

# MA4H9 Modular Forms - Lecture Notes

Based on lectures by Dr Peter Bruin

Typeset by Alex J. Best

October 25, 2013

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Motivation</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Historical prologue: Sums of squares . . . . .	3
2.1.1	Formulas for $r_k(n)$ . . . . .	3
2.1.2	Generating series for $r_k(n)$ . . . . .	4
2.2	$\mathbb{H}$ and the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . . . . .	5
2.3	The modular group . . . . .	5
2.4	A fundamental domain . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Modular forms</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Eisenstein series</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5</b>	<b><math>q</math>-expansions of Eisenstein series</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Motivation: Lattices in <math>\mathbb{C}</math></b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>More examples: the modular form <math>\Delta</math> and the modular function <math>j</math></b>	<b>13</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>The valence formula</b>	<b>14</b>

## 1 Introduction

These are lecture notes for the 2013 Modular forms course, taught at the University of Warwick by Peter Bruin.

The recommended books are:

- F. Diamond & J. Sherman - A first course in Modular Forms
- J. S. Milne - Modular functions and Modular forms

- T. Miyake - Modular Forms
- J-P. Serre - Cours d'Arithmetique

## 2 Motivation

Lecture 1

The big picture:

### 2.1 Historical prologue: Sums of squares

Famous question: given integers  $n \geq 0$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , in how many ways can  $n$  be written as a sum of  $k$  squares (of integers).

In other words, what is

$$r_k(n) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 = n\}?$$

Note that sign changes and permutations of  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  count separately.

**Example.**  $k = 5$ ,  $n = 2$

$$5 = 1^2 + 2^2 = (-1)^2 + 2^2 = 1^2 + (-2)^2 = (-1)^2 + (-2)^2 = 2^2 + 1^2 = \dots$$

So  $r_2(5) = 8$ .

We can reinterpret this geometrically (using Pythagoras) as the statement that there are 8 points in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of distance  $\sqrt{5}$  from the origin.

Trivial cases:  $k = 0$ ,  $k = 1$ .

$$r_0(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otw} \end{cases}, \quad r_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ 2, & n \text{ is a square} \\ 0, & \text{otw} \end{cases}$$

The case:  $k = 2$ .

Diophantus in the 3<sup>rd</sup> century showed that if  $m$ ,  $n$  are numbers each of which is the sum of two squares then  $mn$  is also a sum of two squares. In our language this says that if  $r_2(m) > 0$  and  $r_2(n) > 0$  then  $r_2(mn) > 0$ .

**Exercise.** Prove this!

Fermat (1637): If  $n$  is a positive odd integer then  $n$  is a sum of two squares  $\iff$  every prime number  $p \mid n$  with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  occurs an even number of times in the factorisation of  $n$ .

In particular a prime  $p$  is a sum of two squares  $\iff p = 2$  or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

#### 2.1.1 Formulas for $r_k(n)$

Jacobi (1829):  $r_2(n) = 4 \sum_{d \mid n} \chi(d)$ , for  $n > 0$ . Where

$$\chi(d) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2 \mid d \\ 1, & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1, & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Gauss (1801) found a formula for  $r_3(n)$ , but it is much more complicated.

Jacobi (1829):  $r_4(n) = 8 \sum_{d|n, 4 \nmid d} d$  for all  $n > 0$ . In particular the positivity of this sum implies Lagrange's Theorem.

Jacobi, F. G. Eisenstein, H. J. Smith found that

$$r_6(n) = \sum_{d|n} (16\chi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 4\chi(d))d^2 \text{ and } r_8(n) = \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{n-d}d^3.$$

J. Liouville (1864/65) found

$$r_{10}(n) = \frac{4}{5} \sum_{d|n} (16\chi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) + \chi(d))d^2 + \frac{8}{5} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]}.$$

For even  $n$  the formula

$$r_{12}(n) = 8 \sum_{d|n} d^5 - 512 \sum_{d|\frac{n}{4}} d^5$$

holds, the second sum is omitted if  $4 \nmid n$ .

**Remark.** We note the following about the above formulae:

- Many are sums over the positive divisors of  $n$ .
- The function  $\chi(d)$  appears in the formulas for  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- When  $k = 10$  there is an unexpected term involving  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
- Formulas exist only for  $k \leq 12$ .

Amazingly, all these facts can be explained using modular forms.

