Allocating optional modules to University of York students using constrained optimisation

Alexander Muller

13 March 2012

This is the report for a Bachelor of Science final-year project in Computer Science and Mathematics at the University of York. The project was supervised by Dr James Cussens, Senior Lecturer in the Artifical Intelligence Group, Department of Computer Science.

This report is 1,900 words, as counted by running detex <report.tex> | wc -w. It is 10 pages long.

From their second year onwards, most students at the University of York can choose between two or more optional modules to tailor their academic career, in the hope it will be more relevant, interesting and useful to them. Optional module allocation in most departments is currently handled using a paper form which must be returned to departmental administrators. This project aims to design and implement a piece of webbased software that can be used by departments and students to allocate modules more fairly and with less administrative overhead. The web application will be piloted by the Archaeology and History departments in March 2012 and, if successful, will be offered to all departments and maintained centrally by the University. This report discusses the choices made around the technology used, the development methodology and details relating to the allocation algorithm. The software will be evaluated according to criteria set out by the project steering group, and the resulting evaluation is also discussed.

Contents

1.	Statement of ethics	4
2.	Introduction 2.1. The current state of module allocation	4 4
3.	Research 3.1. Development methodology	5 5 5 6
4.	Development, implementation and testing 4.1. User research 4.2. Web application frameworks 4.3. Visual appearance 4.4. Accessibility 4.5. Allocation algorithm 4.6. Testing 4.7. Pilot by the Archaeology and History departments	6 6 7 7 7 7
5.	Further work	7
6.	Conclusions	7
Α.	External links	8
В.	Participant consent form	g

1. Statement of ethics

No person volunteering to help with the project (those interviewed during the research stage) will be put in a position of physical danger. Consent will be obtained from all volunteers prior to their interview, and volunteers' personal information will not be published or shared. A copy of the consent form signed by all volunteers is given in Appendix B.

As far as the project author is aware, there are no immediate ethical problems relating to the creation of the module allocation software. While it is possible the software may be repurposed for some completely different task, such an action is impossible to foresee.

The project steering group has noted that as a student, the author must not be given access to any sensitive personal information. This includes, but is not limited to, student names, email addresses and degree course information. Development of the software will be carried out with fake data in a similar form to the real data held by the University. The University's Data Protection Officer was consulted during the project, and their input is discussed in more detail later in this report.

2. Introduction

This project is the result of requests by departments at the University of York for more flexibility in the way they offer optional modules to undergraduate students. The project is sponsored by University Teaching Committee and is overseen in that regard by Laura Crossley of the Academic Support Office.

As well as being marked as an undergraduate project, the software will be evaluated independently by Ms Crossley. If it is judged to provide sufficient advantages over the current methods of module allocation, it may be recommended that responsibility for the software is handed to the University's IT Services department.

A project steering group was formed consisting of representatives from each of the pilot departments (Archaeology and History), administrative staff responsible for IT and timetabling, and the project author and supervisor. At the initial meetings, this group set out the scope for the project...

2.1. The current state of module allocation

- 1. At the University of York
- 2. Elsewhere around the world

Refer to documents provided by Laura

Universities worldwide want to give their students the most interesting and useful education possible, and one way this can be accomplished is by offering flexibility in modules students can take.

At the University of York, module allocation is something dealt with at the departmental level. Some departments make use of centrally offered software (eVision and SITS, the university management information system), though several departments feel the software is not flexible enough and continue to use paper-based forms that must be filled out and returned to the departmental office. A smaller number of departments, such as Computer Science, have written their own module choice and allocation software.

At other universities in the United Kingdom (for example, Warwick and Leeds), enrolement is completed online, on a first-come-first-served basis.

2.2. Web applications at the University of York

- 1. Student portal
- 2. Timetabling gateway

3. Google Apps for Education

The university is constantly improving the quality of web applications available to students. In 2011, a new "student portal" was created, allowing students to view information relevant to them in one place. For the beginning of the 2011-12 academic year, new timetabling software is in use to give members of the university access to their complete timetable in one location—something which has not been possible before. And in 2012, the university will start to use Google Apps for Education for email and calendaring, which will hopefully improve the user experience dramatically over the current webmail offering.

