Essential Spectrum of the Laplace and Multiplication operators

Alex H. Room

October 27, 2023

Definition. (Essential spectrum) The essential spectrum¹ of an operator T on a Hilbert space H is defined as the set of all λ such that a Weyl sequence u_n exists for T and λ , i.e. a sequence with the properties:

- $||u_n|| = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N};$
- $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ (where \rightharpoonup denotes weak convergence: $u_n \rightharpoonup u \Leftrightarrow (u_n,g) \rightarrow (u,g) \quad \forall g \in H$);
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(T-\lambda)u_n\| \to 0$.

We can loosen the definition of weak convergence to just require convergence in a dense subspace of H:

Lemma 1. A bounded sequence u_n , $||u_n|| \le C$, is weakly convergent to u in H if and only if it is weakly convergent to u in L where L is a dense subspace of H.

Proof. Weak convergence in H implying the same in L is obvious by the definition. Conversely, take $g \in H$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $||g - \varphi|| < \varepsilon$ for $\varphi \in L$; furthermore by the weak convergence of u_n in L we have $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(u_n - u, \varphi) < \varepsilon$ for $n \ge N$. Then:

$$(u_n - u, g) = (u_n - u, g - \varphi + \varphi) = (u_n - u, g - \varphi) + (u_n - u, \varphi) < ||u_n - u|| ||g - \varphi|| + \varepsilon < \varepsilon(C + 1) \to 0.$$

We will now construct a Weyl sequence for the essential spectrum of the 'Laplacian' or 'free Schrödinger' operator $T = -\Delta$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where Δ is the operator $\Delta f(x) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x)$

Proposition 1. The essential spectrum of the operator $T = -\Delta$ is the closed half-axis $[0, +\infty)$.

Proof. First, note that for the exponential function we have

$$T(\exp)(i\omega x) = \omega^2 \exp(i\omega x). \tag{1}$$

This gives much of the intuition for this proof; the function $\exp_{i\omega}: x \mapsto \exp(i\omega x)$ is not an eigenvector as it is not in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, but it satisfies the eigenvalue equation for T and so any number $\lambda = \omega^2$ - and thus any $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$ - is 'almost' an eigenvalue for T.

We take advantage of this by choosing some smooth bump function $\rho \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\rho\|_2 = 1$. We then define $\rho_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\rho(x/n)$. ρ_n has some nice properties: by a substitution of variables and direct calculation we have $\|\rho_n\|_2 = \|\rho\|_2$, and furthermore any k'th derivative $\rho_n^{(k)}$ of ρ_n converges to 0 in L^2 . Indeed:

$$\|\rho_n^{(k)}\|_2 = \frac{1}{n^k} \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \rho^{(k)}(x/n)\|_2 = \frac{\|\rho^{(k)}\|_2}{n^k} \to 0$$
 (2)

where one can see $\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\rho^{(k)}(x/n)\|_2 = \|\rho^{(k)}\|_2$ by the same calculation as $\|\rho_n\|_2 = \|\rho\|_2$.

Now, let our candidate Weyl sequence be $u_n: x \mapsto \rho_n(x) \exp(i\omega x)$, which truncates $\exp(i\omega x)$ to $\sup \rho_n$; this means u_n is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $||u_n|| = ||\rho_n||_2 = ||\rho||_2 = 1$ by direct calculation, and $u_n \to 0$: we can bound u_n

The essential spectrum has several definitions, the most popular usually denoted $\operatorname{Spec}_{e,i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in order of size. For most well-behaved operators the definitions are equivalent. This particular definition is Weyl's criterion, $\operatorname{Spec}_{e,2}$.

by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}M\mathbf{1}_{(\sup pu_n)}$, where $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of the set A and M is the maximum value of ρ . Then by Lemma 1, we can simply show weak convergence for any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}$, which is dense in L^2 :

$$\begin{split} (u_n,\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n \varphi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M \mathbf{1}_{(\mathrm{supp} u_n)} \varphi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathrm{supp} \varphi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M \varphi \\ &= \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\mathrm{supp} \varphi} \varphi \to 0, \qquad \text{as the integral of } \varphi \text{ is finite and independent of } n. \end{split}$$

