# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 8	-K
--------	----

### CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported)
May 7, 2014

# TASER International, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) 001-16391 (Commission File Number) 86-0741227 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

17800 N. 85 th St. Scottsdale, Arizona 85255 (Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

 $(480)\ 991\text{-}0797$  (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 □ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 □ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

# **Table of Contents**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 8.01 Other Events SIGNATURE

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Item 8.01 Other Events**

As previously reported, in February 2012, the Company was served with a complaint in the matter of AA & Saba Consultants, Inc. v. TASER International, Inc. that was filed in the Superior Court for the County of Maricopa, Arizona, which alleged that the Company breached a contract by unilaterally terminating a distributor agreement between the Company and plaintiff without good cause. The complaint sought an award for damages, costs, expenses and attorneys' fees. TASER filed a counterclaim for breach of contract and fraud. During 2012, the Company made a settlement offer of \$0.8 million to plaintiff which was recorded as an expense in SG&A. The offer was not accepted and thereafter was withdrawn by TASER. On February 28, 2014, the jury returned a verdict of \$3.3 million against the Company. Based on this verdict, the Company recorded an additional \$2.6 million of expense in the fourth quarter of 2013. On May 6, 2014 a hearing was held on plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees, expenses and costs, and the judge ruled from the bench during the hearing for an award to the plaintiff of approximately \$1.6 million in attorneys' fees and costs. The Company believes the verdict is not supported by the evidence and intends to file an appeal once judgment has been entered. Depending on the level of progress with the appeal, and any new information that might become known to the Company, we expect the Company will take an additional \$1.6 million charge in the second quarter of 2014 as a result of this ruling.

Certain statements contained in this report may be deemed to be forward-looking statements as defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and the Company intends that such forward-looking statements be subject to the safe-harbor created thereby. Such forward-looking statements may relate to, among other things: our litigation strategy and the anticipated benefits relating thereto and the outcome of pending litigation against us. We caution that these statements are qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected by the forward-looking statements herein. Such factors include, but are not limited to: market acceptance of our products; our dependence on sales of our TASER X26, X26P and X2 CEWs; the acceptance of our EVIDENCE.com software model; our ability to design, introduce and sell new products; delays in development schedules; rapid technological change and competition; product defects; breach of our security measures resulting in unauthorized access to customer data; outages and disruptions relating to our EVIDENCE.com service; budgetary and political constraints of prospects and customers; the long-term revenue recognition cycle for our SaaS EVIDENCE.com product; the length of our sales cycle and our ability to realize benefits from our marketing and selling efforts; our exposure to cancellation of government contracts due to appropriate clauses; litigation risks resulting from alleged product-related injuries and media publicity concerning allegations of deaths occurring after use of the TASER device and the negative impact this publicity could have on sales; the outcome of pending or future litigation; our ability to protect our intellectual property; intellectual property infringement claims and related litigation costs; competition in foreign countries relating to foreign patents; our successful identification of existing intellectual property rights that might infringe on our developments; risks of governmental regulations, including regulations of our products by the United States Consumer Product Safety Commission, regulation of our products as a "crime control" product by the Federal government, state and local government regulation and foreign regulation and the adverse effects that could result from our products being classified as firearms by the United States Bureau of Alcohol and Firearms; regulatory and political challenges presented by international markets; our compliance with regulations governing the environment, including but not limited to, regulations within the European Union; new regulations relating to conflict minerals; our dependence on third party suppliers for key components of our products; component shortages; rising costs of raw materials and transportation relating to petroleum prices; our ability to manage our growth and increase manufacturing production to meet demand; establishment and expansion of our direct and indirect distribution channels; our ability to pursue sales directly with customers; risks relating to acquisitions and joint ventures; catastrophic events; fluctuations in quarterly operating results; foreign currency fluctuations; counterparty risks relating to cash balances held in excess of FDIC insurance limits; employee retention risks and other factors identified in documents filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including those set forth in our Form 10-K.

# **Table of Contents**

# **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 7, 2014 TASER International, Inc.

By: /s/ DANIEL BEHRENDT

Daniel Behrendt Chief Financial Officer