

①

February 6, 2019

A mapping of the form $S(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ is called a linear fractional transformation.

If a, b, c, d satisfy $ad-bc \neq 0$, then $S(z)$ is called a Möbius transformation.

$$\bar{S}^{-1}(z) = \frac{dz-b}{-cz+a}, \quad \bar{S}^{-1} \circ S = S \circ \bar{S}^{-1} = \text{identity}$$

Möbius

Note that $S(z) = \frac{(\lambda a)z + \lambda b}{(\lambda c)z + \lambda d}$, so the coefficients are not unique.

$$\begin{array}{ll} z \mapsto z+a & \text{translation} \\ z \mapsto az & \text{dilation} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} z \mapsto e^{i\theta} z & \text{rotation} \\ z \mapsto z^{-1} & \text{inversion} \end{array}$$

Proposition: If S is a Möbius transformation, then S is a composition of a translation, dilation, and an inversion.

Proof: Let $c=0$. Hence $S(z) = \frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d}$, so

if $S_2(z) = z + \frac{b}{d}$, $S_1(z) = \frac{a}{d}z$, then $S_2 \circ S_1 = S$ ✓

If $c \neq 0$, let $S_1(z) = z + \frac{d}{c}$, $S_2(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, $S_3(z) = \frac{bc-ad}{c^2}z$

$S_4(z) = z + \frac{a}{c}$. Then $S = S_4 \circ S_3 \circ S_2 \circ S_1$.

How did somebody know to do this? Experimentation...

Suppose $S(z) = z$ (fixed points are useful)

$$\text{Then } az+b = z(cz+d)$$

$$cz^2 + dz - az - b = 0$$

$$= cz^2 + (d-a)z - b = 0.$$

(2)

Solving the quadratic yields at most two values for $S(z) = z$. Indeed, if

$$cz^2 + (d-a)z - b = 0,$$

$$c\left(z^2 + \frac{d-a}{c}z - \frac{b}{c}\right) = 0 \quad \text{unless } c=0.$$

If $c=0$, we are left w/ $(d-a)z = b$, i.e.

$$z = \frac{b}{d-a}, \quad \text{unless } d=a.$$

If $d=a$, then $b=0$ & we get

$S(z) = z$. Returning to $c \neq 0$,

$$z^2 + \frac{d-a}{c}z - \frac{b}{c} = \left(z + \frac{d-a}{2c}\right)^2 - \frac{(d-a)^2}{4c^2} - \frac{b}{c} = 0,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \left(z + \frac{d-a}{2c}\right)^2 = \frac{(d-a)^2}{4c^2} + \frac{b}{c}, \quad \text{which}$$

yields at most two solutions. a, b, c distinct

Let S be a Mobius transformation w/ $\alpha = S(a)$, $\beta = S(b)$, $\gamma = S(c)$. Suppose that T satisfies the same property.

Then $T^{-1} \circ S$ has a, b, c as fixed points, so $S = T$.

It follows that a Mobius map is determined by its action on any three points in \mathbb{C}_{∞} .

Let $z_1, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. If $z_1, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$S(z) = \frac{(z - z_3)}{(z - z_4)} \bigg/ \frac{(z_1 - z_3)}{(z_1 - z_4)}$$

③

$$S(z) = \frac{z - z_3}{z - z_4} \quad \text{if } z_2 = \infty$$

$$S(z) = \frac{z_1 - z_4}{z_1 - z_3} \quad \text{if } z_2 = \infty \longrightarrow S(z_2) = 1$$

$$S(z_3) = 0$$

$$S(z) = \frac{z - z_3}{z_1 - z_3} \quad \text{if } z_2 = \infty$$

$$S(z_4) = \infty.$$

Definition: If $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ then $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \equiv$ image of z_1 called cross-ratio under the unique Mobius transformation that takes $z_1 \rightarrow 1, z_3 \rightarrow 0, z_4 \rightarrow \infty$

Example: $z_2 = 0, z_3 = 1, z_4 = i$

$$S(z) = \left(\frac{z-1}{z-i} \right) \left(\frac{-1}{-i} \right) = -i \left(\frac{z-1}{z-i} \right)$$

