

Recall we proved the following theorem earlier.

Thm: (The weak law of large numbers)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with finite expectation.

Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ & $\mu = EX_1$. Then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow[n \text{ in probability}]{P} \mu$$

$$\text{i.e. } \forall \varepsilon > 0, P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

We stated a stronger version.

Thm: (Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid RVs & let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

If $EX_i = \mu$ is finite, then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mu \quad \text{i.e.} \quad P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = \mu\right) = 1,$$

Conversely, if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| < \infty$ with positive probability,

then $EX_i = \mu$ must be finite & $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mu$.

The tool often used to "upgrade" from a/c in prob to almost sure a/c is the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Borel-Cantelli lemmas

Let A_n be a seq of subsets of Ω . Given $\omega \in \Omega$, can ask how many of the A_n 's occur. Let $\limsup A_n$ be the set of those ω 's for which infinitely many of the A_n 's occur. Formally, define $\limsup A_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n = \{\omega \text{ that are in } \infty \text{ many } A_n\}$

$$\liminf A_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n = \{\omega \text{ that are in all but finitely many } A_n\}$$

We can relate these to the notions of \limsup, \liminf defined on functions. We have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \mathbb{1}_{\limsup A_n}$
 $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \mathbb{1}_{\liminf A_n}$

We write $\omega \in \limsup A_n$ as $\omega \in A_n \text{ i.o.}$

Thm: (Borel-Cantelli lemma)

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

pf: 1) $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$
 $\underbrace{\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n}_{\text{nested seq in } m}$ as the tail of a w't st.

pf: 2) $N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_n} : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

$$EN = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty \Rightarrow P(N = \infty) = 0$$

i.e. $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. \triangle

Thm: $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ iff \forall subseq $X_{n(m)}$ has a subseq $X_{n(m_k)}$ that w. to X a.s.

Pf: 1) Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ subseq. $X_{n(m)}$ s.t.

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(|X_{n(m)} - X| > 2^{-m}) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_{n(m)} - X| > 2^{-m} \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

$\forall w \in \{|X_{n(m)} - X| > 2^{-m} \text{ i.o.}\}^c$ we have $X_{n(m)}(w) \rightarrow X(w)$
 so $X_{n(m)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$

2) Suppose $X_n \not\xrightarrow{P} X$.

Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ s.t. $X_{n(m)}$ s.t. $P(|X_{n(m)} - X| > \varepsilon) > \delta \forall m$.

$\Rightarrow \forall$ subseq $X_{n(m_k)}$, $P(|X_{n(m_k)} - X| > \varepsilon) > \delta \forall k$.

$\Rightarrow X_{n(m_k)} \not\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$

△

Thm: (2nd Borel-Cantelli lemma)

If A_1, A_2, \dots are indep & $\sum P(A_n) < \infty$, then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

Example: $A_1 = A_2 = \dots$, $P(A_i) \in (0, 1)$ shows not true w/o indep.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf: } P(\limsup A_n) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n\right) = 1 - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{n \geq m} A_n^c\right) \\ &= 1 - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{n \geq m} A_n^c\right) = 1 - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=m}^M P(A_n^c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\prod_{n=m}^M P(A_n^c) = \prod_{n=m}^M (1 - P(A_n)) \leq \prod_{n=m}^M e^{-P(A_n)} = e^{-\sum_{n=m}^M P(A_n)}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=m}^M P(A_n) \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad \forall m, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=m}^M P(A_n^c) = 0 \text{ so } P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \quad \Delta$$

Thm! If X_1, X_2, \dots are iid w/ $E|X_i| = \infty$, then $P(|X_n| > n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$
 If $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, then

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} \text{ exists in } (-\infty, \infty)\right) = 0.$$

Hence the strong LLN fails if $E|X_i| = \infty$

Prf: 1) by 2nd B-C lemma ETS $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq n) = \infty$

$$E|X| = \int_0^{\infty} P(|X| \geq x) dx = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} P(|X| \geq x) dx \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(|X| \geq n) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq n).$$

2) let $C = \{\omega : \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} \text{ cv in } (-\infty, \infty)\}.$

$$\omega \in C \Rightarrow \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} \text{ cv} \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{but } \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} \right| = \left| \frac{S_n}{n(n+1)} - \frac{X_{n+1}}{n+1} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{S_n}{n(n+1)} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{X_{n+1}}{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow P(C) \leq P\left(\frac{X_{n+1}}{n+1} \rightarrow 0\right)$$

$$\text{But } P(|X_n| > n \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \Rightarrow P\left(\frac{X_n}{n} \rightarrow 0\right) = 0 \text{ so } P(C) = 0. \quad \Delta$$

