

Parcellation of the mouse brain using molecular imaging

Alex Lee

1 Specific Aims

Parallel technological advances in molecular biology and microscopy offer modern scientists the opportunity to make spatially resolved measurement of large numbers of proteomic or transcriptomic features at unprecedented speed and scale. These high-fidelity molecular mapping techniques are promising to catalog the enormous diversity of cell types in the complex organs like the brain, which has been recognized since the early work of Ramon y Cajal¹. In particular, large scale collaborations such as those facilitated by the NIH BRAIN initiative² are generating multimodal and cross-species datasets at unprecedented scale towards precisely elucidate the structural organization of the brain and its relationship to brain function.

Two critical areas of interest in the neuroscience community are diversity in gene expression³ and connectivity patterns^{4,5}, and how they interact in the entangled circuitry of the brain. Single-cell sequencing studies^{3,6,7} in the mouse have made significant progress towards charting cell type gradients as defined by transcriptomic content; likewise, computational efforts have been developed for very fine-grained connectivity mapping and clustering⁸. Molecular atlases such as the Allen Institute’s Common Coordinate Framework⁹ (CCF) atlas are critical to help organize inquiries into these phenomena by facilitating comparisons across studies and spatial scales. One strength of these atlases is their extensive manual curation, starting from the level of image registration and quality-checking towards consensus decisions by expert anatomists to delineate subregions and their boundaries. The ubiquity of high-fidelity molecular imaging data provides a unique opportunity to study the correspondence between these expert annotations and structures and patterns that can be identified in these data using modern machine learning techniques.

However, existing techniques for spatial subregion detection are poorly suited for analysis of large-scale, 3D imaging data, featuring methods that are poorly scalable such as gaussian processes¹⁰. Other classes of promising methods would require assumptions to be made about the size of the spatial neighborhood for cellular interaction, which is difficult to assess with serial sections¹¹. In addition, there are few techniques suited for multimodal data integration

of the type that would be useful for integration of connectivity and spatial information, and most methods focus on spatial transcriptomics exclusively.

I propose to address this unmet need by developing computational methods for machine learning-based spatial subregion detection, with applicability to existing and in-development datasets from the Allen Institute for Brain Science. I will use these techniques to map the organization of functional subregions in high resolution spatial transcriptomics datasets, and use interpretable statistical techniques to understand the cell type contributions to these subregions. I will then make use of these techniques to integrate this spatial transcriptomics dataset with the existing Allen Mouse Connectivity Atlas⁴, identifying genetic correlates of differential connectivity in transcriptomically similar cells.

1.1 Aim 1: establish a method for the parcellation and analysis of transcriptomic imaging data

I hypothesize that the mouse brain's subregions vary in terms of only a small number of the different cell types. To investigate this I will build on previous models for interpretable, stability-driven automatic subregion detection¹² and extend them to the setting of spatial transcriptomics datasets, which are both high dimensional and very sparse. I will then characterize these subregions by analyzing the relative contribution of different cell types to these different identified regions.

1.2 Aim 2: establish an analysis pipeline for the integration of transcriptomic and connectomic imaging data

I will study the relationship between gene expression and connectivity by developing statistical methods to test whether particular genes are indicative of differential connectivity. I will benchmark methods on their ability to capture previously identified connectivity-genetic relationships and test whether single cell features or regional features are more predictive of differential connectivity.

1.3 Significance:

some other stuff

2 Background

3 Aim 1: establish a method for the parcellation and analysis of transcriptomic imaging data

Aim 2: establish an analysis pipeline for the integration of transcriptomic and connectomic imaging data

1. Cajal, S. R. y. *Texture of the nervous system of man and the vertebrates*. (Springer, 1999). doi:[10.1007/978-3-7091-6435-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-6435-8).
2. NIH BRAIN initiative launches projects to develop cell atlases and molecular tools for cell access. National institute of mental health (NIMH). <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/news/science-news/2022/nih-brain-initiative-launches-projects-to-develop-cell-atlases-and-molecular-tools-for-cell-access> (2022).
3. Tasic, B. *et al.* Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature* **563**, 72–78 (2018).
4. Oh, S. W. *et al.* A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature* **508**, 207–214 (2014).
5. Sun, Y.-C. *et al.* Integrating barcoded neuroanatomy with spatial transcriptional profiling enables identification of gene correlates of projections. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 873–885 (2021).
6. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Molecular architecture of the mouse nervous system. *Cell* **174**, 999–1014.e22 (2018).
7. Bandler, R. C. *et al.* Single-cell delineation of lineage and genetic identity in the mouse brain. *Nature* **601**, 404–409 (2022).
8. Knox, J. E. *et al.* High-resolution data-driven model of the mouse connectome. *Netw Neurosci* **3**, 217–236 (2018).
9. Wang, Q. *et al.* The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: A 3D reference atlas. *Cell* **181**, 936–953.e20 (2020).
10. Townes, F. W. & Engelhardt, B. E. Nonnegative spatial factorization applied to spatial genomics. *Nat Methods* **20**, 229–238 (2023).
11. Brbić, M. *et al.* Annotation of spatially resolved single-cell data with STELLAR. *Nat Methods* **19**, 1411–1418 (2022).
12. Wu, S. *et al.* Stability-driven nonnegative matrix factorization to interpret spatial gene expression and build local gene networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 4290–4295 (2016).