### 2.1.2 Generating series for $r_k(n)$

The generating series of  $r_k(n)$  is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_k(n)q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

When  $k = 1$  this is  $1 + 2q + 2q^4 + 2q^9 + \dots = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$ . This series is known as Jacobi's  $\vartheta$  series, and is an example of a modular form.

**Exercise.** Try to show that for all  $k \geq 0$  we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_k(n)q^n = \vartheta(q)^k$ .

One can show that these power series for  $k$  even are examples of “ $q$ -expansions of modular forms”.

## 2.2 $\mathbb{H}$ and the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Lecture 2

We now introduce some of the basic objects of use when studying modular forms.

The complex upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  is  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ .

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

**Notation.** For  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , write

$$\gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \text{ noting that } cz + d \neq 0.$$

**Proposition.** This formula defines a map

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, (\gamma, z) \mapsto \gamma z$$

which is a group action.

*Proof.* First note that for all  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$

$$\text{Im}(\gamma z) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{(az + b)(c\bar{z} + d)}{|cz + d|^2} \right) = \frac{\text{Im}((az + b)(c\bar{z} + d))}{|az + d|^2}.$$

Now  $\text{Im}((az + b)(c\bar{z} + d)) = \text{Im}(ac|z|^2 + bd + adz + bc\bar{z})$ , so letting  $z = x + iy$  we see this equals

$$\text{Im}(adz + bc\bar{z}) + \text{Im}((ad - bc)iy) = \text{Im}(iy) = y = \text{Im}(z).$$

Therefore

$$\text{Im}(\gamma z) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2}$$

This shows the action is well defined. It is a group action as  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{z+0}{0+1} = z$ , and  $\gamma(\gamma' z) = (\gamma\gamma')z$ .  $\square$

## 2.3 The modular group

**Definition.** The modular group is the group

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\} \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

As a subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Remark.** Apart from  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Since  $N = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (and  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ), the quotient  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) = SL_2(\mathbb{R})/N$  (resp.  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \dots$ ) is again a group with an action on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Sometimes it is convenient to work with PSL rather than SL.

We now give names to some useful elements of the modular group, let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } ST = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

We can see for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  we have  $Sz = \frac{-1}{z}$  and  $Tz = z + 1$ .

## 2.4 A fundamental domain

Let  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq 1 \text{ and } \frac{-1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$  and let  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ , then  $\rho + 1 = e^{2\pi i/3} + 1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ .

**Theorem** (Properties of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbb{H}$ ).

1. For all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  there exists some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  s.t.  $\gamma z \in D$ .
2.  $z, z' \in D$  are in the same  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  orbit  $\iff$  either  $z = z'$  or  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \pm \frac{1}{2}$  and  $z = z' \pm 1$  or  $|z| = 1$  and  $z' = -\frac{1}{z}$ .
3. Let  $z \in D$  and let  $H_z = \{\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma z = z\}$  be that stabiliser of  $z$  under the action of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then

$$H_z \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{cyclic of order 6, generated by } ST \text{ when } z = \rho. \\ \text{cyclic of order 6, generated by ? when } z = \rho + 1. \\ \text{cyclic of order 4, generated by ? when } z = i. \\ \text{cyclic of order 2, generated by ? otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4. The group  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the two elements  $S$  and  $T$ .

Lecture 3

*Proof.* 1. Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . For  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $|cz + d|^2 = |(cx + d) + (cy)i|^2 = (cx + d)^2 + (cy)^2$ , so there are only finitely many  $c, d$  s.t.  $|cz + d| < 1$ . I.e. only finitely many s.t.  $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma z) > \operatorname{Im}(z)$  this implies that there is some  $\gamma \in \langle S, T \rangle$  s.t.  $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma z) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma' z)$  for all  $\gamma' \in \langle S, T \rangle$ . By multiplying on the left by an appropriate power of  $T$  (i.e. by translating  $\gamma z$  by some integer) we may assume that  $\gamma$  is chosen s.t.  $|\operatorname{Re}(\gamma z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Claim** With this  $\gamma$  we have  $|\gamma z| \geq 1$ , and hence  $\gamma z \in D$ , this proves part 1, with moreover the result that  $\gamma$  can be chosen in  $\langle S, T \rangle$ .

