Paper Presentation - Student Handout Biostatistics 794, Fall 2025

Purpose. Develop the ability to *find*, *explain*, *and critically evaluate* a research article that applies **machine learning** (beyond standard linear/logistic regression) to a public-health problem, with attention to training/tuning/validation, interpretability, and ethics.

What papers are eligible?

- Must use an ML approach or a $p \gg n$ setting. Standard linear/logistic regression alone does not qualify.
- Examples of qualifying methods: decision trees, random forests, bagging/boosting (XG-Boost/LightGBM), SVM, k-NN, naive Bayes, penalized regression (ridge/lasso/elastic net), neural networks/deep learning, ensemble stacking, clustering (k-means/hierarchical), dimension reduction (PCA/autoencoders), survival ML (e.g., random survival forests), text/NLP with embeddings, imaging CNNs.
- Prefer clear outcome definitions, transparent validation (CV or external test set), and strong public-health relevance (epi, health services, environmental health, genomics, neuroimaging, behavioral health).

Deliverables.

- Proposal (due Sept 12): Full citation + link; brief justification (< 250 words) on why ML or $p \gg n$; data source/size; outcome/predictors; main method; how performance was estimated; any privacy/ethics notes.
- Slides (PDF): Upload 24 hours before presenting (presentations will start Sept 28th and run through Nov 18th).
- Presentation: ~ 25 minutes in class ($\sim 12-16$ slides) + 5 minute discussion.

Suggested presentation structure (guide, not a script).

- 1. **Problem & Motivation:** why the question matters; why ML was needed: nonlinearity, interactions, complex data type, high dimensionality, prediction focus.
- 2. **Data & Design:** population; outcome; predictors; class balance; missingness; train/validation/test or external validation; leakage risks.
- 3. **Method Walkthrough:** conceptual explanation of the ML method; preprocessing/feature engineering; class imbalance handling; interpretability tools: variable importance, partial dependence plots.
- 4. **Training, Tuning & Validation:** what was tuned and how: grid/random/Bayesian search, early stopping; **CV or bootstrap**; metrics used and why: AUC/PR-AUC, calibration/Brier, RMSE/MAE.
- 5. **Results & Generalization:** main findings with uncertainty; subgroup/fairness checks; external/temporal validation; threats to validity.

- 6. **Ethics & Governance:** privacy/PHI, bias/fairness, transparency, reproducibility, code/data availability; fit to reporting guidance such as TRIPOD-ML, CONSORT-AI/SPIRIT-AI.
- 7. Your Critique & Improvements: what you trust/why; what you would change in data, design, method, validation, or deployment.

Logistics & tips.

- **Sign-ups:** class presentations will start Sept 28th and run through Nov 18th. I'll circulate a link to sign up for a date.
- Slides: Favor figures/diagrams over dense text; include 1 slide that clearly states intended use, limits, and assumptions.
- R angle (encouraged): Include a brief code sketch for a toy version of the method in R (e.g., tidymodels, ranger, xgboost, glmnet, e1071, kernlab, iml/shapviz).
- Common pitfalls to address: data leakage (features derived from outcome), tuning on the test set, reporting only accuracy for imbalanced data, ignoring calibration, no baseline comparator, no subgroup or site-level assessment, unclear outcome definition.

Good places to find papers. Lancet Digital Health, Nature Medicine/Digital Medicine, npj Digital Medicine, JAMIA, AJPH, PLOS Digital Health, JMIR, Health Services Research (ML issues), Scientific Reports (health ML), Patterns, Bioinformatics.

Questions? Bring candidate papers to office hours or post on the course forum for early feedback.

Reminder: Your proposal is due Sept 12. Choose a paper that clearly uses ML (or $p \gg n$), reports validation carefully, and addresses a substantive public-health question.

Table 1: Grading rubric (100 points) Appropriateness of paper & justification 10 Problem framing & motivation for ML 10 Method explanation (conceptual clarity, correctness) 20 15 Tuning & validation strategy (methods, metrics, leakage awareness) Results interpretation & baseline comparison 10 Interpretability, fairness, and ethics 10 Critical appraisal & generalization/transportability 10 10 Presentation quality (organization, visuals, timing, Q&A) Reproducibility signals (reporting, code/data commentary) 5