

Machine Learning for Search, Recommendations & Ads

Complete ML reference for Faire interview preparation

1. ML Problem Types in Search/Ads

A. Classification

Use Cases: Click prediction, fraud detection, query classification

Binary Classification:

- Output: $P(y = 1|x) \in [0, 1]$
- Loss: Binary cross-entropy (log loss)
- Models: Logistic Regression, XGBoost, Neural Nets

Multi-class:

- Intent classification (navigational, transactional, informational)
- Category prediction (200+ classes)
- Loss: Categorical cross-entropy

B. Ranking

Use Cases: Search results, recommendations, ad placement

Goal: Order items by relevance/value

- Input: Query + list of items
- Output: Ordered list
- Key: Relative order matters more than absolute scores

C. Regression

Use Cases: CTR prediction, price optimization, demand forecasting

Characteristics:

- Output: Continuous value
- Loss: MSE, MAE, Huber
- Challenge: Long-tail distribution

2. Evaluation Metrics by Task

A. Classification Metrics

Binary Classification:

1. AUC-ROC (Most common)

- Range: [0.5, 1.0] (0.5 = random)
- Measures: Ranking quality
- Good for: Imbalanced datasets
- Production: 0.7-0.8 (good), 0.8+ (excellent)

2. Log Loss (Cross-Entropy)

$$\text{LogLoss} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$

- Lower is better
- Penalizes confident wrong predictions
- Use when: Calibrated probabilities matter

3. Precision, Recall, F1

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}, \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$F1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{Prec} \times \text{Rec}}{\text{Prec} + \text{Rec}}$$

- Use: When class balance matters
- Threshold-dependent (unlike AUC)

4. PR-AUC

- Better than ROC for highly imbalanced
- Example: CTR = 2% (98% negative)

B. Ranking Metrics

1. NDCG@K ✓ (Industry standard)

$$\text{DCG@K} = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{2^{\text{rel}_i} - 1}{\log_2(i + 1)}$$

$$\text{NDCG@K} = \frac{\text{DCG@K}}{\text{IDCG@K}}$$

- Range: [0, 1]
- Graded relevance (0, 1, 2, 3)
- Position-aware (top results matter more)
- Common: NDCG@10, NDCG@20

Production values:

- 0.60-0.70: Baseline
- 0.70-0.80: Good
- 0.80+: Excellent

2. MRR (Mean Reciprocal Rank)

$$\text{MRR} = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{q=1}^{|Q|} \frac{1}{\text{rank}_q}$$

- Use: When first relevant result matters
- Example: Navigational queries (brand search)
- Range: [0, 1]

3. MAP (Mean Average Precision)

$$\text{AP} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{k=1}^N P(k) \cdot \text{rel}(k)$$

- Use: When all relevant results matter
- Rare in production (NDCG preferred)

4. Precision@K, Recall@K

$$\text{Precision@K} = \frac{\# \text{ relevant in top-K}}{K} \quad \text{Recall@K} = \frac{\# \text{ relevant in top-K}}{\text{total relevant}}$$

- Simple, interpretable
- Binary relevance only
- Use for quick evaluation

C. Online Metrics

Engagement Metrics:

- **CTR:** Clicks / Impressions
- **Conversion Rate:** Purchases / Clicks
- **Add-to-Cart Rate:** Carts / Clicks
- **Time on Page:** Engagement depth

Business Metrics:

- **GMV:** Gross Merchandise Value
- **Revenue per Search:** Total \$ / queries
- **ARPU:** Average Revenue Per User

Quality Metrics:

- **Zero-Result Rate:** % queries with no results
- **Refinement Rate:** % users who refine query
- **Bounce Rate:** Single-page sessions

D. Regression Metrics

1. MSE / RMSE

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

- Heavily penalizes outliers
- Use: When large errors are critical

2. MAE

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

- Robust to outliers
- More interpretable than MSE

3. MAPE

$$\text{MAPE} = \frac{100\%}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right|$$

- Percentage error
- Problem: Undefined when $y_i = 0$

3. Learning to Rank (LTR)

Core Problem: Given query q and documents $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$, produce optimal ranking

A. Pointwise LTR

Approach: Predict relevance score for each doc independently

$$\text{score}_i = f(q, d_i)$$

Models:

- Linear Regression
- Neural Networks (single output)
- Treat as classification (relevant/not)

Pros:

- Simple, fast training
- Works with small data
- Easy to interpret

Cons:

- Ignores relative order
- Not optimized for ranking metrics
- Absolute scores may be misleading

When to use: Cold start, baseline, simple problems

B. Pairwise LTR ✓

Approach: Learn to compare pairs of documents

$$P(d_i \succ d_j | q) = \sigma(f(q, d_i) - f(q, d_j))$$

Key Algorithms:

1. RankNet

- Neural network
- Loss: Cross-entropy on pairs
- Gradient flows through pairs

2. LambdaRank

- Weights pair loss by ΔNDCG
- Focuses on top results

3. Pairwise SVM

- Maximize margin between relevant pairs
- Less common in production

Pros:

- Directly optimizes order
- Better than pointwise
- More data from pairs

Cons:

- Quadratic pairs ($O(n^2)$)
- Still not directly optimizing NDCG
- Slower training

When to use: Good data, need better ranking

C. Listwise LTR ✓✓

Approach: Optimize entire list directly

Key Algorithm: LambdaMART (Production standard)

- Gradient Boosted Decision Trees (GBDT)
- Directly optimizes NDCG
- Implementation: XGBoost, LightGBM

XGBoost Configuration:

```
params = {
    'objective': 'rank:ndcg',
    'eval_metric': 'ndcg@10',
    'eta': 0.1,
    'max_depth': 6,
    'subsample': 0.8
}
```

Other Listwise:

- **ListNet:** Permutation probability
- **ListMLE:** Maximum likelihood
- **AttentionRank:** Transformer-based