Finally, we show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(T-\lambda)u_n\|_2 \to 0$ for $\lambda = \omega^2$:

$$\|(T - \lambda)u_{n}\|_{2} = \|(T(\exp_{i\omega}\rho_{n}) - \omega^{2}(\exp_{i\omega}\rho_{n})\|_{2}$$

$$= \|(T(\exp_{i\omega}\rho_{n}) - T(\exp_{i\omega})\rho_{n}\|_{2} \qquad (by \ equation \ (1))$$

$$= \|\exp_{i\omega}T\rho_{n} - 2\omega \exp_{i\omega}\frac{d}{dx}\rho_{n}\|_{2} \qquad (by \ the \ product \ rule)$$

$$= \|T\rho_{n} - 2\omega\frac{d}{dx}\rho_{n}\|_{2} \qquad (see \ \|\exp_{i\omega}\phi\|_{2} = \|\phi\|_{2} \ for \ any \ \phi \in L^{2})$$

$$\leq \|-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}\rho_{n}\|_{2} + 2\omega\|\frac{d}{dx}\rho_{n}\|_{2} \to 0,$$

converging by equation (2). Thus u_n forms a Weyl singular sequence for T and $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$, as required.

We can use a similar idea for another example to find the essential spectrum of the multiplication operator:

Proposition 2. The essential spectrum of the operator M_f on $L^2(0,1)$, where $M_f u(x) = f(x)u(x)$, is the range of f.

Proof. Similar to before, our initial idea comes from an 'almost-eigenvector'. In this case, if λ is in the range of f with $f(x_0) = \lambda$, we see that $M_f \delta_{x_0} = \lambda \delta_{x_0}$, where δ_{x_0} is the Dirac delta centred at x_0 . Again, δ_{x_0} is not an eigenfunction of M_f as it is not in the correct domain - this time, it isn't even strictly a function (it is a distribution).

Now consider a Friedrichs mollifier ρ . This is a function in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with the property that $\sqrt{n}\rho(ny) \to \delta_0$ as $n \to \infty$; we renormalise it such that $\|\rho\|_2 = 1$, and take the sequence

$$u_n: x \mapsto \begin{cases} \sqrt{n}\rho(n(x-x_0)) & x \in (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

thus u_n "converges to δ_{x_0} " in the sense of distributions. Note that $||u_n||_2 = ||\rho||_2 = 1$ for all n, and this sequence converges weakly to 0:

$$|(u_n,g)| = \int_{\text{supp}u_n} \sqrt{n} \rho(n(x-x_0))g(x) \qquad (for \ any \ g \in L^2(0,1))$$

$$\leq ||u_n||_2 \sqrt{\int_{\text{supp}u_n} |g(x)|^2} \qquad (by \ H\"{o}lder's \ inequality)$$

$$= \sqrt{\int_{\text{supp}u_n} |g(x)|^2} \to 0, \quad \text{as } \text{supp}(u_n) \ \text{decreases to } 0.$$

Then we see $||(M_f - \lambda)u_n||_2$ converges to zero by similar reasoning:

$$||(M_f - \lambda)u_n||_2^2 = \int_{\text{supp}\rho_n} |(f(x) - f(x_0)\sqrt{n}\rho(n(x - x_0))|^2 \qquad (using that \ \lambda = f(x_0))$$

$$= ||(f(x) - f(x_0))^2||_{L^{\infty}(\text{supp}\rho_n)} ||\rho_n^2||_1 \qquad (by \ H\"{o}lder's \ inequality)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \text{supp}\rho_n} ||(f(x) - f(x_0))^2|| \to 0 \qquad (note \ ||\rho_n^2||_{L^1} = ||\rho_n||_2 = 1)$$

converging to zero as ${\rm supp}\rho_n$ shrinks around x_0 by the continuity of f.