Thm! (Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers)

let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid RVs & let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

If $EX_i = \mu$ is finite, then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{as.}} \mu \text{ i.e. } P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = \mu\right) = 1,$$

Conversely, if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \infty$ with positive probability,

then $EX_i = \mu$ must be finite & $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{as.}} \mu.$

Pf: (\Leftarrow) we proved that if $E|X| < \infty$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{n} = \infty$ & $X_n \geq k_n$ i.o. w/ prob 1 $\forall k$.
 (\Rightarrow) 1) Truncate

$$\text{let } Y_n = X_n \mathbb{1}_{|X_n| \leq k}.$$

$$T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n.$$

$$\text{ETS } \frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$$

$$\text{Pf: } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > k) \leq \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| > t) dt = E|X_1| < \infty$$

$$\text{so } P(X_n \neq Y_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

$$P(|S_n - T_n| \text{ odd indep of } n) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

\triangle

2) let $X^+ = X \mathbb{1}_{X \geq 0}$, $X^- = X \mathbb{1}_{X < 0}$. we have $X = X^+ + X^-$.

Note that X_n^+ & X_n^- satisfy the assumptions,
 & proving the result for X_n^+ implies it for X_n ,
 so WLOG assume $X_n \geq 0$

This makes S_n increasing, so can use the trick of proving w/c along a subsequence

Ideal WTS $\frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$. ETS $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ $P(|\frac{T_n}{n} - \mu| > \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

Show this by showing $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|\frac{T_n}{n} - \mu| > \varepsilon) < \infty$.

Unfortunately, doesn't have to be the case.

Show this along a subsequence $k(n)$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)} - \mu| > \varepsilon) < \infty.$$

to get $\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$ & use positivity of X_n 's to

squeeze $\frac{T_n}{n}$ between neighboring $\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)}$'s.

let $2 > 1 \geq k(n) = \lfloor 2^n \rfloor$

We have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - E T_{k(n)}| > \varepsilon k(n))$

$$\leq \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Var}(T_{k(n)}^2)}{k(n)^2} = \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}(Y_m)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n: k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2}$$

$$\sum_{n: k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2} = \sum_{n: 2^n \geq m} k(n)^{-2} \leq \sum_{n: 2^n \geq m} \left(\frac{1}{2} 2^n\right)^{-2} = 4 \sum_{n \geq \frac{\log m}{\log 2}} 2^{-2n}$$

$$= 4 \frac{k(n) \text{ term}}{1 - 2^{-2}} \leq 4 \frac{m^{-2}}{1 - 2^{-2}}$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - E T_{k(n)}| > \varepsilon k(n)) \leq \frac{4}{(1 - 2^{-2}) \varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(Y_m^2)}{m^2}$$

$$E Y_m^2 = \int_0^{\infty} 2y P(|Y_m| > y) dy \leq \int_0^m 2y P(|X_1| > y) dy$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(Y_m^2)}{m^2} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{y \leq m} 2y P(|X_1| > y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \mathbf{1}_{y \leq m} \right) 2y P(|X_1| > y) dy$$

look at $2y \sum_{m=\lceil y \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \leq 2y \int_{\lceil y \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} dx = 2y \left(-\frac{1}{x} \right) \Big|_{\lceil y \rceil}^{\infty} = \frac{2y}{\lceil y \rceil} \leq 4$
 if $y > 1$.

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \text{ conv't, so } \exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall y > 0$$

$$2y \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} < C$$

$$\text{so } \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(Y_m^2)}{m^2} \leq C \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| > y) dy = C E|X_1| < \infty,$$

$$\text{so } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad P(|T_{k(n)} - E T_{k(n)}| > \varepsilon k(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{T_{k(n)} - E T_{k(n)}}{k(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad (\text{Dominated convergence thm})$$

$$\text{Now } E Y_k = E X_k \mathbb{1}_{|X_k| \leq k} = E X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \leq k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} E X_1$$

$$\text{so } \frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E X_1$$

$$\text{For } k(n) \leq m < k(n+1)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)}}_{\downarrow \text{a.s.}} \underbrace{\frac{k(n)}{k(n+1)}}_{\downarrow 1} = \frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n+1)} \leq \frac{T_m}{m} \leq \frac{T_{k(n+1)}}{k(n)} = \underbrace{\frac{T_{k(n+1)}}{k(n+1)}}_{\downarrow \text{a.s.}} \underbrace{\frac{k(n+1)}{k(n)}}_{\downarrow 2}$$