Pros:

- Best offline metrics
- Directly optimizes NDCG
- Industry standard

Cons:

- Complex training
- Needs more data
- Harder to debug

When to use: Production systems, large datasets

LTR Comparison Table

| Type | Loss | Data Need | Use |
|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Pointwise | Regression | Low | Baseline |
| Pairwise | RankNet | Medium | Good |
| Listwise | NDCG | High | Prod |

4. Model Architectures

A. Traditional ML

1. Logistic Regression

- Fast, interpretable
- Linear decision boundaries
- Good baseline
- Use: Simple problems, small data

2. Gradient Boosted Trees ✓

- **XGBoost, LightGBM, CatBoost**
- Handles non-linear, interactions
- Feature importance built-in
- Robust to outliers
- Production: 80%+ of ranking systems

XGBoost Best Practices:

- Start: 100 trees, depth 6, lr 0.1
- Tune: Early stopping on validation
- Features: 50-200 is sweet spot
- Categorical: One-hot or target encode

3. Random Forest

- Parallel trees (vs sequential)
- Less overfitting than single tree
- Slower than XGBoost
- Use: Quick baseline, feature selection

4. Ensemble Methods ✓

Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating):

- Train models on random subsets of data
- Average predictions (regression) or vote (classification)
- Reduces variance, prevents overfitting
- Example: Random Forest = Bagging + Decision Trees

Boosting:

- Sequential: each model corrects previous errors
- Reduces bias + variance
- Example: XGBoost, AdaBoost

Stacking:

- Train multiple models (level-0)
- Train meta-model on their predictions (level-1)
- Combines diverse models (XGB + NN + LR)
- Use: Kaggle competitions, when accuracy critical

B. Deep Learning

1. Deep Neural Networks (DNN)

- Multi-layer perceptron
- Good for: Complex patterns, embeddings
- Cons: Needs more data, harder to tune

Architecture:

```
Input → Dense(512) → ReLU → Dropout
      → Dense(256) → ReLU → Dropout
      → Dense(128) → ReLU
      → Output
```

Dropout: Regularization technique

- Randomly drop neurons (p=0.3-0.5) during training
- Forces network to learn robust features
- Reduces overfitting
- At inference: Use all neurons, scale by (1-p)

2. Wide & Deep ✓ (Google)

- **Wide:** Linear model (memorization)
- **Deep:** DNN (generalization)
- Best of both worlds
- Use: Recommendation, CTR prediction

3. DeepFM

- Factorization Machine + Deep
- Captures 2nd order interactions
- Use: CTR prediction, ads

4. Transformers / BERT

- State-of-art for text
- Latency: 50-200ms (too slow for ranking)
- Use: Query understanding, reranking top-K

4a. Attention Mechanism ✓

Core idea: Learn which parts of input to focus on

Self-Attention (Transformers):

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

- Q = queries, K = keys, V = values (all from same input)
- d_k = dimension (prevents large dot products)
- Output: Weighted combination of values

Multi-Head Attention:

- Run 8-16 attention heads in parallel
- Each head learns different patterns
- Concatenate outputs, linear transform

Why powerful: Captures long-range dependencies, parallelizable (unlike RNNs)

C. Two-Tower Models ✓

Architecture:

Query → Query Tower → q_emb (128-dim)
Item → Item Tower → i_emb (128-dim)
Score = dot(q_emb, i_emb)

Benefits:

- Pre-compute item embeddings
- Fast retrieval via ANN (Faiss, ScaNN)
- Use: Candidate generation, 1M+ items

Companies using: YouTube, Pinterest, Facebook

D. Multi-Task Learning

Problem: Predict CTR, CVR, add-to-cart simultaneously

Architecture:

Shared layers (learn common patterns)
↓ ↓ ↓
Task head 1 Task 2 Task 3
(CTR) (CVR) (ATC)

Loss:

$$L = \alpha L_{CTR} + \beta L_{CVR} + \gamma L_{ATC}$$

Benefits:

- Share data across tasks
- Better generalization
- One model to serve

Challenge: Balancing task weights

5. Feature Engineering

A. Feature Categories

1. Query Features

- Query length (chars, words)
- Query type (brand, product, category)
- Historical CTR for query
- Query frequency (head vs tail)

2. Document Features

- Title, description (text)
- Category, brand (categorical)
- Price, rating, review count
- Age, popularity, inventory

3. Query-Doc Features ✓

- **BM25 score** (strongest signal)
- TF-IDF similarity
- Edit distance
- Exact match (title, brand)
- Embedding cosine similarity

4. User Features

- Demographics (age, location)
- Historical behavior (past clicks)
- Session context (device, time)
- User cohort (new, power user)

5. Context Features

- Time of day, day of week
- Season, holidays
- Device type (mobile, desktop)
- Location (country, city)

6. Text Matching Formulas ✓

BM25 (Best Match 25):

$$BM25(q, d) = \sum_{t \in q} IDF(t) \cdot \frac{TF(t, d) \cdot (k_1 + 1)}{TF(t, d) + k_1 \cdot (1 - b + b \cdot \frac{|d|}{avgdl})}$$

- $TF(t, d)$ = term frequency in doc
- $IDF(t) = \log \frac{N - df(t) + 0.5}{df(t) + 0.5}$
- $k_1 = 1.5$ (term saturation), $b = 0.75$ (length norm)
- Most important text matching signal!

