

Allocating optional modules to University of York students using constrained optimisation

Alexander Muller

16 March 2012

This is the report for a Bachelor of Science final-year project in Computer Science and Mathematics at the University of York. The project was supervised by Dr James Cussens, Senior Lecturer in the Artificial Intelligence Group, Department of Computer Science.

This report is 1,500ish words, as counted by running `detex <report.tex> | wc -w`. It is 9 pages long.

(The limits are 35,000 words and 70 pages - neither limit may be exceeded.)

(Other projects I've seen have been 12,000/60, 11,000/60, etc)

Abstract

This is just a couple of paragraphs which summarizes the report content. Must be comprehensible to someone who has not read the rest of the report. Not more than 200 words - this is 190. From their second year onwards, most students at the University of York can choose between two or more optional modules to tailor their academic career, in the hope it will be more relevant and interesting. Optional module allocation in most departments is currently handled using a paper form which must be handed to departmental administrators. This project aims to design and implement a piece of web-based software that can be used by departments to allocate modules more fairly and with less administrative overhead. The web application will be piloted by the Archaeology and History departments and, if successful, will be offered to all departments and maintained by the University. This report discusses the choices made around the technology used, the development methodology and details relating to the allocation algorithm. The software will be evaluated according to criteria set out by the project steering group, and the resulting evaluation is discussed here.

Contents

1	Statement of Ethics	4
2	Introduction	4
2.1	The current state of module allocation	4
2.2	Web applications at the University of York	4
3	Previous work	5
3.1	Development methodology	5
3.2	Database design	5
4	Development, implementation and testing	5
4.1	User research	5
4.2	Web application frameworks	7
4.3	Allocation algorithm	7
4.4	Accessibility	7
5	Further work	7
6	Conclusions	7
7	Appendices	8
7.1	External links	8

1 Statement of Ethics

1. Do no harm
2. Informed consent
3. Confidentiality of data

No person volunteering to help with the project (primarily those interviewed during the research stage) will be put in a position of physical danger. Consent will be obtained from all volunteers prior to their interview, and volunteers' personal information will not be published or shared.

As far as the project author is aware, there are no insurmountable ethical problems relating to the creation of the module allocation software.

The project steering group has noted that as a student, the author must not be given access to any sensitive personal information. Development of the software will be carried out with fake data in a similar form to the real data held by the University. The University's Data Protection Officer was consulted during the project, and their input is discussed in more detail later in this report.

2 Introduction

The scope of the project, setting the scene for the remainder of the report.

This project is the result of requests by departments at the University of York for more flexibility in the way they offer modules to undergraduate students. The project is sponsored by University Teaching Committee and is overseen in that regard by Laura Crossley, Academic Support Office.

As well as being marked as an undergraduate project, the software will be evaluated independently by Ms Crossley. If it is judged to provide sufficient advantages over the current methods of module allocation, it may be recommended that responsibility for the software is handed to the University's IT Services department.

A project steering group was formed consisting of representatives from each of the pilot departments (Archaeology and History), administrative staff responsible for IT and timetabling, and the project author and supervisor. At the initial meetings, this group set out the scope for the project...

2.1 The current state of module allocation

1. At the University of York
2. Elsewhere around the world

Refer to documents provided by Laura

Universities worldwide want to give their students the most interesting and useful education possible, and one way this can be accomplished is by offering flexibility in modules students can take.

At the University of York, module allocation is something dealt with at the departmental level. Some departments make use of centrally offered software (eVision and SITS, the university management information system), though several departments feel the software is not flexible enough and continue to use paper-based forms that must be filled out and returned to the departmental office. A smaller number of departments, such as Computer Science, have written their own module choice and allocation software.

At other universities in the United Kingdom (for example, Warwick and Leeds), enrolment is completed online, on a first-come-first-served basis.

2.2 Web applications at the University of York

1. Student portal
2. Timetabling gateway

3. Google Apps for Education

The university is constantly improving the quality of web applications available to students. In 2011, a new "student portal" was created, allowing students to view information relevant to them in one place. For the beginning of the 2011-12 academic year, new timetabling software is in use to give members of the university access to their complete timetable in one location—something which has not been possible before. And in 2012, the university will start to use Google Apps for Education for email and calendaring, which will hopefully improve the user experience dramatically over the current webmail offering.

3 Previous work

One or more review chapters, describing the research you did at the beginning of the project period.

3.1 Development methodology

Agile?

Prototypes?

Zhang and Chung note that prototyping can be used to reinforce client confidence as well as making better use of the time allocated to development and implementation [1].

Prototyping is useful because... [2].

3.2 Database design

A database management system (DBMS) is a piece of software that manages the database, including providing the ability to add or edit records stored in the database. DBMS products are mature, many having been available since the early 1990s.

Relational database models are a common feature of web applications. We note that the University of York already deploys MySQL and Oracle (both relational model) database systems for its web applications and MIS.

How should the database be structured? Good question [3].

We start with a table of entities:

Student Student ID (key), name, course

Module Module ID (key), name, class size

Allocation Student ID (foreign key), module ID (foreign key)

We should draw an entity-relationship diagram.

4 Development, implementation and testing

Several chapters describing what you have done, focusing on the novel aspects of your own work.