$E X_1 \qquad \qquad \qquad E X_1$

$$\text{so almost surely } \frac{1}{2} E X_1 \leq \liminf \frac{T_m}{m} \leq \limsup \frac{T_m}{m} \leq 2 E X_1$$

$$\forall \lambda > 1. \text{ Sending } \lambda \rightarrow 1 \text{ get } \frac{T_m}{m} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E X_1 \quad \triangle$$

Applications of the LLN

1) Weierstrass approximation thm

Thm let $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be cts.

Define the Bernstein poly $B_n f$ by

$$(B_n f)(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right).$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n f = f \text{ unif. on } [0,1]$$

Pf let $p \in [0,1] \in X_1, X_2, \dots$ iid Bernoulli(p)
 $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$

Then $B_n f(p) = E(f(\frac{S_n}{n}))$ so LHS

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(f(\frac{S_n}{n}) - f(p)) = 0 \text{ unif. on } [0,1]$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1} |(B_n f)(p) - f(p)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1} E |f(\frac{S_n}{n}) - f(p)|$$

$$\textcircled{*} = E |f(\frac{S_n}{n}) - f(p)| = E \left(|f(\frac{S_n}{n}) - f(p)| \mathbb{1}_{|\frac{S_n}{n} - p| < \delta} \right) + E \left(|f(\frac{S_n}{n}) - f(p)| \mathbb{1}_{|\frac{S_n}{n} - p| \geq \delta} \right)$$

$$f \text{ cts on cpt } [0,1] \Rightarrow \text{unif. cts} \Rightarrow s(\delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in [0,1] \\ |x-y| < \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

$$\text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \quad s(\delta) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\text{get } \textcircled{*} \leq s(\delta) + 2 \max_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) \cdot P(|\frac{S_n}{n} - p| \geq \delta)$$

\downarrow goes to 0 but need a uniform bd exp.

$$P(|\frac{S_n}{n} - p| \geq \delta) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\frac{S_n}{n})}{\delta^2} = \frac{n \cdot p(1-p)}{n\delta^2} \leq \frac{1}{4n\delta^2}$$

$$\text{so } \textcircled{*} \leq s(\delta) + \frac{2 \max_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)}{4n\delta^2}$$

$$\text{so } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1} |(B_n f)(p) - f(p)| \leq s(\delta) \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

$\delta \rightarrow 0$ get $\textcircled{*} = 0$ so $B_n f \rightarrow f$ unif on $[0,1]$ \triangleleft

Kolmogorov's maximal inequality

$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, X_j 's indep & in $L^2(P)$
Then $\forall \lambda > 0, n \geq 1$
$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k - ES_k| \geq \lambda\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n)}{\lambda^2}$$

Remark: Chebyshev gives the weaker bound $P(|S_n - ES_n| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{\text{Var } S_n}{\lambda^2}$.

Pf: WLOG $EX_i = 0$.

$$\text{NBS } P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n)}{\lambda^2}.$$

Let A_k be the event that S_k is the last of $|S_k| \geq \lambda$.

A_1, \dots, A_n are disjoint so

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \lambda\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E(S_k^2; A_k)}{\lambda^2} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{E(S_n^2)}{\lambda^2}$$
$$E(S_n^2) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n E(S_n^2; A_k)$$
$$\text{ss } E(S_n^2; A_k) \geq E(S_k^2; A_k)$$

$$(S_n - S_k)^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow S_n^2 \geq 2(S_n - S_k)S_k + S_k^2$$

$$\text{so } E(S_n^2; A_k) \geq E(2(S_n - S_k)S_k; A_k) + E(S_k^2; A_k)$$

$$S_n - S_k \text{ indep of } S_k \mathbb{I}_{A_k} \Rightarrow \uparrow = 2E(S_n - S_k)E(S_k \mathbb{I}_{A_k}) \geq 0. \quad \triangle$$