TF-IDF:

$$TF-IDF(t, d) = TF(t, d) \times \log \frac{N}{df(t)}$$

- TF = term frequency (count in doc)
- IDF = inverse document frequency
- N = total docs, $df(t)$ = docs containing t
- Simpler than BM25, but less effective

B. Feature Processing

Numerical Features:

- **Normalization:** $(x - \mu) / \sigma$
- **Log transform:** $\log(1 + x)$ for skewed
- **Binning:** Convert to categorical
- **Clipping:** Cap outliers at p99

Categorical Features:

- **One-hot:** For low cardinality (<50)
- **Target encoding:** For high cardinality
- **Embedding:** For deep learning
- **Frequency:** Count of occurrences

Text Features:

- TF-IDF vectors
- Word2Vec, GloVe embeddings
- BERT embeddings (expensive)
- N-grams (unigram, bigram)

Feature Crossing ✓ (Critical for CTR):

- **Explicit:** $f_1 \times f_2$ (price \times category)
- **Polynomial:** $(user_id, item_id)$ pairs
- **Auto:** Deep learning learns interactions
- **Use:** Wide&Deep wide component, DeepFM

C. Feature Selection

Methods:

- **Correlation:** Remove redundant

- **Importance:** Use XGBoost feature importance
- **Ablation:** Remove feature, measure impact
- **L1 Regularization:** Auto-select sparse

Rule of Thumb:

- Start: 50-100 features
- Production: 100-300 features
- More isn't always better (overfitting)

6. Training Pipeline

A. Data Collection

Labels for Ranking:

- **Explicit:** Human raters (expensive)
- **Implicit:** Clicks, purchases (biased)
- **Hybrid:** Combine both

Label Schema:

- 0: Irrelevant
- 1: Somewhat relevant
- 2: Relevant
- 3: Highly relevant

Click Bias:

 Position bias, presentation bias

- Solution: Inverse propensity weighting
- Interleaving experiments
- Randomization for exploration

B. Train/Val/Test Split

Time-based Split ✓:

Train: Days 1-60 (80%)
Val: Days 61-75 (10%)
Test: Days 76-90 (10%)

Why not random?

- Prevents data leakage
- Realistic evaluation
- Detects temporal drift

C. Training Frequency

| Domain | Frequency | Why |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Search | Weekly | Stable patterns |
| Ads Recommendations | Daily 2-3 days | Fast changes Medium drift |

D. Negative Sampling ✓

Problem: Too many negatives (unchosen items)

Strategies:

- **Random:** Sample random items as negatives
- **Hard negatives:** High-scoring but not clicked
- **In-batch:** Use other positives as negatives

Sampling ratio:

1 positive : 5-10 negatives (typical)
1 positive : 100 negatives (extreme imbalance)

Hard Negative Mining:

- Select negatives model ranks highly (but wrong)
- Forces model to learn subtle differences
- Critical for two-tower models
- Update hard negatives every epoch

E. Model Evaluation

Offline:

- NDCG@10, MRR on test set
- Per-query analysis
- Error analysis (failure cases)

Online A/B Testing:

- **Metrics:** CTR, conversion, revenue
- **Duration:** 1-2 weeks (statistical power)
- **Sample:** 5-10% traffic
- **Statistical significance:** $p < 0.05$
- **Minimum detectable effect:** 2-5% improvement

A/B Test Calculations:

$$\text{Sample Size} = \frac{2(Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta})^2 \sigma^2}{\delta^2}$$

- δ = minimum detectable effect
- α = 0.05 (Type I error)
- β = 0.2 (Type II error, 80% power)

7. Serving & Deployment

A. Latency Budget

Total: 200ms p99

Retrieval: 50ms
Feature fetch: 30ms
Model inference: 80ms
Hydration: 30ms
Network: 10ms

Model Latency Optimization:

- **Quantization:** Float32 → Int8
- **Pruning:** Remove weak features/trees
- **Batch inference:** Process multiple queries
- **Two-stage:** Cheap first-pass, expensive rerank

B. Feature Store

Purpose: Centralized, low-latency feature access

Technologies:

- Redis (in-memory, <1ms)
- DynamoDB (AWS, 1-10ms)
- Feast (open-source)

Pattern:

Training: Read from data warehouse
Serving: Read from feature store
CDC: Keep both in sync

C. Online Learning

Problem: Model degrades over time (concept drift)

Solutions:

- **Batch:** Retrain weekly (most common)
- **Mini-batch:** Update daily
- **Online:** Update per-request (rare)

Monitoring:

- NDCG drop >2%: Alert
- CTR drop >5%: Rollback
- Latency p99 >500ms: Scale up

8. Common Challenges

A. Cold Start

Problem: New items, new users (no data)

Solutions:

- **Content-based:** Use item features (category, brand)
- **Popularity:** Show trending items
- **Exploration:** Random boosting (10%)
- **Transfer learning:** Use similar users/items

B. Position Bias

Problem: Top results get more clicks (not more relevant)

Solutions:

- **Inverse propensity weighting:** $\frac{\text{click}}{P(\text{click}|\text{position})}$
- **Randomization:** Shuffle top-K occasionally
- **Examination model:** Model position explicitly

C. Training/Serving Skew

Problem: Features different at train vs serve time

Causes:

- Inventory changes (in-stock → out-of-stock)
- Time lag (training on yesterday's data)
- Pipeline differences

Solutions:

- Use point-in-time features for training
- Bucketize fast-moving features (0, 1-10, 10+)
- Monitor feature distributions

D. Class Imbalance

Problem: CTR = 2% (98% negative)

Solutions:

- **Downsampling:** Sample negatives (keep all positives)
- **Class weights:** Higher weight for positives
- **Focal loss:** Focus on hard examples
- **SMOTE:** Synthetic oversampling (rarely used)

E. Handling Missing Values ✓

Problem: 20-30% of feature values often missing

Strategies by feature type:

- **Numerical:** Median/mean imputation, -999 flag
- **Categorical:** Add "missing" category
- **Boolean:** Impute as False + add is_missing flag

Advanced:

- **Model-based:** Use KNN, regression to predict
- **Multiple imputation:** Create multiple datasets
- **Indicator variables:** Add is_missing binary flag

Tree models (XGBoost): Handle missing natively!

F. Debugging: Offline ~Online Mismatch

Symptom: Offline NDCG increases, but Online CTR/GMV drops.

