Understanding the Effects of Distortion on Object Detection Models

Alex Cohen

Introduction:

Object Detection and computer vision

- Computer vision as a field gained a renewed interest after AlexNet won the 2012 ILSVLC challenge, with an error rate 10% lower than the second-place finisher by using a Deep Neural Net (CNN)-based approach
- Since then, image classification and object detection models have become incredibly common in daily life
    - Object detection has many daily uses, from license plate readers to self-driving car to crowd-counting to video surveillance, with more applications surely on the way
- Historically, object detection was done using pattern-matching and machine learning based approaches, but deep neural networks have become the state-of-the-art in the field

What is Object Detection?

Image classification models attempt to identify the category of object is present in an image

Object detection models attempt to identify and locate one or more objects within an image

Object detection combines the entity labeling of image classification models with a new feature – predicting the object locations within the image, thus require a more complex model architecture

`Class='Cat'`

Image Classification



Object Detection

Problem Statement

How do different forms of input distortion, specifically noise and blur injection, impact performance in object detection models, and can and can different components of this model be refined to better respond to distorted input?

(Some) Relevant Publications

- Lou and Yang showed that deep neural networks are better able to absorb input noise than simpler models, especially if injected earlier in the model
- Dodge and Karam (2016) studied the effect that noise has on various image classification neural network architectures
- Zhou et al. studied the effect that noise had on image classification performance and developed mitigation techniques

Most studies combining computer vision with noise focus on image classification – little work has been done on how noisy input effects object detection models

Overall Project Workflow

1. Retrieve and unpack images and annotations
2. Create sample scripts to visualize images, bounding boxes and labels
3. Create data loaders, distortion transformations, and evaluators
4. Evaluate pretrained Pytorch model under varying distortion forms and magnitudes
5. Fine-tune different model components and evaluate changes to model performance
6. Further train and evaluate Faster R-CNN model on most impactful model components

The Data

Microsoft developed the Common Objects in Context (COCO) dataset in 2014 for object detection tasks

The dataset contains over 330,000 images, 80 object classes, and 1.5 million object instances

Classes include labels such as person, bicycle, car, motorcycle, airplane, bus, train, truck, and boat (among others)

For this project, the 2017 dataset was used, which includes 141,000 training images and 5,000 validation images

For each image, the dataset contains a JPG image, and a corresponding annotation file with the following fields:

- Image ID
- File Name
- Width
- Height
- Flickr URL
- Category ID
- Area
- Bounding Box Coordinates

Faster R-CNN

The Faster R-CNN model, developed by Shaoqing Ren et al. (2016), is the current SOTA in the region-based object detection family

The Faster R-CNN is an extension of the Fast R-CNN model, which was an improvement on the R-CNN model first developed in 2013 by Ross Girshick et al.

The main improvements were the addition of a Region Proposal Network (RPN) to learn the region proposals used when predicting objects, and inverting the order of feature map development



Methodology

The project is divided into two parts:

- Understand how the object detection model responds to distorted input images
- Use targeted fine-tuning to attempt to mitigate the performance loss caused by distortion

The two forms of distortion being used are noise injection (caused by randomly changing pixel values), and gaussian blur

Varying levels of noise and blur are used to determine how performance decreases by distortion severity

Sample Transformation

Blur$_{01}$

Noise$_{05}$

Original Image

Blur$_{02}$

Noise$_{10}$

Blur$_{05}$

Noise$_{25}$

Noise$_A$: A indicates the standard deviation of the noise mask
Blur$_B$: B indicates the radius of the gaussian blur

Evaluation Methods

Models will be scored using Mean Average Precision (mAP) implemented by the COCO evaluator class

Average precision measures the precision of class predictions across varying levels of bounding box overlap

This average precision is then further averaged over all possible predicted classes and IoU thresholds

Results are returned for both the overall object classes, as well as small, medium, and large objects individually







$$AP = \Sigma \left(r_{n+1} - r_n \right) p_{interp}(r_{n+1})$$

$$p_{interp}(r_{n+1}) = \max_{\tilde{r} \geq r_{n+1}} p(\tilde{r})$$

Average precision is interpolated over the precision-recall curve for all object classes and all IoU levels between 0.5 and 0.95 at intervals of 0.05

Baseline Results

The pretrained PyTorch Faster R-CNN model will be used to set baseline performance values with varied distortion

The PyTorch implementation has been pre-trained on the COCO 2017 data, however without the same distorted inputs, just rotations and other minimal adjustments

This output shows the performance of the model when evaluated on non-distorted input (ie. under ideal circumstances)

Evaluation of Pre-Trained Faster R-CNN on Distorted Images

	All Objects	Small ($< 32^2$px)	Medium ($< 96^2$px)	Large ($> 96^2$px)
Baseline	0.370	0.211	0.403	0.482
Noise$_{05}$	0.276	0.142	0.300	0.385
Noise$_{10}$	0.228	0.103	0.246	0.325
Noise$_{25}$	0.116	0.036	0.122	0.197
Blur$_{01}$	0.333	0.161	0.368	0.463
Blur$_{02}$	0.238	0.079	0.256	0.389
Blur$_{05}$	0.071	0.006	0.058	0.161





*Precision is measured over 10 levels of bounding box overlaps, from 0.5 to 0.95 by increments of 0.05

