

# Chapter 1

## Sequences and Convergence

### Definition 1.0.1 ▶ Sequence

A **sequence** is an ordered list of real numbers.

$$s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots)$$

Formally, a **sequence** is a function  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We write  $s_n$  to denote  $s(n)$ .

We can define a sequence using an expression, like  $s_n := n^2$ . Then  $s = (1, 4, 9, 16, \dots)$ . Also, we can informally define a sequence in terms of its elements, like  $s = (3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, \dots)$ . We could just have a random sequence like  $s := (12.3, e^2, 1 - \pi, 10000, \dots)$ .

Let's consider how we can formalize the definitions of limits and convergence. Consider the sequence  $s_n := 1/n$ , then  $(s_n) = (1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \dots)$ . We have an intuitive idea that, as  $n$  gets bigger, then  $1/n$  gets closer to 0. We can say that this sequence “converges” to 0.

Now consider the sequence  $s := (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Does this sequence converge? This really depends on our definition of convergence. We might define this as, “ $s_n$  gets close to  $l$  as  $n$  gets large”. It certainly matches our intuition, but what exactly does “close to  $l$ ” mean? Maybe we could say, “ $|s_n - l|$  gets small as  $n$  gets large”. More precisely, this might be “for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$  when  $n$  is large”. That “ $n$  is large” is still imprecise. Fixing that part, we get the formal definition for convergence:

### Definition 1.0.2 ► Convergence

Let  $s := (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real numbers, and let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say  $s_n$  **converges** to  $l$  if, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ .

$$\forall(\epsilon > 0) \exists(N \in \mathbb{N}) \forall(n > N) (|s_n - l| < \epsilon)$$

Like in the approximation property, we use  $\epsilon$  to denote some arbitrarily tiny value that's really really close to 0, but not actually 0. We can also write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = l$  or  $s_n \rightarrow l$  to mean  $s_n$  converges to  $l$ .

### Technique 1.0.3 ► Proving Convergence

To prove that a sequence  $s$  converges to  $l$ , we carry out the following steps:

1. As some scratch work, solve the inequality  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$  for  $n$ .
2. In the formal proof, let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $N$  be greater than the solved thing. Let  $n > N$ , then work towards  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$ .

### Example 1.0.4 ► $1/n$ converges to 0

Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ .

**Intuition:** Since we're proving something for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , let's start by choosing some arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ . Next, we need to choose some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - l| &< \epsilon \\ \left| \frac{1}{n} - 0 \right| &< \epsilon \\ \frac{1}{n} &< \epsilon \\ n &> \frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

So we choose  $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . If  $n > N > 1/\epsilon$ , then  $1/n < \epsilon$ . Thus:

$$|s_n - l| = |1/n - 0| = 1/n < \epsilon$$

Make  
this ex-  
planation  
better

Therefore,  $s$  converges to 0. □

### Example 1.0.5

Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n+3}{3n+7} = \frac{2}{3}$ .

**Intuition:** This time, we want to choose some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - l| < \epsilon$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2n+3}{3n+7} - \frac{2}{3} \right| &< \epsilon \\ \left| \frac{6n+9-6n-14}{9n+21} \right| &< \epsilon \\ \frac{5}{9n+21} &< \epsilon \\ \frac{5}{\epsilon} &< 9n+21 \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{5}{\epsilon} - 21 \right) &< n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we want to choose  $N > \frac{1}{9} (5/\epsilon - 21)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \frac{1}{9} (5/\epsilon - 21)$ . If  $n > N > \frac{1}{9} (5/\epsilon - 21)$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} 9n &> 5/\epsilon - 21 \\ 9n &> \frac{5}{\epsilon} - 21 \\ 9n + 21 &> \frac{5}{\epsilon} \\ \frac{5}{9n+21} &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - l| &= \left| \frac{2n+3}{3n+7} - \frac{2}{3} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{6n+9-6n-14}{9n+21} \right| \\ &= \frac{5}{9n+21} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

The above proof chooses a sort of “optimal” or “best possible”  $N$ . We could have thrown

away the 21 in the denominator, and the inequality we're aiming for will still be the same.

*Alternate proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \frac{5}{9\epsilon}$ . If  $n > N > \frac{5}{9\epsilon}$ , then  $\frac{5}{9n} < \epsilon$ , so  $\frac{5}{9n+21} < \frac{5}{9n} < \epsilon$ . Then:

$$|s_n - l| = \left| \frac{2n+3}{3n+7} - \frac{2}{3} \right| = \frac{5}{9n+21} < \epsilon$$

□

### Example 1.0.6

Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n+3}{3n-7} = \frac{2}{3}$ .

