash_{\mathbf{K}} t \leftrightarrow t' & \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathbf{MA}} t = t' \end{array}$$

Kripke Semantics

Kripke model: (X, R, v) where $R \subseteq X \times X$, $v : X \rightarrow \prod_{\text{Prop}} 2$

$$X, R, v, x \models p \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v(x)_p = 1$$

$$X, R, v, x \models \Box \varphi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall y \in X . xRy \Rightarrow X, R, v, y \models \varphi$$

Kripke frame: (X, R)

$$(X, R) \models \varphi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X, R, v, x \models \varphi \quad \text{for all } v, x$$

Kripke Semantics is Incomplete

There is a consistent normal modal logic \mathcal{L} whose class of Kripke frames is empty, that is,

$$\forall (X, R) . (X, R) \not\models \mathcal{L}$$

General Frames

(X, R, A) is a **general frame**

if $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$ contains X and A is closed under Boolean operations and

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_R : \mathcal{P}X &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}X \\ a &\mapsto \{x \in X \mid \forall y . xRy \Rightarrow y \in a\}\end{aligned}$$

Note: $(A, X, (\cdot)^c, \cap, \Box_R)$ is a modal algebra

From Modal Algebras to General Frames

Let $(A, \top, \neg, \wedge, \Box)$ be a modal algebra.

For a Boolean algebra A there is (X, \hat{A}) with $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \hat{A} \\ a & \mapsto & \hat{a} \end{array}$$

Define $xR_A y \Leftrightarrow [\forall a \in A . x \in \widehat{\Box a} \Rightarrow y \in \hat{a}]$.

Then (X, R_A, \hat{A}) is a general frame.

Descriptive General Frames

(X, R, A) is a **descriptive general frame** if

- $x \neq y \Rightarrow \exists$ disjoint $a, b \in A$. $x \in a$ and $y \in b$
- If $B \subseteq A$ and for all finite $B' \subseteq B$ holds $\bigcap B' \neq \emptyset$
then $\bigcap B \neq \emptyset$.
- $R[x] = \bigcap \{a \mid x \in \Box_R a\}$

Coalgebras over Stone Spaces

Stone space: Hausdorff, compact, basis of clopens

The analog of the powerset for Stone spaces is the *Vietoris functor*.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V} : \text{Stone} &\longrightarrow \text{Stone} \\ (X, \tau) &\longmapsto (\{W \subseteq X \mid W \text{ closed}\}, \mathbb{V}\tau)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{V}\tau$ is the topology generated by the sets

$$\{F \mid F \text{ closed}, F \subseteq U\} \quad \{F \mid F \text{ closed}, F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

for all opens $U \in \tau$.

Descriptive General Frames are Coalgebras

Theorem: $\text{DGF} \cong \text{Coalg}(\mathbb{V})$

Proof:

$$(X, R, A) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad (X, \tau) \xrightarrow{\xi} (\mathbb{V}X, \mathbb{V}\tau)$$

A is the set of clopens of τ

τ is the topology generated by A

$$R[x] = \xi(x)$$

$$A \text{ is closed under } \square_R \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \xi \text{ is continuous}$$

$$f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ general frame morphism} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ coalgebra morphism}$$

Descriptive General Frames are Coalgebras (cont'd)

For a coalgebra (X, ξ) a valuation is a function $v : X \rightarrow \prod_{\text{Prop}} 2$.

Prop: Let X be a Stone space.

$v : X \rightarrow \prod_{\text{Prop}} 2$ is continuous iff $\{x \in X \mid v(x)_p = 1\}$ is clopen for all p .

Proof: $\{x \in X \mid v(x)_p = 1\} = v^{-1}(\pi_p^{-1}(\{1\}))$.

Positive Modal Logic

Syntax: $\varphi ::= \top \mid \perp \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \Box\varphi \mid \Diamond\varphi \mid p \quad , \quad p \in \text{Prop}$

Duality: $\text{DistLat}^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Spectral} \ (\cong \text{Priestley})$

Vietoris Functor: $\mathbb{W} : \text{Priestley} \longrightarrow \text{Priestley}$
 $(X, \tau) \longmapsto (\{W \subseteq X \mid W \text{ closed and convex}\}, \mathbb{W}\tau)$

Theorem (Palmigiano, CMCS'03)

$\text{Coalg}(\mathbb{W})$ is dual to the category of positive modal algebras.

Part III: Modal Logics from Categories of Coalgebras

Dualising the Vietoris Functor on Stone Spaces

$\text{Coalg}(\mathbb{V})$ is dual to $\text{Alg}(\mathbb{V}^{\text{op}})$.

Can we describe \mathbb{V}^{op} ‘duality-free’ (algebraic, localic)?

That is, we want to describe $L : \text{BA} \rightarrow \text{BA}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BA} & \xleftarrow{\text{Clp}} & \text{Stone}^{\text{op}} \\ L \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \mathbb{V}^{\text{op}} \\ \text{BA} & \xleftarrow{\text{Clp}} & \text{Stone}^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

Note that then $\text{Alg}(L) \simeq \text{Alg}(\mathbb{V}^{\text{op}})$.

Dualising the Vietoris Functor on Stone Spaces (cont'd)

$$L : \mathbf{BA} \rightarrow \mathbf{BA}$$

LA is the free Boolean algebra generated by

$$\Box a, a \in A$$

and satisfying the relations

$$\Box(a \wedge b) = \Box a \wedge \Box b$$

$$\Box \top = \top$$

The General Picture

Given: concrete duality $\Omega : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}$, functor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Describe the dual $L : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of T by generators and relations.

$$X \xrightarrow{!X} Z \qquad \Omega Z \xleftarrow{i} I_L \xleftarrow{[\cdot]_{\equiv}} I_F$$

where Z final in $\text{Coalg}(T)$, I_L initial in $\text{Alg}(L)$, I_F the algebra of all formulae

For a T -coalgebra (X, ξ) and x in X and φ in I_F define

$$x \models \varphi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad !_X(x) \in i([\varphi]_{\equiv})$$

Summary

I want to emphasise the following two points:

- The modal logic for T -coalgebras is given by the Stone dual L of T . Soundness, completeness, expressivity and invariance of formulas under bisimulation are guaranteed by construction.
- For example, taking the Vietoris functor on Stone spaces we obtain classical modal logic, on spectral spaces we obtain positive modal logic.
- The duality of modal algebras and descriptive general frames is an instance of the duality of algebras and coalgebras for a functor.

Acknowledgements

- Peter Johnstone. Vietoris locales and localic semilattices. In R.-E. Hoffmann and K.H. Hofmann, editors, *Continuous Lattices and their Applications*, volume 101 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pages 155–180. Marcel Dekker, 1985.
- Samson Abramsky. Domain theory in logical form. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 51:1–77, 1991.