*Proof of the claim.* By the choice of  $\gamma$  we have  $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma z) \geq \operatorname{Im}(S\gamma z) = \operatorname{Im}(\frac{-1}{\gamma z}) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)}{|\gamma z|^2}$  this implies that  $|\gamma z| \geq 1$  which proves the claim.  $\square$

2. We now let  $z, z' \in D$  be in the same  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  orbit. We may assume  $\operatorname{Im}(z') \geq \operatorname{Im}(z)$ . Let  $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be such that  $z' = \gamma z$  in particular,

$$\operatorname{Im} z' = \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{|cz + d|^2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Im} z'}{|cz + d|^2}$$

so  $|cz + d|^2 \leq 1$ . Since  $|cz + d|^2 = |cx + d|^2 + |cy|^2$  and  $y \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$  this gives  $|c| \leq 1$ , so we deal with the three possible cases for  $c \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  separately.

**Case  $c = 0$**

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \implies ad = 1 \implies a = d = \pm 1 \implies z' = \gamma z = \frac{\pm z + b}{\pm 1} = z \pm b.$$

This is only possible if  $b \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ , and in non-zero cases we must have  $|\operatorname{Re} z| = |\operatorname{Re} z'| = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Case  $c = 1$**   $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix},$

$$1 \geq |cz + d|^2 = (x + d)^2 + y^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 2xd + d^2 = |z|^2 + 2xd + d^2 \geq 1 + 2xd + d^2$$

So we have  $|cz + d| = 1$  and  $2xd + d^2 = 0$ , hence either  $d = 0$  or  $d = -2x$  which gives  $d = \pm 1$ ,  $x = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $d = -1$ ,  $x = -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $z = \rho$ ,  $z' = \frac{a\rho + b}{\rho + 1}$ .

**Exercise.** Show this only lies in  $D$  if  $(a, b) = (0, -1)$  or  $(1, 0)$ .

The cases  $d = 0$ ,  $d = -1$  are similar, every time we get a small finite number of  $\gamma$  and the corresponding possibilities for  $z, z' \in D$  s.t.  $z' = \gamma z$ .

**Case  $c = -1$**  is completely analogous since  $\gamma$  and  $-\gamma$  act in the same way on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

So we are left with a few different possibilities which are summarised in the table.

$\gamma$	$z$	$z'$	Fixed points of $\gamma$
$\pm \operatorname{Id}$	any $z \in D$	$z$	all of $D$
$\pm T$	$i$	$i$	$i$

Table 1: Pairs  $(\gamma, z)$  with  $z$  and  $z' = \gamma z$  both in  $D$

Parts 2. and 3. of the theorem can be read off of this table, it remains to show 4.

4. Choose any  $z$  in the interior of  $D$  (e.g.  $z = 2i$ ) and  $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ . There exists  $\gamma_0 \in \langle S, T \rangle$  s.t.  $\gamma_0(\gamma z) \in D$  this means that both  $z$  and  $(\gamma_0 \gamma)z$  are in  $D$ , and  $z$  is not on the boundary, so  $\gamma_0 \gamma = \pm I$  and  $\gamma \in \langle S, T \rangle$ .

□

### 3 Modular forms

**Definition.** Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}$  and let  $k$  be an integer.

We say that  $f$  is *weakly modular of weight  $k$*  if

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Notation.**

$$(f|_k \gamma)(z) = (cz+d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right), \text{ for } \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

**Exercise.** Show that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{H}$ , then the map  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  sending  $(f, \gamma)$  to  $f|_k \gamma$  is a right action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark.** Note that  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $k \iff f$  is  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  invariant with respect to the  $|_k$  action.

Since  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $S$  and  $T$  this is equivalent to saying that  $f|_k S = f$  and  $f|_k T = f$  i.e.  $f(z+1) = f(z)$  and  $f(\frac{-1}{z}) = z^k f(z)$ .

Lecture 4

In particular  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $k \implies f$  is periodic of period 1. Consider the exponential map  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi iz}$ , this is also periodic of period 1.

Consider the diagram

There is a *unique* function  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  s.t.  $f(z) = \tilde{f}(e^{2\pi iz})$ .  $\tilde{f}(q) = f(\frac{\log q}{2\pi i})$  is well defined as  $f$  is periodic.

**Definition.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  and weakly modular of weight  $k$ . We say that  $f$  is *meromorphic at infinity* if  $\tilde{f}(q)$  can be continued to a meromorphic function on the whole open unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{q \in \mathbb{C}: |q| < 1\}$ . Equivalently, as a Laurent series

$$\tilde{f}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n q^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } a_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \text{ sufficiently negative,}$$

converges in some open neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{D}$  (but potentially having a pole at 0).