Common Causes:

- **Data Leakage:** Using future signals
- **Training/Serving Skew:** Feature computation differs in prod
- **Objective Mismatch:** Optimizing clicks vs purchase/returns
- **Latency:** Model too slow, impacting UX
- **Simpson's Paradox:** Aggregate metrics hide slice degradation

Data Leakage Examples ✗:

- **Target leakage:** Using conversion rate from SAME session
- **Temporal leakage:** Using future clicks to predict past clicks
- **Train-test contamination:** Same user in both train/test
- **Label in features:** Purchase.count when predicting purchase
- **Proxy leakage:** Click.time when predicting click (circular!)

Investigation:

- Check feature distributions (Train vs Serve)
- Replay logged online requests through offline model
- Verify metric definitions (e.g., click definition)

9. Domain-Specific Models

A. Search Ranking

Best Model: LambdaMART (XGBoost)

- 100-300 features
- Train on (query, doc, label) tuples
- Optimize NDCG@10

Key Features:

- BM25, TF-IDF (textual relevance)
- Category/brand match
- Historical CTR (query-doc)
- Document quality (rating, sales)

B. Recommendations

Two-Stage:

1. **Candidate Generation:** Retrieve 1K items
 - Collaborative filtering (user-user, item-item)
 - Two-tower model (user/item embeddings)
 - ANN search (Faiss)
2. **Ranking:** Rank top-1K → top-50
 - XGBoost or DNN
 - Predict CTR, purchase probability

Models:

- **Matrix Factorization:** SVD, ALS
- **Neural CF:** User/item embeddings + MLP
- **Wide & Deep:** Memorization + generalization
- **DLRM:** Facebook's production model

C. Ads Ranking

Goal: Maximize revenue while maintaining user experience

Key Difference: Bid price matters

$$\text{Ad Score} = P(\text{click}) \times \text{Bid} \times \text{Quality}$$

Multi-Task:

- Predict CTR ($P(\text{click})$)
- Predict CVR ($P(\text{conversion} \mid \text{click})$)
- Expected value: $\text{CTR} \times \text{CVR} \times \text{Bid}$

Auction:

- **First-price:** Pay your bid
- **Second-price:** Pay next highest bid (VCG)

Models:

- DeepFM (most common)
- Wide & Deep
- DNN with multi-task heads

D. B2B Marketplace (Faire)

Key Difference: Retailer vs Consumer behavior

- **Repeat vs Discovery:** Retailers reorder bestsellers; Consumers seek novelty
- **Volume:** High AOV, bulk purchasing
- **Risk:** Net-60 terms (credit risk modeling)
- **Supply constraints:** Inventory limits matter more

Specific Features:

- **Retailer:** Store type (boutique vs online), location, credit score
- **Brand:** Margin, shipping time, minimum order value (MOV)
- **Graph:** Retailer-Brand history (past orders, returns)

Metrics:

- **GMV** (Gross Merchandise Value) is king
- **Sell-through rate:** Does the retailer actually sell the product?
- **Reorder Rate:** Critical for LTV (Lifetime Value)
- **First-order success:** Does first order lead to repeat?

Unique Challenges:

- **Match quality:** Right product for right retailer type
- **Payment risk:** Net-60 terms = need credit scoring
- **Seasonal patterns:** Retailers order 3-6 months ahead
- **Discovery paradox:** Need novelty but also reliability

Ranking Considerations:

- Balance: New brands (discovery) vs proven brands (safety)
- Personalize by: Store type, geography, price point
- Boost: Free return brands for new retailers (lower risk)
- Consider: Fulfillment speed (Faire Direct vs Brand ships)

10. Interview Tips

A. Problem Approach

1. Clarify the problem:

- What are we predicting? (CTR, relevance, etc.)
- What's the evaluation metric? (NDCG, AUC, etc.)
- What's the scale? (QPS, latency, data size)

2. Start simple:

- Baseline: Logistic Regression
- Stronger: XGBoost
- Advanced: Deep learning (if needed)

3. Feature engineering:

- Query features, doc features, query-doc
- Explain why each feature matters

4. Evaluation:

- Offline: NDCG, AUC
- Online: A/B test (CTR, conversion)

B. Common Questions

Ranking:

- Explain pointwise, pairwise, listwise LTR
- When to use each?
- What's NDCG and why use it?

Features:

- What features for search ranking?
- How to handle categorical features?
- Training/serving skew?

Models:

- XGBoost vs Neural Networks?
- How to handle cold start?
- Multi-task learning benefits?

Production:

- Latency optimization?
- How often to retrain?
- Monitoring and alerting?

C. Key Talking Points

- **Tradeoffs:** Always discuss (accuracy vs latency, complexity vs interpretability)
- **Numbers:** Give concrete examples (NDCG 0.75, latency 100ms, CTR 2%)
- **Experience:** "At [company], we used [model] and saw [X% improvement]"
- **Scale:** Understand QPS, data size, model size
- **Iterate:** Start simple, add complexity if needed

C2. Common Interview Mistakes to AVOID

Don't say:

- ✗ "Deep learning is always better" (XGBoost wins 80% of time)
- ✗ "We need more data" (without diagnosing bias vs variance)
- ✗ "Accuracy is 95%" (wrong metric for imbalanced data)

- ✗ "Just use BERT" (200ms latency, can't serve 10K QPS)
- ✗ "Random split is fine" (causes data leakage in time-series)

Do say:

- ✓ "It depends on..." (show you consider tradeoffs)
- ✓ "Let me check train vs test error first" (bias-variance)
- ✓ "For imbalanced data, I'd use AUC-ROC or PR-AUC"
- ✓ "BERT for reranking top-100, not full corpus"
- ✓ "Time-based split to prevent leakage"

C3. Numbers to Memorize

Metrics:

- NDCG: 0.6-0.7 (baseline), 0.7-0.8 (good), 0.8+ (excellent)
- AUC: 0.7-0.8 (good), 0.8-0.9 (excellent), 0.9+ (check for leakage)
- CTR: 1-5% (typical), 10%+ (promoted content)
- Conversion: 2-5% (e-commerce), 10-20% (SaaS)

Latency:

- Total budget: 200ms p99 (user-facing)
- Retrieval: 50ms (Elasticsearch)
- Feature fetch: 20-30ms (Redis)
- Model inference: 50-100ms (XGBoost/DNN)

Scale:

- Batch size: 32-256 (training)
- Features: 50-300 (typical)
- Trees: 100-500 (XGBoost)
- Learning rate: 0.01-0.1 (start), 0.001-0.01 (fine-tune)

D. Model Debugging & Error Analysis

When model underperforms:

1. Slice metrics by category

- Head vs tail queries (high vs low frequency)
- Per-category performance
- New vs returning users
- Mobile vs desktop

2. Error analysis

- Sample failed queries manually
- Identify patterns (missing features, wrong labels)
- Check if retrieval or ranking is failing

3. Feature importance

- Use XGBoost feature importance
- Ablation study (remove one feature at a time)
- Check for feature leakage

3a. Model Interpretation (SHAP/LIME) ✓

- **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations):** Game theory-based feature attribution
 - Shows each feature's contribution to prediction
 - Works for any model (XGBoost, NN, etc.)
 - Use: `shap.TreeExplainer(model)` for trees
- **LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic):** Local linear approximation
 - Explains individual predictions
 - Perturbs input, trains simple model locally
 - Good for debugging edge cases

- **Partial Dependence Plots:** Show feature effect on prediction
- **When critical:** Regulated industries (finance, healthcare), debugging bias, explaining to stakeholders

4. Common root causes

- **Poor retrieval:** Not finding relevant docs
- **Label quality:** Noisy or biased labels
- **Feature issues:** Missing values, wrong encoding
- **Model complexity:** Under/overfitting

5. Calibration ✓

Problem: Model outputs aren't true probabilities

- Predicted 0.8 should mean 80% actually positive
- Important for: Ad auctions, risk assessment

Check calibration:

- Bin predictions: [0-0.1], [0.1-0.2], ..., [0.9-1.0]
- Calculate actual positive rate per bin
- Plot: Should align with diagonal

Fix calibration:

- **Platt scaling:** Train logistic regression on outputs
- **Isotonic regression:** Non-parametric calibration
- **Temperature scaling:** Divide logits by T

E. Production ML Best Practices

Deployment strategies:

- **Shadow mode:** New model runs in parallel, doesn't serve
- **Canary:** 1% traffic → 5% → 10% → 50% → 100%
- **Blue-green:** Two environments, instant switchover

Rollback triggers:

- Latency p99 > 2x baseline
- Error rate > 1%
- CTR drop > 5%
- Zero-result rate > 10%

Model staleness detection:

- Track prediction drift (distribution changes)
- Monitor feature drift
- Offline metric degradation
- Set retraining cadence (weekly/daily)

Remember: "Understand the problem, start simple, iterate with data, and always validate online."

Quick Reference Tables

Model Selection Guide

| Use Case | Best Model | Key Metric | Latency |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Search Ranking | LambdaMART (XGBoost) | NDCG@10 | 50-100ms |
| CTR Prediction (Ads) | DeepFM, Wide&Deep | AUC-ROC, Log Loss | 10-50ms |
| Recommendation (Candidate) | Two-Tower, ALS | Recall@K | 1-10ms |
| Recommendation (Ranking) | XGBoost, DNN | NDCG, CTR | 20-100ms |
| Query Classification | BERT, Logistic Reg | Accuracy, F1 | 5-50ms |
| Semantic Search | BERT, Two-Tower | Recall@K, MRR | 10-200ms |

Feature Engineering Checklist

| Category | Examples |
|------------------------------|---|
| Text Relevance | BM25, TF-IDF, Edit distance, Exact match, Embedding cosine similarity |
| Document Static | Category, Brand, Price, Rating, Review count, Age, Popularity |
| Document Dynamic | Inventory level, Recent CTR, Recent sales, Trending score |
| Query-Doc Interaction | Historical CTR (query-doc pair), Co-occurrence, Click-through pattern |
| User Context | Location, Device, Time of day, Session length, User cohort |
| User History | Past clicks, Past purchases, Browsing category, Avg order value |

Metric Selection Guide

| Task | Primary Metric | When to Use |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Binary Classification | AUC-ROC Log Loss PR-AUC | Imbalanced data, ranking quality matters Calibrated probabilities needed Highly imbalanced (CTR < 5%) |
| Ranking | NDCG@K MRR MAP | Graded relevance, position matters (default choice) First relevant result critical (navigational queries) All relevant results matter equally |
| Regression | RMSE MAE | Penalize large errors heavily Robust to outliers, interpretable |
| Multi-class | Accuracy F1 (macro/micro) | Balanced classes Imbalanced classes |

Appendix: ML Fundamentals & Coding

A. ML Theory - Essential Q&A

1. Explain bias-variance tradeoff

Answer:

- **Bias:** Error from wrong assumptions (underfitting). High bias = model too simple
- **Variance:** Error from sensitivity to training data (overfitting). High variance = model too complex
- **Tradeoff:** Error = Bias² + Variance + Irreducible Error
- Sweet spot: Balance both (e.g., ensemble methods)

2. L1 vs L2 regularization - when to use each?

Answer:

- **L1 (Lasso):** $\lambda \sum |w_i|$ - Sparse solutions, feature selection. Use when: Many irrelevant features
- **L2 (Ridge):** $\lambda \sum w_i^2$ - Smooth weights, no sparsity. Use when: All features matter, multicollinearity
- **Elastic Net:** $\alpha L1 + (1 - \alpha)L2$ - Combines both benefits

