## COMP 3270 SPRING 2020

## **Programming Project: Autocomplete**

Name:	Date Submitted:
State the algorithm for follow the pseudocode	stand the strategy provided for <i>TrieAutoComplete</i> . the functions <u>precisely using numbered steps that conventions</u> that we use. Provide an approximate ng the table given below, for your algorithm.
• Pseudocode:	
Complexity analys	sio:
<ul> <li>Complexity analysis</li> <li>Step #</li> </ul>	Complexity stated as O(_)
Otop #	Complexity stated as $O(\underline{\hspace{0.1cm}})$
Complexity	of the algorithm = O()
<ul><li>topMatch</li><li>Pseudocode:</li></ul>	
Complexity analys	sis:
Step#	Complexity stated as O(_)
Complexity	of the algorithm = O()
topMatches	
<ul> <li>Pseudocode:</li> </ul>	

• Complexity analysis:

Step #	Complexity stated as O(_)

Complexity of the algorithm = O()

2.**Testing**: Complete your test cases to test the *TrieAutoComplete* functions based upon the criteria mentioned below.

## Test of correctness:

Assuming the trie already contains the terms {"ape, 6", "app, 4", "ban, 2", "bat, 3", "bee, 5", "car, 7", "cat, 1"}, you would expect results based on the following table:

Query	k	Result
""	8	{"car", "ape", "bee", "app", "bat", "ban",
		"cat"}
""	1	{"car"}
""	2	{"car", "ape"}
""	3	{"car", "ape", "bee"}
"a"	1	{"ape"}
"ap"	1	{"ape"}
"b"	2	{"bee", "bat"}
"ba"	2	{"bee", "bat"}
"d"	100	{}

- 3. **Analysis**: Answer the following questions. Use data wherever possible to justify your answers, and keep explanations brief but accurate:
  - i. What is the order of growth (big-Oh) of the number of compares (in the worst case) that each of the operations in the *Autocompletor* data type make?
  - ii. How does the runtime of *topMatches()* vary with k, assuming a fixed prefix and set of terms? Provide answers for *BruteAutocomplete* and *TrieAutocomplete*. Justify your answer, with both data and algorithmic analysis.
  - iii. How does increasing the size of the source and increasing the size of the prefix argument affect the runtime of *topMatch* and *topMatches*? (Tip: Benchmark each implementation using fourletterwords.txt, which has all four-letter combinations from aaaa to zzzz, and fourletterwordshalf.txt, which has all four-letter word combinations from aaaa to mzzz. These datasets provide a very clean distribution of words and an exact 1-to-2 ratio of words in source files.)

- 4. Graphical Analysis: Provide a graphical analysis by comparing the following:
  - i. The big-Oh for *TrieAutoComplete* after analyzing the pseudocode and big-Oh for *TrieAutoComplete* after the implementation.
  - ii. Compare the *TrieAutoComplete* with *BruteAutoComplete* and *BinarySearchAutoComplete*.