3. Research

One or more review chapters, describing the research you did at the beginning of the project period.

3.1. Development methodology

Agile?

Prototypes?

Zhang and Chung note that prototyping can be used to reinforce client confidence as well as making better use of the time allocated to development and implementation [1].

Prototyping is useful because... [2].

3.2. Database design

A database management system (DBMS) is a piece of software that manages the database, including providing the ability to add or edit records stored in the database. DBMS products are mature, many having been available since the early 1990s.

Relational database models are a common feature of web applications. We note that the University of York already deploys MySQL and Oracle (both relational model) database systems for its web applications and MIS.

How should the database be structured? Good question [3].

We start with a table of entities:

Student ID (key), name, course Module ID (key), name, class size

Allocation Student ID (foreign key), module ID (foreign key)

We should draw an entity-relationship diagram.

3.3. Maintainability and the future of the software

As the software created for this project will have to be maintained by the University's IT Services if evaluated as successful, care must be taken to ensure that the application is implemented in the most maintainable way possible.

There are several basic methods recommended by Green and Ledgard [4] for writing readable and maintainable code:

Use vertical alignment. Breaking lines when they're going to be long anyway. Use simple names for things. Less is more, use i for an iterator rather than elementNumber. The more often a variable is used, the shorter its name should be. Use white space to break up code. Using blank spaces around operators like the equals sign means the person reading has to do less work to understand the code. Indent if statements. Comment code when necessary, but do not write bad code that you intend to fix by commenting.

Green and Ledgard argue that Unix code is unreadable, and "textbook code" is too deeply commented. We should strike a balance between the two.

They note that for any system that may have a long lifespan, every effort should be taken to improve "readability and maintainability".

3.4. Sensitive information and the Data Protection Act

As noted in the Statement of ethics, we should discuss Charles' input here.

4. Development, implementation and testing

Several chapters describing what you have done, focusing on the novel aspects of your own work.

4.1. User research

I met with lots of people.

I spoke to students. We chatted about what annoys them with web apps, what they like, and how the system could work. I gave them a prototype of the app to play with, and get their feedback.

I spoke to departmental administrators, who will be responsible for setting up the system.

4.2. Web application frameworks

A framework is a certain amount of reusable code that helps application developers by reducing the complexity of common web operations. For example, any moderately complex application will need to write user input to a data store, and protecting against malicious input is an obvious concern when executing code in a database.

Many frameworks include functions that write to the database on behalf of the developer, and will automatically sanitise all input to prevent against attacks. As every application written should sanitise user input, it is this kind of repetitive action that frameworks help ease. A useful framework should increase the amount of time that a developer can spend building the unique parts of their application.

While it is possible to build a web application without using a framework, there is no advantage to rewriting basic operations for this project. If the system needed to operate at a scale or speed far beyond what these frameworks were capable of, it is likely that the software would have to be built from scratch to meet those requirements.

With the limited amount of time allocated to development and implementation, it is sensible to spend a small amount of time choosing a framework to allow more time to implement the system.

I chose a framework. It could be based on ColdFusion, Ruby or Python. Or something else entirely. But at the moment I'm not sure.

Rails guesses the model's attributes based on the database schema, whereas Django requires that the model's attributes be listed in the application, and the schema is then created.

```
class Allocation < ActiveRecord::Base
  belongs_to :student
  belongs_to :module
end</pre>
```

There's a good comparison of Django and Rails which is quite methodical. In it, the authors note that equivalent applications took $\frac{2}{3}$ the time to be implemented in Django than Rails [5].

There's also a good comparison of the three big players that pretty much rules out CakePHP as being rubbish [6].

4.3. Visual appearance

The application should be visually consistent with other University web software to instill trust in the user.

4.4. Accessibility

Perhaps talking about Dave Swallow, CS PhD.

4.5. Allocation algorithm

Let's discuss the algorithm here.

4.6. Testing

How will the software be tested?

4.7. Pilot by the Archaeology and History departments

How did the pilot go?