3. How does gradient descent work? SGD vs Mini-batch vs Batch?

Answer:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla L(w_t)$$

- **Batch GD:** Use all data, slow but stable
- **SGD:** One sample, fast but noisy
- **Mini-batch:** 32-512 samples - best tradeoff (industry standard)

4. Explain Adam optimizer - why better than SGD?

Answer:

- Adaptive learning rates per parameter
- Combines momentum (first moment) + RMSprop (second moment)
- Benefits: Faster convergence, works well with sparse gradients, less tuning
- When to use: Deep learning (default). Use SGD with momentum for: Simple models, better generalization needed

Adam equations:

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t \quad v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2$$
$$\hat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{1 - \beta_1^t} \quad \hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1 - \beta_2^t} \quad w_t = w_{t-1} - \eta \frac{\hat{m}_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon}$$

($\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999, \epsilon = 10^{-8}$ typical)

4a. Gradient Clipping ✓

Answer:

- **Problem:** Exploding gradients in RNNs, deep networks
- **Clip by value:** $g = \text{clip}(g, -\theta, \theta)$ (e.g., $\theta = 5$)
- **Clip by norm:** $g = g \cdot \min(1, \frac{\theta}{\|g\|})$
- **When critical:** RNNs, LSTMs, very deep networks (50+ layers)
- **Symptom:** Loss becomes NaN, weights explode

4b. Learning Rate Scheduling ✓

Answer:

- **Step decay:** Reduce LR by factor every N epochs ($\eta = \eta_0 \times 0.5^{\lfloor epoch/N \rfloor}$)
- **Exponential decay:** $\eta = \eta_0 \times e^{-kt}$ - Smooth continuous decay
- **Cosine annealing:** $\eta = \eta_{min} + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{max} - \eta_{min})(1 + \cos(\frac{T_{cur}}{T_{max}}\pi))$
- **Warmup:** Start with low LR, gradually increase (prevents early divergence)
- **ReduceOnPlateau:** Reduce when validation metric stops improving

When to use: Step decay (simple baseline), Cosine (SOTA), Warmup + Cosine (Transformers)

5. How to handle overfitting? (5+ techniques)

Answer:

1. More training data
2. Regularization (L1/L2, dropout)

3. Early stopping (monitor validation loss)
4. Data augmentation (images, text)
5. Simpler model (reduce capacity)
6. Ensemble methods (reduce variance)
7. Cross-validation

5a. Cross-Validation Variants ✓

Answer:

- **K-Fold CV:** Split data into K folds, train on K-1, test on 1 (typical K=5 or 10)
- **Stratified K-Fold:** Preserve class distribution in each fold (use for imbalanced data!)
- **Time-series CV:** Forward chaining - train on [1..t], test on [t+1..t+k] (prevents leakage)
- **Leave-One-Out CV:** K=N (expensive, use only for small datasets ≤ 1000)
- **Group K-Fold:** Keep same user/session in same fold (prevents leakage)

Interview tip: Always use time-based split for temporal data, stratified for imbalanced!

5b. Hyperparameter Tuning ✓

Answer:

- **Grid Search:** Try all combinations (exhaustive but slow)
 - Use: Few hyperparameters (≤ 4), discrete values
 - Example: `learning_rate=[0.01, 0.1]`, `depth=[3, 5, 7]`
- **Random Search:** Sample randomly (often better than grid!)
 - More efficient than grid search (Bergstra & Bengio 2012)
 - Can match grid performance with fewer trials
 - Use: Many hyperparameters, continuous spaces
- **Bayesian Optimization:** Model which params work best
 - Tools: Optuna, Hyperopt, Ray Tune
 - Use: Expensive models (≥ 10 min/trial)
- **Halving:** Start many, kill bad ones early (Hyperband)

5c. Early Stopping ✓

Answer:

- **Strategy:** Stop when validation loss stops improving
- **Patience:** Wait N epochs (typical N=3-10) before stopping
- **Implementation:** Track best val loss, stop if no improvement
- **Restore:** Load best weights (not final weights!)
- **Benefit:** Prevents overfitting, saves training time

6. Precision vs Recall - when to optimize for each?

Answer:

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

- **Optimize Precision:** When false positives are costly (spam detection - don't block real emails)
- **Optimize Recall:** When false negatives are costly (fraud detection - catch all fraud)
- **F1 Score:** Balance both

7. Why does XGBoost work so well? Technical details

Answer:

- **Boosting:** Sequential trees, each corrects previous errors
- **Regularization:** L1/L2 on leaf weights, max depth, min child weight
- **2nd order optimization:** Uses Hessian (not just gradient)
- **Handling sparsity:** Learns best direction for missing values
- **Parallel processing:** Fast training via column block structure
- **Tree pruning:** Max depth + gamma (complexity control)

8. Explain batch normalization - why does it help?

Answer:

- Normalizes layer inputs: $\hat{x} = \frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon}}$
- Benefits: (1) Faster convergence, (2) Higher learning rates, (3) Regularization effect, (4) Less sensitive to initialization

- When: Deep networks (6+ layers), CNNs
- Alternative: Layer normalization (for RNNs/Transformers)

9. How do embeddings work? Word2Vec vs BERT?

Answer:

- **Word2Vec:** Static embeddings, context-free. CBOW (predict word from context) or Skip-gram (predict context from word)
- **BERT:** Contextual embeddings, bidirectional. "bank" has different embeddings in "river bank" vs "bank account"
- **Training:** Word2Vec (unsupervised), BERT (masked LM + next sentence prediction)
- **Use:** Word2Vec for simple tasks, BERT for complex NLP

10. Cross-validation - when NOT to use it?

Answer:

Don't use when:

- **Time series:** Use time-based split instead (future can't predict past)
- **Large datasets:** Computationally expensive, single split sufficient
- **Data leakage risk:** Related samples might end up in train/val
- **Production:** Need realistic temporal evaluation

11. Which loss function to use? ✓

Answer:

- **Binary Classification:**
 - Cross-entropy (log loss): Standard choice, probabilistic
 - Hinge loss: SVM, when you need margin
- **Multi-class:**
 - Categorical cross-entropy: Standard (softmax output)
 - Focal loss: For class imbalance (down-weights easy examples)
- **Regression:**
 - MSE: Penalizes large errors heavily, assumes Gaussian
 - MAE: Robust to outliers, more interpretable
 - Huber: Best of both (MSE for small, MAE for large errors)
- **Ranking:**
 - Pairwise (Hinge): LambdaRank, RankNet
 - Listwise: LambdaMART (optimizes NDCG directly)

Interview tip: Always justify your choice based on data distribution and outliers!