**Intuition:** Here, we have to be careful about throwing away terms.

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - l| &< \epsilon \\ \left| \frac{2n+3}{3n-7} - \frac{2}{3} \right| &< \epsilon \\ \left| \frac{6n+9-6n+14}{9n-21} \right| &< \epsilon \\ \frac{23}{|9n-21|} &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We want  $9n - 21 > 0$ , so we must have  $n \geq 3$ . We can apply this restriction on  $n$  to get rid of the absolute value:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{23}{9n-21} &< \epsilon \\ \frac{23}{\epsilon} &< 9n-21 \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{23}{\epsilon} + 21 \right) &< n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we want to choose some  $N > \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{23}{\epsilon} + 21 \right)$  and  $N \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{23}{\epsilon} + 21 \right)$ . Then  $N > \frac{21}{9}$ , and since  $N$  is a

natural number, then  $N \geq 3$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $n > N$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 9n &> \frac{23}{\epsilon} + 21 \\ 9n - 21 &> \frac{23}{\epsilon} \\ \epsilon &> \frac{23}{9n - 21} \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$|s_n - l| = \left| \frac{2n+3}{3n-7} - \frac{2}{3} \right| = \left| \frac{23}{9n-21} \right| = \frac{23}{9n-21} < \epsilon$$

□

### Definition 1.0.7 ► Divergence

A sequence **diverges** if it does not converge.

$$\exists(\epsilon > 0) \forall(N \in \mathbb{N}) \exists(n > N)(|s_n - l| \geq \epsilon)$$

### Example 1.0.8 ► Diverging Sequence

Prove that  $s = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$  does not converge to 0.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = 1/2$ . Then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n > N$  such that  $s_n = 1$ . Then:

$$|s_n - 0| = |1 - 0| > \epsilon$$

Therefore,  $s$  does not converge.

□

## 1.1 Properties of Limits

A sequence can only converge to one value, not more. That is, if a sequence has a limit, then that limit is unique.

### Lemma 1.1.1

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $x < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $x \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by contraposition. Suppose  $x > 0$ . Let  $\epsilon := x/2 > 0$ . Then  $x \geq \epsilon = x/2$ . □

### Theorem 1.1.2 ► Uniqueness of Limits

Let  $s_n$  be a sequence of real numbers. If  $s_n$  converges to  $l_1$  and converges to  $l_2$ , then  $l_1 = l_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $s_n$  converges to  $l_1$ , then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - l_1| < \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N_1$ . Similarly, since  $s_n$  converges to  $l_2$ , then there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - l_2| < \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N_2$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $n > N_1$  and  $n > N_2$ . Then:

$$|l_1 - l_2| = |l_1 - s_n + s_n - l_2| \leq \underbrace{|l_1 - s_n| + |s_n - l_2|}_{??} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Hence,  $|l_1 - l_2| < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus, by Lemma 1.1.1,  $|l_1 - l_2| \leq 0$ . However, we know that  $|l_1 - l_2| \geq 0$  since it's an absolute value. Thus, we have  $|l_1 - l_2| = 0$ , so  $l_1 = l_2$ . □

Definitions of bounds for sequences, show that convergent implies boundedness

Split the theorem below into four separate theorems?

### Theorem 1.1.3

Suppose  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  are sequences of real numbers, and  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s_n$  converges to  $s$  and  $t_n$  converges to  $t$ . Then:

1.  $cs_n$  converges to  $cs$ .
2.  $s_n + t_n$  converges to  $s + t$ .
3.  $s_nt_n$  converges to  $st$
4. If  $t_n \neq 0$ , then for all  $n$  and  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{s_n}{t_n}$  converges to  $\frac{s}{t}$ .

*Proof of 1.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(s_n)$  converges to  $s$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{1+|c|}$  for all  $n > N$ . Then, for all  $n > N$ , we have:

$$|cs_n - cs| = |c(s_n - s)| = |c||s_n - s| < |c|\frac{\epsilon}{1+|c|} = \frac{|c|}{1+|c|}\epsilon < \epsilon$$

□

*Proof of 2.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(s_n)$  converges to  $s$ , then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N$ . Similarly, since  $t_n$  converges to  $t$ , then there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|t_n - t| < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $N \geq N_1$  and  $N \geq N_2$ . Then:

$$|(s_n + t_n) - (s + t)| = |s_n - s + t_n - t| \leq |s_n - s| + |t_n - t| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

