

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

Alco J. Best
BU Math Retreat 2019

1. Thank the audience for being awake.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The problem

The problem

Mathematicians make mistakes.

like a living organism mathematics notices and fixes itself eventually (this is harder for a false proof of a true statement!).

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The problem

The problem

Mathematicians make mistakes.

Sometimes they publish these mistakes.

like a living organism mathematics notices and fixes itself eventually (this is harder for a false proof of a true statement!).

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The problem

The problem

Mathematicians make mistakes.
Sometimes they publish these mistakes.
Sometimes nobody notices.

like a living organism mathematics notices and fixes itself eventually (this is harder for a false proof of a true statement!).

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The problem

The problem

Mathematicians make mistakes.
Sometimes they publish these mistakes.
Sometimes nobody notices.
At least for a while...

like a living organism mathematics notices and fixes itself eventually (this is harder for a false proof of a true statement!).

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The problem

like a living organism mathematics notices and fixes itself eventually (this is harder for a false proof of a true statement!).

The problem

Mathematicians make mistakes.

Sometimes they publish these mistakes.

Sometimes nobody notices.

At least for a while...

However this uncertainty takes up time and energy, what if referees only needed to judge the importance, novelty and quality of exposition, not check the arguments.

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ Some examples: Grunwald(-Wang) and K-theory

The problem: 2, the cursed prime. This is often an edge case.

Some days later I was with Artin in his office when Wang appeared. He said he had a counterexample to a lemma which had been used in the proof. An hour or two later, he produced a counterexample to the theorem itself. . . Of course he [Artin] was astonished, as were all of us students, that a famous theorem with two published proofs, one of which we had all heard in the seminar without our noticing anything, could be wrong.

— Tate

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ Some examples: Grunwald(-Wang) and K -theory

Some examples: Grunwald(-Wang) and K -theory

Some days later I was with Artin in his office when Wang appeared. He said he had a counterexample to a lemma which had been used in the proof. An hour or two later, he produced a counterexample to the theorem itself. . . Of course he [Artin] was astonished, as were all of us students, that a famous theorem with two published proofs, one of which we had all heard in the seminar without our noticing anything, could be wrong.

— Tate

The groundbreaking 1986 paper "Algebraic Cycles and Higher K -theory" by Spencer Bloch was soon after publication found by Andrei Suslin to contain a mistake in the proof of Lemma 1.1. The proof could not be fixed.

— Voevodsky

The problem: 2, the cursed prime. This is often an edge case.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible?

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Beveit. Klar.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Beweis. Klar.

[Proof similar to that of #3531]

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Beweit. Klar.

[Proof similar to that of #3531]

Proof Obvious.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Beweis. Klar.

[Proof similar to that of #3531]

Proof Obvious.

Proof: Left as an exercise. (Hint: do you remember DeMorgan's Laws?)

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Beveit. Klar.

[Proof similar to that of #3531]

Proof Obvious.

Proof: Left as an exercise. (Hint: do you remember DeMorgan's Laws?)

Proof: Easy, by induction on n . Q. E. D.

2019-04-29

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

└ The new problem

(this is one place mistakes enter) Teach the computer to work off as little as possible.

The new problem

How do you describe the steps of a proof to a computer with as little pain as possible? Often mathematicians leave unsaid many steps which are intuitive or easily supplied.

Proof: (left as an exercise) (Hint: Use Binet's formula).

Bevezir. Klar.

[Proof similar to that of #3531]

Proof Obvious.

Proof: Left as an exercise. (Hint: do you remember DeMorgan's Laws?)

Proof: Easy, by induction on n .

Q. E. D.

The computer will probably not understand these, but in order to stay sane we must strike a balance between detail and verbosity.

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

```
lemma fact_rec (n : ℕ) :  
factorial (n + 1) = factorial n * (n+1) :=  
begin  
  -- write out the definition of factorial  
  unfold factorial,  
  -- remember  $\{1, \dots, n+1\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{n+1\}$   
  rewrite list.range_concat 1 n,  
  -- the product of two sequences joined together is  
  -- just the product of the products of each sequence  
  rewrite list.prod_append,  
  -- I'm bored already are we done here?  
  simp,  
  -- YES!  
end
```

Lean will figure out when and how to apply the lemmas.

Something to Lean on; fun with interactive theorem provers

```

lemma fact_rec (n : ℕ) :
  factorial (n + 1) = factorial n * (n+1) :=
begin
  -- write out the definition of factorial
  unfold factorial,
  -- remember {1,...,n+1} = {1,...,n} ∪ {n+1}
  rewrite list.range'_concat 1 n,
  -- the product of two sequences joined together is
  -- just the product of the products of each sequence
  rewrite list.prod_append,
  -- I'm bored already are we done here?
  simp,
  -- YES!
end

We can replace all of the above with: by unfold factorial;
simp [list.range'_concat, list.prod_append]

```

Lean will figure out when and how to apply the lemmas.