EAdd. Exp ::= Exp "+" Exp1;
EMul. Exp1 ::= Exp1 "\*" Integer;
EInt. Exp1 ::= Integer;

coercions Exp 1;

Exp -> Exp '+' Exp1	(3)
Exp -> Exp1	(4)
Exp1 -> Exp1 '*' Integer	(5)
Exp1 -> Integer	(6)
Exp1 -> '(' Exp ')'	(7)

the interesting shift-reduce conflict distinguishing / 3
arises at 23 / 2
the place morked (a)

Stach	h put	CFG Rules	BNFC Rule>	
empty	1+2×3	-		
1	+ 2 × 3			
Integer	t 2×3			
E×pl	+ 2 = 3	6	Elnt	
Εχρ	+ 2 + 3	4		
Exp +	2+3			
Exp+2	*3			
Exp + lutager	<b>*</b> 3			
Exp + Expl	×3	6	E1-+	<b>(4)</b>
Exp + 8 xp( *	ð			
Exp+Exp( & 3				
Exp + Expl = lutage	5		Elut	
Exp + Expl	3		EHII	
Εyρ			GAdd	

readiuput shift apply a rule redoce

	1	+2×3
shift	1+ .	2×3
radoce	Integer	+ 2×3

reductions are only allowed on the top of the stack

From this table exchant the

concrete syntax tree

Exp

Exp

Exp

Exp

Integer

Integer

I t 2 x 3

abstract syntax free

EAdd

Elut Ethol

I Elut Elut

1 2 3