We say that  $f$  is *holomorphic at infinity* if this Laurent series is a power series, i.e.

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n.$$

In this case we define  $f(\infty) = \tilde{f}(0) = a_0$ .



**Definition.** A *modular form of weight  $k$*  is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is weakly modular of weight  $k$  and holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

A *cuspidal form* of weight  $k$  is a modular form of weight  $k$  which vanishes at infinity.

The reason for this terminology is if we construct the quotient space  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$  we can do this by gluing the edges of  $D$ . Upon doing this we observe a surface that looks like a raindrop, the squeezed point at infinity is called the cusp.

By the above definition a modular form of weight  $k$  is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that can be expressed as a convergent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i z},$$

with  $f\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) = z^k f(z)$ .

It is a cusp form if  $a_0 = 0$ .

The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$  is called the  $q$ -expansion (or Fourier expansion) of  $f$ .

## 4 Eisenstein series

Let  $k$  be an even integer with  $k \geq 4$ . Consider the infinite sum

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k} = \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

**Proposition.** *This series converges absolutely and uniformly on subsets of  $\mathbb{H}$  of the form*

$$R_{r,s} = \{x+iy: |x| \leq r, y \geq s\}, \quad r, s > 0$$

*Proof.* (Sketch) Given  $r, s > 0$  one first shows that there exists  $c > 0$  (depending on  $r, s$ ) such that  $|mz+n|^2 \geq c(m^2+n^2)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $z \in R_{r,s}$ . This means

$$\begin{aligned} |G_k(z)| &\leq c^{-k/2} \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{k/2}} = c^{-k/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum'_{m,n \text{ in } j\text{th } \square} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{k/2}} \\ &\leq c^{-k/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 8j \frac{1}{j^k} = \frac{8}{c^{k/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{k-1}} \leq \frac{8}{c^{k/2}} \left( 1 + \int_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{k-1}} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{8}{c^{k/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k-2} \right) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

□

In fact this proposition implies that  $G_k(z)$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Theorem.** *For all even integers  $k \geq 4$  the function  $G_k$  is a modular form of weight  $k$ .*

*Proof.* It is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  by the proposition. We need to check its invariance under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , i.e. that

$$G_k(z+1) = G_k(z) \text{ and } G_k\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) = z^k G_k(z).$$

*Proof.* of  $G_k\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) = z^k G_k(z)$

$$G_k\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) = \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(m \cdot \frac{-1}{z} + n)^k} = z^k \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(-m + nz)^k} = G_k(z).$$

The last step is by a couple of variable changes, we can see that the sum will always run over the same values.  $\square$

It just remains to check that  $G_k$  is holomorphic at infinity, we will do this by calculating the  $q$ -expansion at infinity, however this is long and requires its own section.  $\square$

## 5 $q$ -expansions of Eisenstein series

**Notation.**

$$\sigma_t(n) = \sum_{d|n, d>0} d^t \text{ for } n \geq 1, t \geq 0.$$

The Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} s > 1.$$

We will only need the zeta function for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  even.

These values can be expressed in terms of the Bernoulli numbers  $B_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , defined by the identity

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} t^k \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

We have  $B_k \neq 0 \iff k = 1 \text{ or } k \geq 0 \text{ even}$ . The first few non-zero terms are

$$B_0 = 1, B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, \dots$$

**Fact.** For any even positive integer  $k$

$$\zeta(k) = -\frac{(2\pi i)^k B_k}{2k!} \text{ for example } \zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

We can rewrite the series defining  $G_k$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(z) &= \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n^k} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k} \\ &= 2\zeta(k) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

**Fact.** For  $k \geq 2$  we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z + n)^k} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{k-1} q^d \text{ where } q = e^{2\pi i z}.$$

*Proof.* sketch. Start with the following product formula for the sine function

$$\sin(\pi z) = \pi z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)$$

Take logarithmic derivatives ( $\frac{d}{dz} \log f(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ ) gives

$$\pi \frac{\cos(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z-n} + \frac{1}{z+n} \right).$$

On the other hand, using  $e^{\pm \pi i z} = \cos \pi z \pm i \sin \pi z$  and  $1/1 - q = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d$ , one can prove that

$$\pi \frac{\cos(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)} = i\pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i d z}.$$

Comparing gives

$$\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z-n} + \frac{1}{z+n} \right) = -\pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i d z},$$

then taking derivatives gives

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+n)^2} = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d e^{2\pi i d z}$$

as required for  $k = 1$ , the general case follows by induction upon taking successive derivatives.  $\square$

Applying this fact gives a series for  $G_k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(Z) &= 2\zeta(k) + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{k-1} e^{2\pi i d m z} \\ &= 2\zeta(k) + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} e^{2\pi i n z} = 2\zeta(k) + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the  $q$ -expansion of  $G_k$  and shows at the same time that  $G_k$  is holomorphic at infinity. Indeed we have now shown that  $G_k$  is a modular form of weight  $k$ .

