

①

Math 173 September 12, 2022

Definition: An $m \times n$ matrix R is called row-reduced if:

- a) The first non-zero entry in each row of R is equal to 1.
- b) Each column of R which contains the leading non-zero entry of some row has all its other entries 0.

Row-reduced example: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Not row-reduced example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \textcircled{2} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Question: Is every matrix equivalent to a row-reduced matrix?

(2)

Theorem: Every $m \times n$ matrix over a field F is row-equivalent to a row reduced matrix.

Proof: Consider the first k ($k \leq m$) rows of your matrix and assume, inductively, that they have been row reduced such that the resulting $k \times n$ matrix is a row-reduced matrix. If all the entries of the next row are 0, there is nothing more to do there, otherwise identify the first non-zero entry of that row. If this entry is underneath the leading entry of one of the previous rows, turn it into 0 by applying R_2 . If there is another entry in this row sitting underneath a leading entry of another row, turn it 0 by applying R_2 w/ respect to that other row and note that none of the previous entries are affected. Proceeding in this way completes the proof.

Fun question: What is the maximum number of steps required to row reduce an $m \times n$ matrix by the method above?

Questions of this type were considered in Math 150, albeit in a different context.

(3)

you probably noticed that row-reduced matrices are not unique in the sense that there is more than way to row-reduce a matrix. For example, both

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are row-reduced and can be obtained from one another via R_3 .

We want to pin things down a bit more.

Definition: An $m \times n$ matrix R is called a row-reduced echelon matrix if:

- a) R is row-reduced
- b) The zero rows are on the bottom
- c) If rows $1, \dots, r$ are the non-zero rows and the leading non-zero entry is in the column k_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, r$), then $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r$.

(4)

Equivalent formulations are often helpful:

Either every entry in R (row-reduced echelon matrix) is 0, or there exists a positive integer r , $1 \leq r \leq m$, and r positive integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r w/ $1 \leq k_i \leq n$ and

a) $R_{ij} = 0$ for $i > r$, and $R_{ij} = 0$ if $j < k_i$

b) $R_{ik_i} = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq r$

c) $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r$

Non-trivial example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 5: Every $m \times n$ matrix is row-equivalent to a row-reduced echelon matrix.

Proof: Swap finitely many rows in Theorem 4.

⑤

Let's go back to the previous example and compute the solution set to

$$RX = 0,$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_2 - 3x_3 + \frac{x_5}{2} = 0 \\ x_4 + 2x_5 = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Strategy: Let $x_1 = u_1$, $x_3 = u_2$, $x_5 = u_3$

$$\text{We get } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_2 = 3u_2 - \frac{u_3}{2} \\ x_4 = -2u_3 \end{array} \right\}$$

Set $u_1, u_2, u_3 =$ whatever you want
and solve for x_2 & x_4 .

6

In general, we get

$$x_{k_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} c_{1j} u_j = 0$$

$$x_{k_p} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} c_{pj} u_j = 0$$

~ set u_j s to
be whatever
and solve for

x_{k_j} s.

Observation: If $r < n$, then

$RX = 0$ has a non-trivial solution,

i.e. one where not every x_j is 0.

Do you see why?

Theorem 6: If A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $m < n$, then the homogeneous system $AX = 0$ has a non-trivial solution.

Proof: See the remark above.

(7)

Theorem 7: If A is an $n \times n$ (square) matrix, then A is row equivalent to the $n \times n$ identity matrix iff

$AX=0$ has only trivial solutions.

Proof: If A is row-equivalent to \underline{I} ,
identity

$AX=0$ and $\underline{I}X=0$ have the same solutions.

Conversely, suppose that $AX=0$ has only the trivial solution $X=0$. Let R be the $n \times n$ row-reduced echelon matrix row-equivalent to A , and let r be the number of non-zero rows of R . If $r < n$, $RX=0$ has non-trivial solutions, (why?)

Therefore, $\underline{r=n}$ and the reduced row echelon scheme guarantees that R is the identity matrix.