B. Implement from Scratch - Coding Checklist

Common "implement from scratch" questions at FAANG:

1. Calculate AUC-ROC from scratch

```
def auc_roc(y_true, y_scores):
    # Sort by scores descending
    sorted_indices = sorted(range(len(y_scores)),
                           key=lambda i: y_scores[i],
                           reverse=True)

    sorted_labels = [y_true[i] for i in sorted_indices]

    # Count correctly ranked pairs
    num_pos = sum(y_true)
    num_neg = len(y_true) - num_pos

    if num_pos == 0 or num_neg == 0:
        return 0.5 # Undefined, return random

    # Iterate high score to low score
    # If we see a Negative, it is ranked lower than all
    # preceding Positives (which is good).
    correct_pairs = 0
```

```

positives_so_far = 0

for label in sorted_labels:
    if label == 1: # Positive
        positives_so_far += 1
    else: # Negative
        correct_pairs += positives_so_far

auc = correct_pairs / (num_pos * num_neg)
return auc

```

2. K-Means clustering

```

def kmeans(X, k, max_iters=100):
    # Random init centroids
    if k > len(X):
        raise ValueError(f"k={k} cannot exceed n_samples={len(X)}")
    centroids = X[np.random.choice(len(X), k, replace=False)]

    for _ in range(max_iters):
        # Assign points to nearest centroid
        distances = np.sqrt(((X[:, None] - centroids)**2).sum(2))
        labels = np.argmin(distances, axis=1)

        # Update centroids
        new_centroids = []
        for i in range(k):
            points = X[labels == i]
            if len(points) > 0:
                new_centroids.append(points.mean(0))
            else:
                # Empty cluster, reinitialize
                new_centroids.append(X[np.random.randint(len(X))])
        new_centroids = np.array(new_centroids)

        # Check convergence
        if np.allclose(centroids, new_centroids):
            break
        centroids = new_centroids

    return labels, centroids

```

3. Sigmoid & Logistic Regression

```

def sigmoid(z):
    # Clip to prevent overflow
    return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-np.clip(z, -500, 500)))

def logistic_regression(X, y, lr=0.01, epochs=1000):
    m, n = X.shape
    weights = np.zeros(n)
    bias = 0

    for _ in range(epochs):
        # Forward pass
        z = np.dot(X, weights) + bias
        predictions = sigmoid(z)

        # Gradients

```

```

dw = (1/m) * np.dot(X.T, (predictions - y))
db = (1/m) * np.sum(predictions - y)

# Update
weights -= lr * dw
bias -= lr * db

```

```

return weights, bias

```

4. Calculate NDCG@K

```

def ndcg_at_k(y_true, y_scores, k):
    # Get top-k indices
    top_k_idx = np.argsort(y_scores)[::-1][:k]

    # DCG
    dcg = sum((2**y_true[i] - 1) / np.log2(pos + 2)
              for pos, i in enumerate(top_k_idx))

    # IDCG (ideal)
    ideal_idx = np.argsort(y_true)[::-1][:k]
    idcg = sum((2**y_true[i] - 1) / np.log2(pos + 2)
              for pos, i in enumerate(ideal_idx))

    return dcg / idcg if idcg > 0 else 0

```

4a. Precision@K, Recall@K, MRR

```

def precision_at_k(y_true, y_scores, k):
    if k == 0:
        return 0
    top_k_idx = np.argsort(y_scores)[::-1][:k]
    relevant_in_topk = sum(y_true[i] for i in top_k_idx)
    return relevant_in_topk / k

def recall_at_k(y_true, y_scores, k):
    top_k_idx = np.argsort(y_scores)[::-1][:k]
    relevant_in_topk = sum(y_true[i] for i in top_k_idx)
    total_relevant = sum(y_true)
    return relevant_in_topk / total_relevant if total_relevant > 0 else 0

def mrr(y_true, y_scores):
    # Mean Reciprocal Rank
    sorted_idx = np.argsort(y_scores)[::-1]
    for rank, i in enumerate(sorted_idx, 1):
        if y_true[i] == 1:
            return 1.0 / rank
    return 0 # No relevant item found

```

5. Cosine Similarity

```

def cosine_similarity(v1, v2):
    dot_product = np.dot(v1, v2)
    norm_v1 = np.linalg.norm(v1)
    norm_v2 = np.linalg.norm(v2)
    if norm_v1 == 0 or norm_v2 == 0:
        return 0 # Undefined, return 0
    return dot_product / (norm_v1 * norm_v2)