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Model Fine-Tuning Overview

The model is made up of three different components:

- The feature map extractor
- The Region Proposal Network
- The Region of Interest/Pooling and final classification/regression model head

Combinations of these layers will be frozen/unfrozen during model fine-tuning to isolate effects



As the RPN and RoI Pooling and Classifier/Regressor are much smaller than the Feature Map Extractor, they are grouped together for this fine-tuning

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Model Fine-Tuning

Once baseline performance is established, the next step is to attempt to mitigate the effects of distortion by fine tuning the model to accommodate input distortion

Instead of focusing on the entire model, the RPN and final pooling/classifier layers will be frozen and only the CNN generating the feature maps will be updated

This technique attempts to keep much of the training benefits gained during the initial training

Evaluation of Fine Tuned (Backbone) Faster R-CNN on Distorted Images

	All Objects	Small ($< 32^2$px)	Medium ($< 96^2$px)	Large ($> 96^2$px)
Baseline	0.337	0.188	0.375	0.438
Noise_{05}	0.279	0.141	0.309	0.379
Noise_{10}	0.240	0.111	0.265	0.341
Noise_{25}	0.134	0.045	0.144	0.217
Blur_{01}	0.284	0.139	0.316	0.397
Blur_{02}	0.203	0.070	0.223	0.315
Blur_{05}	0.082	0.011	0.074	0.168





Baseline Fine-Tuning

*Precision is measured over 10 levels of bounding box overlaps, from 0.5 to 0.95 by increments of 0.05

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Model Fine-Tuning (continued)

Once baseline performance is established, the next step is to attempt to mitigate the effects of distortion by fine tuning the model to accommodate input distortion

Conversely, instead of fine-tuning the entire model, the RPN and final pooling/ classifier layers will be refined and the CNN generating the feature maps will be frozen

This technique attempts to keep much of the training benefits gained during the initial training, while focusing on a different model component

Evaluation of Fine Tuned (Head) Faster R-CNN on Distorted Images

	All Objects	Small (< 32^2px)	Medium (< 96^2px)	Large (> 96^2px)
Baseline	0.347	0.193	0.388	0.439
Noise$_{05}$	0.256	0.131	0.284	0.342
Noise$_{10}$	0.211	0.098	0.231	0.299
Noise$_{25}$	0.109	0.035	0.118	0.175
Blur$_{01}$	0.316	0.153	0.357	0.423
Blur$_{02}$	0.228	0.079	0.248	0.361
Blur$_{05}$	0.071	0.009	0.059	0.153





*Precision is measured over 10 levels of bounding box overlaps, from 0.5 to 0.95 by increments of 0.05

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Model Fine-Tuning (continued)

Once baseline performance is established, the next step is to attempt to mitigate the effects of distortion by fine tuning the model to accommodate input distortion

The entire model will be fine tuned on the same number of images, updating weights for all layers throughout the model

This technique attempts to keep much of the training benefits gained during the initial training, and provide the most complete updates to the model weights

Evaluation of Fine Tuned (All) Faster R-CNN on Distorted Images

	All Objects	Small (< 32^2px)	Medium (< 96^2px)	Large (> 96^2px)
Baseline	0.352	0.196	0.391	0.448
Noise$_{05}$	0.260	0.134	0.285	0.350
Noise$_{10}$	0.214	0.096	0.234	0.303
Noise$_{25}$	0.109	0.035	0.117	0.178
Blur$_{01}$	0.319	0.157	0.358	0.429
Blur$_{02}$	0.230	0.079	0.248	0.365
Blur$_{05}$	0.071	0.008	0.059	0.156



*Precision is measured over 10 levels of bounding box overlaps, from 0.5 to 0.95 by increments of 0.05

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Model Fine-Tuning (continued)

Once it was shown that the feature map extraction layers had the greatest impact on mitigating distortion, additional fine-tuning is focused there

This stage used 10x the training data as the prior fine-tuning efforts to measure the impact additional refinement has on these specific model layers

Evaluation of Fine Tuned (Backbone) Faster R-CNN on Distorted Images (Additional Refinement)

	All Objects	Small ($< 32^2$px)	Medium ($< 96^2$px)	Large ($> 96^2$px)
Baseline	0.298	0.157	0.335	0.392
Noise_{05}	0.264	0.127	0.292	0.363
Noise_{10}	0.237	0.104	0.261	0.338
Noise_{25}	0.146	0.047	0.152	0.237
Blur_{01}	0.235	0.104	0.266	0.333
Blur_{02}	0.190	0.068	0.212	0.289
Blur_{05}	0.104	0.020	0.102	0.189



*Precision is measured over 10 levels of bounding box overlaps, from 0.5 to 0.95 by increments of 0.05

Measure Baseline Errors → Perform Fine Tuning → Targeted Fine-Tuning

Conclusions and Next Steps

- Overall, isolating and fine-tuning solely the feature extraction backbone showed the most success in correctly detecting objects in images with large amounts of distortion
- Using the baseline model (trained on non distorted images) proved to outperform other fine-tuning techniques when presented with images with little to no distortion
- Extended fine-tuning of the feature extraction backbone proves to further improve performance at higher levels of distortion, while further decreasing performance at lower levels
- Future work involves assessing other types of object detection models (e.g. YOLO) as well as substitutions of the model backbone (e.g. VGG)