That is,  $s_n + t_n$  converges to  $s + t$ . □

*Proof of 3.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $s_n$  converges to  $s$ , then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon/2(|t| + 1)$  for all  $n > N$ . Also,  $(s_n)$  converges, so  $(s_n)$  is bounded. That is, there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|s_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $t_n$  converges to  $t$ , there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|t_n - t| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(M+1)}$  for all  $n > N$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N \geq N_1$  and  $N \geq N_2$ . If  $n > N$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n t_n - st| &= |s_n t_n - s_n t + s_n t - st| \\ &= |s_n(t_n - t) + (s_n - s)t| \\ &\leq |s_n(t_n - t)| + |(s_n - s)t| \\ &= |s_n||t_n - t| + |s_n - s||t| \\ &< M \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+M)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+|t|)}|t| \\ &= \frac{M}{1+M} \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{abt}{1+|t|} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of 4.* We will prove that  $\frac{1}{t_n}$  converges to  $\frac{1}{t}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $t_n$  converges to  $t$  and  $t \neq 0$ , then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|t_n - t| < \frac{\epsilon t^2}{2}$ . By ??, there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|t_n| > \frac{|t|}{2}$  for all  $n > N_2$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > N_1$  and  $N > N_2$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be

arbitrary. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{t_n} - \frac{1}{t} \right| &= \left| \frac{t - t_n}{t_n t} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|t_n|} \frac{1}{|t|} |t - t_n| \\ &< \frac{2}{|t|} \frac{1}{|t|} \frac{\epsilon t^2}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

By 3, if  $s_n$  converges to  $s$ , then  $\frac{s_n}{t_n} = s_n \left( \frac{1}{t_n} \right)$  converges to  $s \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = \frac{s}{t}$ . □

### Lemma 1.1.4 ▶ Limit of a Constant Sequence

If  $s_n$  is a constant sequence  $(l, l, l, \dots)$ , then  $s_n$  converges to  $l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|s_n - l| = 0 < \epsilon$ . □

### Example 1.1.5 ▶ Using the Properties

Prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5n^3 - 8n^2 + 15}{7n^3 + 19n + 4} = \frac{5}{7}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5n^3 - 8n^2 + 15}{7n^3 + 19n + 4} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5 - 8/n + 15/n^3}{7 + 19/n^2 + 4/n^3} \\ &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 5 - 8/n + 15/n^3}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 7 + 19/n^2 + 4/n^3} \\ &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 5 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8/n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 15/n^3}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 7 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 19/n^2 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4/n^3} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can work with each limit independently. Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \right)$ , so:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5n^3 - 8n^2 + 15}{7n^3 + 19n + 4} = \frac{5}{7}$$

□

lemma  
14.6 for  
bounding  
in proof  
of 4.

Explain  
new no-  
tation

better



### Definition 1.1.6 ► Increasing, Decreasing, Monotonic

A sequence  $(s_n)$  is:

- **increasing** if  $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **strictly increasing** if  $s_n < s_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **decreasing** if  $s_n \geq s_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **strictly decreasing** if  $s_n > s_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $(s_n)$  satisfies any of these properties, then we say  $(s_n)$  is **monotonic**.

For example,  $(s_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\right)$  is strictly decreasing and thus monotonic.

### Theorem 1.1.7 ► Monotone Sequence Theorem

Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers.

1. If  $(s_n)$  is increasing and bounded above, then  $(s_n)$  converges to  $\sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
2. If  $(s_n)$  is decreasing and bounded below, then  $(s_n)$  converges to  $\inf\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**Idea:** Assuming  $s$  is our limit, we want to find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s - s_n| < \epsilon$ , or  $s - \epsilon < s_n$  for all  $n > N$ . Then  $s - \epsilon < s_n \leq s$  for all  $n > N$ .

*Proof of 1.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because  $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is non-empty and bounded above, then it has a supremum. Let  $s := \sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Thus, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_N > s - \epsilon$  (by the approximation property). Since  $(s_n)$  is increasing, we have:

$$\forall (n > N) (s - \epsilon < s_N \leq s_n \leq s)$$

Hence,  $\epsilon < s_n - s \leq 0$ , so  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$ . □

*Proof of 2.* Suppose  $(s_n)$  is decreasing and bounded below. Then  $s_{n+1} \leq s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s_n \geq m$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,  $-s_{n+1} \geq -s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $-s_n \leq -m$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $(-s_n)$  is increasing and bounded above. By the first part, we know  $(-s_n)$  converges to  $\sup\{-s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = -\inf\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Hence,  $(s_n)$  converges to  $\inf\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . □

## 1.2 Subsequences

So far, we've only looked at well-behaving sequences that converge. What about sequences that don't converge? Can we still find some nice properties that describe their behavior?

$$(s_n) = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots)$$

Consider a sequence  $(t_n)$  where  $t_n = s_{2n}$ . That is:

$$(t_n) = (s_2, s_4, s_6, \dots) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$$

Inside this diverging sequence, we can find a convergent **subsequence**! Intuitively, we can make a subsequence by “throwing away” terms but keeping the same order. We can formally define a subsequence as follows:

### Definition 1.2.1 ▶ Subsequence

Given a sequence  $(s_n)$ , a **subsequence** is any sequence of the form  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $t_k = s_{n_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $n_k < n_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For example, if we had  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, \dots, s_{213}, s_{214}, s_{215}, \dots)$ , we can have a subsequence like:

$$(t_n) = (s_3, s_5, s_{213}, \dots)$$

Here, we would have  $n_1 = 3$ ,  $n_2 = 5$ ,  $n_3 = 213$ , and so on.

### Example 1.2.2 ▶ Subsequences

Let  $(s_n) := (1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, \dots)$

1.  $(t_n) := (1, 1/4, 1/9, 1/16, 1/25, \dots)$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  where  $t_n = 1/n^2$ , or  $t_n = s_{n^2}$ .
2.  $(t_n) := (1/5, 1/25, 1/125, \dots)$  is also a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  with  $t_n = \frac{1}{5^n}$  or  $t_n = s_{5^n}$ .
3.  $(t_n) := (1/7, 1/2, 1/12, 1/6, \dots)$  is **not** a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  because the indices in  $s_n$  are not strictly increasing. We have  $n_1 = 7$ , but  $n_2 = 2$ .

**In general:**

$$(s_n) = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots)$$

$$(t_n) = (s_{n_k}) = (s_{n_1}, s_{n_2}, s_{n_3}, \dots)$$

### Lemma 1.2.3 ► Indices of Subsequences

If  $(s_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $n_k \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will use induction.

**Base Case:** Since  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n_1 \geq 1$ .

**Induction Step:** Suppose  $n_k \geq k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $n_{k+1} > n_k$ , we have  $n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 1 \geq k + 1$ .

Hence,  $n_k \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Theorem 1.2.4 ► Limits of Subsequences

Suppose  $(s_n)$  is a sequence of real numbers, and  $s_n$  converges to  $s$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $(s_{n_k})$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)$ , then  $s_{n_k}$  converges to  $s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $s_n$  converges to  $s$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . Suppose  $k > N$ . By lemma 1.2.3,  $n_k \geq k > N$ , so  $|s_{n_k} - s| < \epsilon$ .  $\square$

## 1.3 Limit Superior and Inferior

Suppose  $(s_n)$  is a bounded sequence. Then there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-M \leq s_n \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= \sup\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\} = \sup\{s_k : k \geq 1\} \\ t_2 &:= \sup\{s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots\} = \sup\{s_k : k \geq 2\} \\ t_3 &:= \sup\{s_3, s_4, s_5, \dots\} = \sup\{s_k : k \geq 3\} \\ &\vdots \\ t_n &:= \sup\{s_n, s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}, \dots\} = \sup\{s_k : k \geq n\} \\ t_{n+1} &:= \sup\{s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}, s_{n+3}, \dots\} = \sup\{s_k : k \geq n+1\} \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$-M \leq s_n \leq t_n$$

and:

$$t_{n+1} \leq t_n$$

so  $(t_n)$  is bounded below and decreasing. Hence,  $(t_n)$  converges by the Monotone Sequence Theorem.

### Definition 1.3.1 ► Limit Superior, Limit Inferior

Let  $(s_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. The **limit superior** is defined as:

$$\limsup s_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{s_k : k \geq n\}$$

Similarly, the **limit inferior** is defined as:

$$\liminf s_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{s_k : k \geq n\}$$

### Example 1.3.2

Define  $s_n := \begin{cases} 3 + \frac{1}{n}, & n \text{ is even} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n}, & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

$(s_n) = (0, 3 + 1/2, 2/3, 3 + 1/4, 4/5, 3 + 1/6)$

Let's try to calculate the limit superior of  $s_n$ . Define  $(t_n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= \sup\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\} = 3 + \frac{1}{2} \\ t_2 &:= \sup\{s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots\} = 3 + \frac{1}{2} \\ t_3 &:= \sup\{s_3, s_4, s_5, \dots\} = 3 + 1/4 \\ t_4 &:= \sup\{s_4, s_5, s_6, \dots\} = 3 + 1/4 \\ t_5 &:= \sup\{s_5, s_6, s_7, \dots\} = 3 + 1/6 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $\limsup s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{s_k : k \geq n\} = 3$ . We might refer to 3 as the “largest limit point”.