Figure 1 shows a screenshot of the One Click Orgs software.

4.1 User research

I met with lots of people.

I spoke to students. We chatted about what annoys them with web apps, what they like, and how the system could work.

I spoke to departmental administrators, who will be responsible for setting up the system.

Chrome File Edit View History Bookmarks Window Help

Constitution - Never needed x Inbox - alexmuller@gmail.c x Form a new Association - O x oneclickorgs/one-click-orgs x

localhost:3000/associations/new

One Click Orgs

Form a new Association

Provide details for yourself and the Association to be formed then One Click Orgs will automatically create a draft constitution. You can invite other "founding members" from your group to view the constitution and suggest changes. When everyone's ready you can hold a vote to found the Association. Please see [the FAQ](#) if you have any questions.

You can change all of these details (apart from your web address) before calling a founding vote.

Your details

First name
Alex

Last name
Muller

Email address
admin@example.com

Choose password

Confirm password

The Association

Association's official name
Testing, testing

Your One Click Orgs web address will be
(You can change this before you go to the next stage)
testingtesting localhost:3000

What the Association exists for
testing

☒ I agree to the [Terms and Conditions](#) of One Click Orgs

Creating constitution and voting system

One Click Orgs • [Frequently asked questions](#)

Licensed under the [AGPL](#) • [Source code available](#) • [OPEN SERVICE](#)

Figure 1: A screenshot of the One Click Orgs software.

4.2 Web application frameworks

A framework is a certain amount of reusable code that helps application developers by reducing the complexity of common web operations. For example, any moderately complex application will need to write user input to a data store, and protecting against malicious input is an obvious concern when executing code in a database.

Many frameworks include functions that write to the database on behalf of the developer, and will automatically sanitise all input to prevent against attacks. As every application written should sanitise user input, it is this kind of repetitive action that frameworks help ease. A useful framework should increase the amount of time that a developer can spend building the unique parts of their application.

While it is possible to build a web application without using a framework, there is no advantage to rewriting basic operations for this project. If the system needed to operate at a scale or speed far beyond what these frameworks were capable of, it is likely that the software would have to be built from scratch to meet those requirements.

With the limited amount of time allocated to development and implementation, it is sensible to spend a small amount of time choosing a framework to allow more time to implement the system.

I chose a framework. It could be based on ColdFusion, Ruby or Python. Or something else entirely. But at the moment I'm not sure.

Rails guesses the model's attributes based on the database schema, whereas Django requires that the model's attributes be listed in the application, and the schema is then created.

```
class Allocation < ActiveRecord::Base
  belongs_to :student
  belongs_to :module
end
```

There's a good comparison of Django and Rails which is quite methodical. In it, the authors note that equivalent applications took $\frac{2}{3}$ the time to be implemented in Django than Rails [4].

There's also a good comparison of the three big players that pretty much rules out CakePHP as being rubbish [5].

4.3 Allocation algorithm

Let's discuss the algorithm here.

4.4 Accessibility

Perhaps talking about Dave Swallow, CS PhD.

5 Further work

A chapter describing possible ways in which your work could be continued or developed. Be imaginative but realistic.

We should probably ask departments what they'd like to see in the future.

If I didn't have time to implement a weighting system (rather than a simple, plain ranking system) I could talk about that here.

6 Conclusions

This is similar to the abstract. The difference is that you should assume here that the reader of the conclusions has read the rest of the report.

It was a success. Well, hopefully.

7 Appendices

Screenshots? Code? Something else?

I would like to make the code of this software available online, at <https://github.com/alexmuller/york-allocation>.

7.1 External links

This section gives URLs to departments, offices or services mentioned throughout the document.

<http://www.york.ac.uk/about/organisation/governance/sub-committees/teaching-committee/>

<http://www.york.ac.uk/it-services/>

References

- [1] J. Zhang and J.-Y. Chung, “Mockup-driven fast-prototyping methodology for web application development,” *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 33, no. 13, pp. 1251–1272, November 2003. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/spe.547> [Accessed: 27 Oct 2011]
- [2] M. Bochicchio and R. Paiano, “Prototyping web applications,” in *Proceedings of the 2000 ACM symposium on Applied computing - Volume 2*, ser. SAC '00. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2000, pp. 978–983. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/338407.338712> [Accessed: 27 Oct 2011]
- [3] J. L. Johnson, *Database: Models, Languages, Design*. Oxford University Press, USA, 1997. [Online]. Available: <http://ukcatalogue.oup.com/product/9780195107838.do> [Accessed: 28 Oct 2011]
- [4] B. Askins and A. Green, “A Rails / Django comparison,” *The Python Papers*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 44–53, 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://ojs.pythonpapers.org/index.php/tpp/article/viewArticle/24> [Accessed: 22 Oct 2011]
- [5] J. Plekhanova, “Evaluating web development frameworks: Django, Ruby on Rails and CakePHP,” Fox School of Business, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA, Tech. Rep., September 2009, The Institute for Business and Information Technology Report. [Online]. Available: <http://ibit.temple.edu/blog/2009/09/01/evaluating-web-development-frameworks-django-ruby-on-rails-and-cakephp/> [Accessed: 22 Oct 2011]