

AMBIGUITY ESTIMATION

The Two-Frequency Case

We consider *double differenced* observations. We omit the subscripts and superscripts related to the receivers and satellites, since there are exactly two of each:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \rho^* + I - e_1 \\ \Phi_1 &= \rho^* - I + \lambda_1 N_1 - \epsilon_1 \\ P_2 &= \rho^* + (f_1/f_2)^2 I - e_2 \\ \Phi_2 &= \rho^* - (f_1/f_2)^2 I + \lambda_2 N_2 - \epsilon_2. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$



Actually, we have $\alpha = f_1/f_2 = 154/120 = 1.283\ 333\ \dots$. Equation (1) is transformed into the elegant matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ \Phi_1 \\ P_2 \\ \Phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\alpha^2 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho^* \\ I \\ N_1 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ e_2 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

When all e and ϵ values are set to zero, we can solve the four equations to find the four unknowns. This determines the ideal pseudorange ρ^* , the instantaneous ionospheric delay I , and the ambiguities N_1 and N_2 .



The inverse coefficient matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha-1} & 0 \\ -\frac{\alpha+1}{\lambda_1(\alpha-1)} & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} & \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\alpha-1)} & 0 \\ -\frac{2\alpha}{\lambda_2(\alpha-1)} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_2} & \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In one epoch of observations we estimate the *reals* N_1 and N_2 from (2). Next, the following Matlab code estimates the *integers* N_1 and N_2 from the real values just computed (the algorithm is due to Clyde C. Goad):

```
K1 = round(N1 - N2);
```

```
K2 = round(60 * N1 - 77 * N2);
```

```
trueN2 = round((60 * K1 - K2)/17);
```

```
trueN1 = round(trueN2 + K1);
```



Observation Equations

Let the vector \mathbf{b} contain the three components of the baseline, the vector \mathbf{a} contains ambiguities for L_1 and possibly for L_2 . The double differenced observations are collected in the vector \mathbf{y} :

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{y} + \text{errors.} \quad (3)$$

The normal equations are

$$\begin{bmatrix} B^T B & B^T A \\ A^T B & A^T A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^T \\ A^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \quad (4)$$



and the solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^T B & B^T A \\ A^T B & A^T A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B^T \\ A^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}} & Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \\ Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^T & Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^T \\ A^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}. \quad (5)$$

The components of the solution vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ are reals; however, we want a solution $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ of *integers*!

Hence we find an integer vector \mathbf{a} such that

$$(\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{a})^T Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{a}) = \min. \quad \text{over integer vectors } \mathbf{a}. \quad (6)$$

After the integer solution $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ is found we substitute it for $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$. Consequently the solution for $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ changes to $\check{\mathbf{b}}$.

In order to determine $\check{\mathbf{b}}$ we multiply the lower block row in (5) by $Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1}$ and



subtract from the upper block row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{a}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} & 0 \\ Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^T & Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^T \\ A^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}. \quad (7)$$

The upper block row gives

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{a}} = (Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1}) B^T \mathbf{y}.$$

The right side is known and constant. If we change $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ to $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ then $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ changes to $\check{\mathbf{b}}$ and we have:

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{a}} = \check{\mathbf{b}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} \check{\mathbf{a}}$$

or

$$\check{\mathbf{b}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} - Q_{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{a}}} Q_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \check{\mathbf{a}}). \quad (8)$$

The right side is known and $\check{\mathbf{b}}$ is quickly found.



Minimizing a Quadratic Expression over Integer Variables

If Q_a^{-1} in (6) is diagonal, the best vector comes from rounding each component of \hat{a} to the nearest integer. The components are uncoupled when Q_a^{-1} is diagonal. The quadratic is purely a sum of squares $\sum Q_{jj}^{-1} (a_j - \hat{a}_j)^2$. The minimum comes by making each term as small as possible. So the best \check{a}_j is the integer nearest to \hat{a}_j .

In practice the individual \hat{a}_j components are highly correlated. Recalling that we often deal with 20 ambiguities we realize that a search procedure to find the minimum is unrealistic. Therefore an idea about decorrelating the ambiguities best possible, before starting the search, should lead to a good procedure. This is just what the Lambda method does.