```

6. Confusion Matrix & Metrics

```
def confusion_matrix(y_true, y_pred):
    # For binary classification
    tp = sum((yt == 1 and yp == 1)
              for yt, yp in zip(y_true, y_pred))
    fp = sum((yt == 0 and yp == 1)
              for yt, yp in zip(y_true, y_pred))
    tn = sum((yt == 0 and yp == 0)
              for yt, yp in zip(y_true, y_pred))
    fn = sum((yt == 1 and yp == 0)
              for yt, yp in zip(y_true, y_pred))

    return {'TP': tp, 'FP': fp, 'TN': tn, 'FN': fn}

def metrics_from_cm(cm):
    precision = cm['TP'] / (cm['TP'] + cm['FP']) \
        if (cm['TP'] + cm['FP']) > 0 else 0
    recall = cm['TP'] / (cm['TP'] + cm['FN']) \
        if (cm['TP'] + cm['FN']) > 0 else 0
    accuracy = (cm['TP'] + cm['TN']) / sum(cm.values())
    f1 = 2 * (precision * recall) / (precision + recall) \
        if (precision + recall) > 0 else 0
    return {'precision': precision, 'recall': recall,
            'accuracy': accuracy, 'f1': f1}
```

Key Concepts to Know How to Implement:

- Linear/Logistic Regression with gradient descent
- K-Means, K-NN
- Decision Tree split (Gini/Entropy)
- Precision, Recall, F1, AUC-ROC
- NDCG, MRR, MAP
- Confusion matrix
- Train/test split, cross-validation
- L1/L2 regularization
- Batch normalization
- Sigmoid, ReLU, Softmax activations

C. ML System Design Framework (6 Steps)

Use this framework for any "Design X system" question

Step 1: Problem Formulation (5 min)

- Clarify the problem: "What exactly are we optimizing?"
- Define success: Business metric (GMV, engagement) + ML metric (NDCG, AUC)
- Scope: Latency requirements? Scale (QPS, users, items)?
- ML framing: Classification? Ranking? Regression?

Example: "Design YouTube video recommendations"

- Problem: Recommend videos users will watch & enjoy
- Business metric: Watch time, session length
- ML metric: NDCG@20, Recall@100
- Framing: Two-stage (retrieval + ranking)

Step 2: Data Strategy (5-7 min)

- What data do we have? (user history, video metadata, engagement)
- Labels: Implicit (clicks, watch time) or explicit (likes)?
- Data quality: Biases (position bias, popularity bias)
- Data volume: How much? (millions of users, billions of videos)
- Cold start: New users, new videos?

Key questions to address:

- How to collect training data?
- How to handle missing labels?
- How to ensure data freshness?

Step 3: Feature Engineering (7-10 min)

- **User features:** Demographics, history, preferences
- **Item features:** Metadata, popularity, quality
- **Context features:** Time, device, location
- **Interaction features:** User-item affinity, CTR

Example features for YouTube:

- User: Watch history (last 50 videos), subscriptions, demographics
- Video: Title/description embeddings, category, upload date, views, likes
- User-Video: Watched same channel before? Similar category?
- Context: Time of day, device type

Step 4: Model Selection (7-10 min)

- Start simple: "I'd begin with a baseline..."
- Propose 2-3 approaches with tradeoffs
- Justify choice based on scale, latency, complexity

Standard approach (2-stage):

Stage 1: Candidate Generation (retrieve 1000s)

- Collaborative filtering
- Two-tower model + ANN search
- Multiple retrievers (content, CF, trending)

Stage 2: Ranking (rank top-1K → top-100)

- LambdaMART (XGBoost) - listwise LTR
- Or: Deep neural network
- Rich features, optimize NDCG

Discuss tradeoffs:

- XGBoost: Fast, interpretable, strong baseline
- DNN: Captures complex patterns, needs more data
- Two-tower: Scalable retrieval, pre-compute embeddings

Step 5: Training & Evaluation (5-7 min)

Training:

- Train/val/test split: Time-based (last 7 days = test)
- Loss function: Listwise (NDCG), pairwise, or pointwise
- Frequency: Weekly (search), daily (ads)
- Challenges: Class imbalance, position bias

Offline Evaluation:

- Primary: NDCG@10, MRR
- Per-query analysis
- Breakdown: head vs tail queries, cold start

Online Evaluation:

- A/B test: 5-10% traffic, 1-2 weeks
- Metrics: CTR, conversion, watch time, GMV
- Guardrails: Latency, zero-result rate

Step 6: Deployment & Monitoring (5-7 min)

Serving Architecture:

```
Request → Candidate Generation (50ms)
        → Feature Fetch (30ms, Redis)
        → Model Inference (80ms)
        → Post-processing (20ms)
```


→ Return results
Total: 180ms

Optimization:

- Feature store: Redis for low-latency lookup
- Model: Quantization, pruning, distillation
- Caching: Cache popular queries (80/20 rule)
- Batch inference: Process multiple requests together

Monitoring:

- **Model metrics:** NDCG drop >2% → alert
- **Business metrics:** CTR, conversion, revenue
- **System metrics:** Latency p99, error rate, QPS
- **Data quality:** Feature drift, missing values

Failure modes:

- Model timeout → fallback to simple ranker
- Feature store down → use cached features
- No candidates → show popular/trending

D. Example Walkthrough: "Design Ad Click Prediction"

1. **Problem:** Predict $P(\text{click} \mid \text{user}, \text{ad}, \text{context})$ for ad ranking
2. **Data:** User events (impressions, clicks), ad metadata, context - Label: Binary (click=1, no click=0) - Challenge: Highly imbalanced (CTR ~2%)
3. **Features:** - User: Demographics, browsing history, past ad interactions - Ad: Title, image, category, advertiser - User-Ad: Historical CTR, category match - Context: Time, device, page content
4. **Model:** DeepFM or Wide & Deep - Wide: Memorize user-ad pairs (high CTR combinations) - Deep: Generalize to unseen combinations - Loss: Binary cross-entropy with class weights
5. **Evaluation:** - Offline: AUC-ROC (0.75+), Log Loss - Online: CTR, revenue per impression, user experience
6. **Serving:** 20ms latency budget - Pre-compute ad embeddings - User features from Redis (5ms) - Model inference (10ms) - Rank ads by: $\text{score} = P(\text{click}) \times \text{bid} \times \text{quality}$

Framework Summary: "Formulate → Data → Features → Model → Evaluate → Deploy"