5. Further work

A chapter describing possible ways in which your work could be continued or developed. Be imaginative but realistic.

We should probably ask departments what they'd like to see in the future.

If I didn't have time to implement a weighting system (rather than a simple, plain ranking system) I could talk about that here.

6. Conclusions

This is similar to the abstract. The difference is that you should assume here that the reader of the conclusions has read the rest of the report.

It was a success. Well, hopefully.

A. External links

Screenshots? Code? Something else?

This appendix gives URLs to departments, offices or services mentioned throughout the document. http://www.york.ac.uk/about/organisation/governance/sub-committees/teaching-committee/http://www.york.ac.uk/it-services/

I would like to make the code of this software available online, at https://github.com/alexmuller/york-allocation.

B. Participant consent form

All research participants (students and staff of the University of York) signed the consent form shown in Figure 1. This consent form is adapted from one made available by Alistair Edwards for Computer Science students to use during their projects. As of 5 November 2011, the original is available at http://www-users.cs.york.ac.uk/~alistair/projects/consent.html.

In each case, the top half of the form was retained by the project author and the second half was given to the participant in case they had any further questions about the interview.

Allocating optional modules to University of York students # BSc 3rd year project in the Department of Computer Science # Participant consent, November 2011
Your participation in this interview is entirely voluntary; there will be no remuneration for the time you spend helping.
All data gathered from this interview will be treated in a confidential fashion: it will be archived securely and will be interpreted only for purposes of this student project. Whenever your data are reported (in the project report or elsewhere), no personal information will accompany the data.
There are no known risks to participation in this experiment, and you may withdraw at any point. Please feel free to ask Alex if you have any questions.
If you are willing to participate, please sign this consent form.
Name:
Signature:
Date:
Allocating optional modules to University of York students # BSc 3rd year project in the Department of Computer Science # Participant consent, November 2011
Should you have questions about this interview at any point, feel free to contact either the researcher or his supervisor.
Researcher's contact details:
Alex Muller <am639@student.cs.york.ac.uk> 07525 370 388 30 Siward Street, York Y010 3LW</am639@student.cs.york.ac.uk>
Supervisor's contact details:
James Cussens <james.cussens@cs.york.ac.uk> 01904 325 371 RCH/326, The Hub, Deramore Lane, University of York, York Y010 5GE</james.cussens@cs.york.ac.uk>

Figure 1: Participant consent form.

References

- [1] J. Zhang and J.-Y. Chung, "Mockup-driven fast-prototyping methodology for web application development," *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 33, no. 13, pp. 1251–1272, November 2003. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/spe.547 [Accessed: 27 Oct 2011]
- [2] M. Bochicchio and R. Paiano, "Prototyping web applications," in *Proceedings of the 2000 ACM symposium on Applied computing Volume 2*, ser. SAC '00. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2000, pp. 978–983. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/338407.338712 [Accessed: 27 Oct 2011]
- [3] J. L. Johnson, *Database: Models, Languages, Design.* Oxford University Press, USA, 1997. [Online]. Available: http://ukcatalogue.oup.com/product/9780195107838.do [Accessed: 28 Oct 2011]
- [4] R. Green and H. Ledgard, "Coding guidelines: Finding the art in the science," Queue, vol. 9, pp. 10:10–10:22, November 2011. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2063166.2063168 [Accessed: 4 Nov 2011]
- [5] B. Askins and A. Green, "A Rails / Django comparison," *The Python Papers*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 44–53, 2007. [Online]. Available: http://ojs.pythonpapers.org/index.php/tpp/article/viewArticle/24 [Accessed: 22 Oct 2011]
- [6] J. Plekhanova, "Evaluating web development frameworks: Ruby on Rails Django, CakePHP," Philadelphia, and Fox School of Business, Temple University, PAUSA, Tech. Rep., September 2009, The Institute for Business and Information Technology Report. [Online]. Available: http://ibit.temple.edu/blog/2009/09/01/ evaluating-web-development-frameworks-django-ruby-on-rails-and-cakephp/ [Accessed: 22 Oct 2011]