Now let's try to calculate the limit inferior of  $s_n$ . Define  $(r_n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &:= \inf\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\} = 0 \\ r_2 &:= \inf\{s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots\} = \frac{2}{3} \\ r_3 &:= \inf\{s_3, s_4, s_5, \dots\} = \frac{2}{3} \\ r_4 &:= \inf\{s_4, s_5, s_6, \dots\} = \frac{4}{5} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $\liminf s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf\{s_k : k \geq n\} = 1$ . We might refer to 1 as the “smallest limit point”.

### Theorem 1.3.3

Suppose  $(s_n)$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers, and suppose that  $(s_{n_k})$  is a convergent subsequence of  $(s_n)$ . Then  $\liminf s_n \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} \leq \limsup s_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r_n := \inf\{s_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $t_n := \sup\{s_k : k \geq n\}$ . Then  $r_n \leq s_n \leq t_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $r_{n_k} \leq s_{n_k} \leq t_{n_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (todo: theroem),  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n$ . Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \liminf s_n$ , and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{n_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \limsup s_n$ . By the (todo: problem set squeeze theorem), we have:

$$\liminf s_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{n_k} = \limsup s_n$$

□

### Theorem 1.3.4 ► Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Suppose  $(s_n)$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. The  $(s_n)$  has a subsequence that coverges to  $\limsup s_n$ , and  $(s_n)$  has a subsequence that converges to  $\liminf s_n$ .

#### Intuition:

- Let  $t_k := \sup\{s_k, s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}, \dots\}$ , so  $\limsup s_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k$ .
- For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find some  $n_k \geq k$  such that  $t_k - 1/k < s_{n_k}$ .
- Thus,  $-1/k < s_{n_k} - t_k \leq 0$ , so  $|s_{n_k} - t_k| < 1/k$
- By (todo: problem set),  $s_{n_k} - t_k \rightarrow 0$ , so  $s_{n_k} = s_{n_k} - t_k + t_k \rightarrow \limsup s_n$ .

- But: we need  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < n_{k+1} < \dots$ . So we need to choose  $n_k$  inductively!

*Proof for limsup.* We will choose a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  that converges to  $\limsup s_n$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $t_k := \sup\{s_k, s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}, \dots\}$ . For convenience, let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there exists  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  and  $|s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}}| < \frac{1}{k}$ .” We define  $n_0 := 0$ .

**Base Case:** Let  $t_1 := \sup\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ . By the approximation property (todo ref), there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_1 - 1 < s_{n_1} \leq t_1$ . Subtracting across by  $t_1$ , we have  $-1 < s_{n_1} - t_1 \leq 0$ . Thus,  $|s_{n_1} - t_1| < 1$ .

**Induction Step:** Now we aim to prove  $P(k-1) \implies P(k)$ . There exists  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_k > n_{k-1}$ , and:

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{1+n_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{k} < s_{n_k} \leq t_{1+n_{k-1}} \\ \implies & -\frac{1}{k} < s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}} \leq 0 \\ \implies & |s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}}| < \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}}) = 0$ . Since  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(s_{n_k})$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)$ . But  $(t_{1+n_{k-1}})$  is a subsequence of  $(t_k)$ , so:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{1+n_{k-1}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \limsup s_n$$

Thus:

$$s_{n_k} = s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}} + t_{1+n_{k-1}}$$

so:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s_{n_k} - t_{1+n_{k-1}}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{1+n_{k-1}} = 0 + \limsup s_n$$

Therefore,  $(s_{n_k})$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  that converges to  $\limsup s_n$ . □

### Theorem 1.3.5 ► Convergence iff $\limsup = \liminf$

Let  $(s_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then  $(s_n)$  converges if and only if  $\liminf s_n = \limsup s_n$

*Proof.* First, suppose  $s_n$  converges to some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a subsequence  $(s_{n_k})$  of  $(s_n)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \limsup s_n$ . But  $s_n$  converges to  $s$ , so  $s_{n_k}$  also converges to  $s$ . That is,  $s = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \limsup s_n$ . By the same reasoning, we have  $s = \liminf s_n$ . Hence,  $\liminf s_n = \lim s_n = \limsup s_n$ .  $\square$