It is useful to introduce a rescaled version of  $G_k$

$$E_k(z) = \frac{(k-1)!}{2(2\pi i)^k} G_k(z) = \frac{-B_k}{2_k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n.$$

In particular, note that all  $q$ -expansion coefficients of  $E_k$  are rational numbers.

**Remark.** Another common normalisation of  $G_k$  is s.t. the constant coefficient becomes 1.

**Example.**  $k = 4$ :

$$E_4(z) = \frac{1}{240} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n = \frac{1}{240} + q + 9q^2 + \dots$$

## 6 Motivation: Lattices in $\mathbb{C}$

**Definition.** A *lattice* in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is a subgroup  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  of the form

$$L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2,$$

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$  linearly independent.

**Example.**  $\omega_1 = z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\omega_2 = 1$  then we let  $L_z = \mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1$  and we see

$$G_k(z) = \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k} = \sum_{\omega \in L_z \setminus 0} \frac{1}{\omega^k}.$$

For an arbitrary lattice  $L$  one can similarly define

$$\mathcal{G}_k(L) = \sum_{\omega \in L \setminus 0} \frac{1}{\omega^k}.$$

Lattices can be scaled by complex numbers  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , if  $L$  is a lattice we put  $\lambda L = \{\lambda\omega \mid \omega \in L\}$ . This is again a lattice. Scaling defines an equivalence relation on the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$ , two lattices  $L, L'$  are *homothetic* if  $L' = \lambda L$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

If  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  then another basis of  $L$  is  $(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, c\omega_1 + d\omega_2)$ . We observe that

$$L_z = \mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 = \mathbb{Z}(az + b) + \mathbb{Z}(cz + d),$$

this hints at a connection between lattices and modular forms.

Consider functions  $\mathcal{F}: \{\text{lattices in } \mathbb{C}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the property that  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda L) = \lambda^{-k} \mathcal{F}(L)$ . Given such an  $\mathcal{F}$  we put  $f(z) = \mathcal{F}(L_z)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}(az + b) + \mathbb{Z}(cz + d)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left((cz + d) \left(\mathbb{Z} \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1\right)\right) = (cz + d)^{-k} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{Z} \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1\right) \\ &= \lambda^{-k} \mathcal{F}\left(L_{\frac{az+b}{cz+d}}\right) = \lambda^{-k} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) = \left(f|_k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

So there is an interpretation of modular forms as functions of *lattices* instead of functions on  $\mathbb{H}$ . However in the setting of functions on  $\mathbb{H}$  with the property of being holomorphic (both on  $\mathbb{H}$  and at infinity) many statements are easier to formulate.

## 7 More examples: the modular form $\Delta$ and the modular function $j$

Recall that

$$E_4 = \frac{1}{240} + q + \dots, \quad E_6 = \frac{1}{540} + q + \dots$$

**Definition.** We define

$$\Delta = \frac{(240E_4)^3 - (-504E_6)^2}{1728}.$$

Working out the  $q$ -expansion we see that

$$\Delta = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \dots$$

is a cusp form of weight 12. One can in fact show that all the  $q$ -expansion coefficients are integral.

Moreover we define the function  $\tau: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  through the following equality

$$\Delta = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n.$$

$\tau$  is known as Ramanujan's  $\tau$  function.

$\tau$  has many interesting number-theoretic properties e.g.

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}.$$

**Definition.** The  $j$ -function is defined as

$$j(z) = \frac{(240E_4)^3}{\Delta} = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + \dots$$

This is not a modular form as it is not holomorphic at infinity, it is however an example of a *modular function*.

**Definition.** A *modular function* is a function  $f$  satisfying  $f(\gamma z) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  that is also meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  and at infinity.

## 8 The valence formula

The valence formula gives us information about the zeroes and poles of modular and weakly modular functions.