Example: $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = 1, (z_1, 1, 0, \infty) = z.$

Proposition: If z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 are distinct and T is Mobius, then

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (Tz_1, Tz_2, Tz_3, Tz_4) \quad \text{for any } \underline{z_1}.$$

proof: Let $Sz = (z, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow$ Mobius

$$\text{If } M = ST^{-1}, \text{ then } M(Tz_2) = ST^{-1}(Tz_2) = Sz_2 = 1$$

Similarly, $M(Tz_3) = 0$ & $M(Tz_4) = \infty$. It follows

$$\text{that } ST^{-1}z = (z, Tz_2, Tz_3, Tz_4) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$$

If $z = Tz_1$, we see that

common theme! $Sz_1 = (Tz_1, Tz_2, Tz_3, Tz_4)$

(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) & we are done.

④

Proposition: If z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 are distinct points in \mathbb{C}_∞ and w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 are also distinct points in \mathbb{C}_∞ , then \exists unique S Mobius \ni

$$Sz_1 = w_1, Sz_2 = w_2, Sz_3 = w_3, Sz_4 = w_4$$

Proof: Let $Tz = (z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$, $Mz = (z, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$ and put $S = M^{-1}T$

— yet again!

The point is that $Sz_1 = w_1, Sz_2 = w_2, Sz_3 = w_3, Sz_4 = w_4$

by construction!

If R is another such map, $R \circ S$ has three fixed points, so $\underline{R = S}$.

Three points determine a circle. A circle in \mathbb{C}_∞ passing through ∞ is a straight line in \mathbb{C} . Please review this if necessary.

Proposition: Let z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 be four distinct points in \mathbb{C}_∞ . Then $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{R}$ iff z_i 's lie on a circle.

Proof: Let $S: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ be defined by $Sz = (z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$.

Then $S^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{z: (z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Therefore, it is enough to show that the image of \mathbb{R}_∞ under a Mobius transformation is a circle. We proceed very directly.

$$\text{Let } Sz = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad z = x \in \mathbb{R} \quad w = S^{-1}x \neq \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow S(w) = \overline{S(w)}$$

⑤

It follows that

$$\frac{a\bar{w}+b}{c\bar{w}+d} = \frac{\overline{a\bar{w}+b}}{\overline{c\bar{w}+d}} \Rightarrow$$

$$(a\bar{c}-\bar{a}c)|w|^2 + (a\bar{d}-\bar{a}d)w + (b\bar{c}-\bar{b}c)\bar{w} + (b\bar{d}-\bar{b}d)=0$$

Case 1: $a\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow a\bar{c}-\bar{a}c=0$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 2(a\bar{d}-\bar{a}d); \beta = i(b\bar{d}-\bar{b}d)$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}w = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}\bar{w} + \frac{\beta}{i} = 0$$

$$\frac{i}{2}(\alpha w - \bar{\alpha}\bar{w}) + \beta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \underbrace{\text{Im}(\alpha w) - \beta}_{\text{real}} = \text{Im}(\alpha w - \beta)$$

This implies that $w \in \text{line}$ w/ α, β fixed.

If $a\bar{c} \notin \mathbb{R}$ (Case 2): we get

$$|w|^2 + \gamma w + \bar{\gamma}\bar{w} - \delta = 0 \quad \text{for some } \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow |w + \gamma| = (|\gamma|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\left| \frac{a\bar{d}-b\bar{c}}{a\bar{c}-\bar{a}c} \right| \neq 0 \quad \text{we have a circle!}$$

⑥

Theorem: A Möbius transformation takes circles onto circles

proof: Let Γ be any circle in \mathbb{C}_∞ & let S be Möbius.

Let z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 distinct on Γ & define $w_j = Sz_j, j=1,2,3,4$.

$\implies w_j$'s determine a circle Γ'

Claim: $\Gamma' = S(\Gamma)$. By above,

$$(z, z_1, z_2, z_3) = (Sz, w_1, w_2, w_3).$$

If $z \in \Gamma$, then $\text{LHS} \in \mathbb{R} \implies \text{RHS} \in \mathbb{R}$

$\implies Sz \in \Gamma' \checkmark$