The first step consists in transforming Q_a^{-1} such that its off-diagonal entries become numerically smaller; these entries measure the correlation.

We start by computing the LDL^T decomposition of the given covariance matrix

$$Q_a^{-1} = LDL^T.$$

Next we construct a matrix of integers Z from L by a sequence of *integer Gauss transformations* and *permutations* such that

$$Q_z = Z^T Q_a Z$$

is as “diagonal” as possible and

$$z = Z^T a. \tag{9}$$

Now the search defined by (6) is substituted by a search over integers z :



$$(\hat{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{z})^T \mathbf{Q}_{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{z}) = \min. \quad (10)$$

The choice of \mathbf{Z} is based on integer elimination starting with the first row of \mathbf{L} . There will certainly be row exchanges and therefore \mathbf{Z} will not be triangular. The essential idea was given by Lenstra, Lenstra, and Lovász in 1982, and the algorithm is sometimes called L^3 . For further details see Strang and Borre (1997), pages 495–499.

The result of the search is the integer vector $\check{\mathbf{z}}$. Finally $\check{\mathbf{z}}$ is transformed back to the ambiguity space according to

$$\check{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^T \check{\mathbf{z}}. \quad (11)$$



Note that both Z and Z^{-1} must have integer entries. A consequence of this is that $\det(Z) = 1$ as seen from Cramer's rule. The condition on the determinant means that the Z transformation preserves the search volume. We have transformed a highly correlated space (elongated ellipses) by a sphere-like search space and this diminishes the search time tremendously. The M -file `l_detail` illustrates the computational steps including several numerical details.

Details of the implementation can be found in the report
<http://www.geo.tudelft.nl/mgp/papers/pdf/lgr12.pdf>



The Three-Frequency Case

The modernized GPS will have a third frequency $f_5 = 1176.45 \text{ MHz} = 115 f_0$.

The basic frequency is $f_0 = 10.23 \text{ MHz}$. Remember that the L_2 signal is at

$f_2 = 1227.60 \text{ MHz} = 120 f_0$ and the L_1 signal is at

$f_1 = 1575.42 \text{ MHz} = 154 f_0$.

We suppose given the three pseudoranges P_1 , P_2 , and P_5 and the observed phases ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_5 (in cycles) from one epoch. We seek N_1 , N_2 , and N_5 .

Ron Hatch *et al.* have suggested the subsequent algorithm:

```
v_light = 299792458; % vacuum speed of light m/s
```

```
f1 = 154 * 10.23E6; % L1 frequency Hz
```

```
f2 = 120 * 10.23E6; % L2 frequency Hz
```

```
f5 = 115 * 10.23E6; % L5 frequency Hz
```



```
lambda1 = v_light/f1; % wavelength on L1: .19029367 m  
lambda2 = v_light/f2; % wavelength on L2: .244210213 m  
lambda5 = v_light/f5; % wavelength on L5: .2528280488 m
```

```
%We compute combinations of wavelengths
```

```
l5 = 1/(1/lambda2 - 1/lambda5); % 5.861 m
```

```
l8 = 1/(1/lambda1 - 1/lambda2); % 0.862 m
```

```
l11 = 1/(1/lambda1 + 1/lambda2); % 0.107 m
```

```
%Given observations
```

```
P1 = ...
```

```
P2 = ...
```

```
P5 = ...
```



$\phi_1 = \dots$

$\phi_2 = \dots$

$\phi_5 = \dots$

$$N_{58} = (f_2 * P_2 + f_5 * P_5) / (I_5 * (f_2 - f_5)) - (\phi_2 - \phi_5);$$

$$N_{86} = (f_1 * P_1 + f_2 * P_2) / (I_8 * (f_1 + f_2)) - (\phi_1 - \phi_2);$$

$$N_{11} = (f_1 * P_1 - f_2 * P_2) / (I_{11} * (f_1 - f_2)) - (\phi_1 + \phi_2) / 2;$$

$$N_1 = (N_{86} + N_{11}) / 2;$$

$$N_2 = (N_{86} - N_{11}) / 2;$$

$$N_5 = N_2 - N_{58};$$

Hatch, Ron & Jaewoo Jung & Per Enge & Boris Pervan (2000): *Civilian GPS: The Benefits of Three Frequencies*