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , and  $w \in U$ . Then  $f$  can be expanded in a Laurent series around  $w$ .

$$f(z) = c_n(z-w)^n + c_{n+1}(z-w)^{n+1} + \dots \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}, c_j \in \mathbb{C}, c_n \neq 0).$$

The *order* or *valuation* of  $f$  at  $w$  (denoted  $\text{ord}_w(f)$  or  $\nu_w(f)$ ) is the  $n$  in the above expansion. The *residue* of  $f$  at  $w$  is  $c_{-1}$  ( $= 0$  if  $n \geq 0$ ). We denote this by  $\text{Res}_w(f)$ .

From this expression we can deduce that

$$\frac{f'}{f}(z) = \frac{n}{z-w} + b_0 + b_1(z-w) + \dots$$

In particular  $\frac{f'}{f}$  has a simple zero precisely at the zeroes and poles of  $f$  and

$$\text{Res}_w\left(\frac{f'}{f}\right) = n = \text{ord}_w(f).$$

**Theorem** (Cauchy's integral formula). *Let  $g$  be holomorphic on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a contour (simple closed curve) in  $U$ , and let  $w \in U$ . Then we have*

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz = 2\pi i g(w).$$

**Theorem** (Argument principle). *Let  $f$  be meromorphic on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a contour in  $U$ . Assume  $f$  has no zeroes or poles on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz &= 2\pi i \sum_{z \in \text{interior}(\mathcal{C})} \text{ord}_z(f) \\ & (= 2\pi i \cdot (\text{number of zeroes} - \text{number of poles, with multiplicity})). \end{aligned}$$

There are variants of this statement, such as:

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an arc around  $w \in U$  with angle  $\alpha$  and radius  $r$ . If  $g$  is holomorphic at  $w$  we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz = \alpha i g(w).$$

If  $f$  is meromorphic at  $w$  we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \alpha i \text{ord}_w f.$$

Let  $f$  be meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  and weakly modular of some weight  $k$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Using the transformation formula it is not hard to check

that  $\text{ord}_{\gamma z} f = \text{ord}_z f$ . Finally if in addition  $f$  is meromorphic at infinity and  $\tilde{f}(q)$  is defined by

$$f(z) = \tilde{f}(e^{2\pi iz}) = \tilde{f}(q)$$

we define  $\text{ord}_{z=\infty} f = \text{ord}_{q=0} \tilde{f}$ .

**Theorem** (Valence formula). *Let  $f$  be meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ , weakly modular of weight  $k$  and meromorphic at infinity. Then we have*

$$\text{ord}_{\infty} f + \frac{1}{2} \text{ord}_i f + \frac{1}{3} \text{ord}_{\rho} f + \sum_{w \in W} \text{ord}_w f = \frac{k}{12}.$$

Where  $W$  is the set of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits in  $\mathbb{H}$  with the orbits of  $i$  and  $\rho$  omitted.

*Proof.* We may take all orbit representatives in the set  $D$ . We assume for simplicity that  $f$  has no poles or zeroes on the boundary except for possibly at  $i$ ,  $\rho$  or  $\rho + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the following contour

By the argument principle

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = 2\pi i \sum_{w \in W} \text{ord}_w f.$$

On the other hand we can compute the same integral by cutting  $\mathcal{C}$  into 8 pieces and using the transformation formula. We consider the pieces as follows

- We have

$$\int_{D'}^E \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \int_B^A \frac{f'}{f}(z' + 1) dz' = \int_B^A \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz = \int_A^B \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz.$$

I.e. the integrals  $\int_B^A$  and  $\int_E^{D'}$  cancel.

- From the equation  $f(-1/z) = z^k f(z)$  we deduce

$$z^{-2} f' \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) = k z^{k-1} f(z) + z^k f'(z) \implies z^{-2} \frac{f'}{f} \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{f'}{f}(z).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C'}^D \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz &= \int_C^{B'} \frac{f'}{f} \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right) (z')^{-2} dz' \text{ where } z' = \frac{-1}{z} \\ &= \int_C^{B'} \frac{k}{z'} + \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz' \\ &= k \int_C^{B'} \frac{1}{z} dz - \int_{B'}^C \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\int_{B'}^C \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz + \int_{C'}^D \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz \rightarrow k \frac{\pi i}{6} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

- As  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we have (by a result quoted above).

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B^{B'} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz &\rightarrow -\frac{\pi i}{3} \operatorname{ord}_\rho f, \\ \int_C^{C'} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz &\rightarrow -\pi i \operatorname{ord}_i f, \\ \int_D^{D'} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz &\rightarrow -\frac{\pi i}{3} \operatorname{ord}_{\rho+1} f = -\frac{\pi i}{3} \operatorname{ord}_\rho f.\end{aligned}$$

- To calculate the integral from  $E$  to  $A$  we make the change of variables  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ . Recall that  $\tilde{f}$  is defined by

$$f(z) = \tilde{f}(e^{2\pi iz}).$$

This gives

$$\tilde{f}' = 2\pi i e^{2\pi iz} \text{ and so } \frac{f'}{f} = 2\pi i e^{2\pi iz} \frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}(e^{2\pi iz}).$$

Furthermore  $dq/dz = 2\pi i e^{2\pi iz}$ . Hence we get

$$\int_E^A \frac{f'}{f} dz = - \oint_{|q|=e^{-2\pi R}} \frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}(q) dq = -2\pi i \operatorname{ord}_{q=0} \tilde{f} = -2\pi i \operatorname{ord}_{z=\infty} f.$$

Summing the eight contributions we get

$$\oint_{C'} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz = k \frac{\pi i}{6} - \pi i \operatorname{ord}_i f - \frac{2\pi i}{3} \operatorname{ord}_\rho f - 2\pi i \operatorname{ord}_\infty f.$$

Combining the expressions for  $\oint f'/f(z)$  found in these two ways we obtain

$$2 \sum_{w \in W} \operatorname{ord}_w f = \frac{k\pi i}{6} - \pi i \operatorname{ord}_i f - \frac{2\pi i}{3} \operatorname{ord}_\rho f - 2\pi i \operatorname{ord}_\infty f.$$

Rearranging gives the result.  $\square$

We will use this theorem to prove a finiteness theorem about the space of modular forms. But first we define the following corollary of the theorem.

**Theorem.** 1. The Eisenstein series  $E_4$  has a simple zero at  $\rho$  and no other zeroes in  $\mathbb{H}$  or at infinity.

2. The Eisenstein series  $E_6$  has a simple zero at  $i$  and no other zeroes in  $\mathbb{H}$  or at infinity.

3. The modular form  $\Delta$  of weight 12 has a simple zero at infinity and no other zeroes in  $\mathbb{H}$ .



*Proof.* Using the valence formula

$$\text{ord}_\infty f + \frac{1}{2} \text{ord}_i f + \frac{1}{3} \text{ord}_\rho f + \sum_{w \in W} \text{ord}_w f = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{in case 1,} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{in case 2,} \\ 1 & \text{in case 3.} \end{cases}$$

all terms on the left are non-negative, in case 3 we know moreover  $\text{ord}_\infty \Delta \geq 1$ . The only way to satisfy the formula is if the location of the zeroes is as claimed.  $\square$

**Notation.**  $M_k$  = the set of all modular forms of weight  $k$ .

$S_k$  = the subset of  $M_k$  consisting of cusp forms.

**Corollary.** *Multiplication by  $\Delta$  is an isomorphism*

$$M_k \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{k+12}, \quad f \mapsto \Delta f, \quad \frac{g}{\Delta} \mapsto g.$$

Note that  $M_k$  and  $S_k$  are  $\mathbb{C}$  vector spaces (a  $\mathbb{C}$  linear combination of modular forms of weight  $k$  (resp. cusp forms) is again such a form).

**Theorem.** *The spaces  $M_k$  and  $S_k$  are finite dimensional for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore  $M_k = \{0\}$  if  $k < 0$  or  $k$  is odd, and the dimension of  $M_k$  for  $k \geq 0$  even is*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_k = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}, \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

*Note that this also gives the dimension of  $S_k \cong M_{k-12}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*In particular we can compute*

$k$	$\dim M_k$	$\dim S_k$
0	1	0
2	0	0
4	1	0
6	1	0
8	1	0
10	1	0
12	2	1
14	1	0
16	2	1

Table 2: Dimensions of the spaces of modular and cusp form of weight  $k$  for even  $k \leq 16$

*Proof.* The claim that  $M_k = \{0\}$  if  $k < 0$  follows from the valence formula.

For  $k$  odd, note that if  $f$  is a modular form of weight  $k$ , then applying the transformation formula

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z) \text{ with } a = d = -1, \quad b = c = 0$$

shows  $f(z) = -f(z)$  so  $f = 0$ .

□