# STAT 709: My notes

Ralph Møller Trane Fall 2018 (compiled 2018-09-18)

# Contents

1	Intro			
	1.1	Textbook	5	
	1.2	Conventions re: $\infty$	5	
2	Lecture Notes 7			
	2.1	Chapter 1: Probability Theory	7	
	2.2	Lecture 2: 9/11	9	
	2.3	Lecture 2: 9/11	12	
3	Discussion Notes			
	3.1	Discussion 1: 5/14	13	
4	Homework 17			
	4.1	Chapter 1	17	

4 CONTENTS

# Intro

## 1.1 Textbook

As of Fall 2018, this class uses the book *Mathematical Statistics* by Jun Shao (2nd. edition). Unless otherwise noted, all definitions, lemmas, proposition, theorems, etc. can be found there. Numbering might not match.

## 1.2 Conventions re: $\infty$

We will use the following conventions:

- $\infty + x = \infty, x \in \mathbb{R}$
- $x \cdot \infty = \infty$  if x > 0
- $x \cdot \infty = -\infty$  if x < 0
- $0 \cdot \infty = 0$
- $\infty + \infty = \infty$
- $\infty^a = \infty, \forall a > 0$
- $\infty \infty$  and  $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$  are not defined

6 CHAPTER 1. INTRO

## Lecture Notes

## 2.1 Chapter 1: Probability Theory

#### 2.1.1 Lecture 1: Measure space, measurable function, and integration

#### 2.1.1.1 $\sigma$ -fields

**Definition 2.1** (σ-field (or σ-algebra)). A  $\mathcal{F}$  collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a σ-field (or σ-algebra) if the following three conditions hold:

- i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- ii)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- iii) If  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., then  $\bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 2.1** (A Few  $\sigma$ -fields). There are some trivial examples. One is the example where  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ . It is easy to check that the three conditions are met for this collection of subsets. Another trivial example would be  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}(\Omega)^1$ .

The simplest non-trivial example is  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, A, A^C, \Omega\}$  where  $A \subset \Omega$ . Since this collection of subsets is so small, it is easy to check the three conditions mentioned above.

**Definition 2.2** (Measurable Space). If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ , then we call  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  a measurable space.

#### 2.1.1.2 $\sigma$ -field generated by a collection of subsets

Sometimes we are interested in a specific collection of subsets, C, that is NOT a  $\sigma$ -field. But since all the machinery we will develop works with  $\sigma$ -fields, we are interested in creating a  $\sigma$ -field that contains C. So we introduce the notion of a  $\sigma$ -field generated by a collection of subsets.

**Definition 2.3** (Generated  $\sigma$ -field). The smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing a collection of subsets,  $\mathcal{C}$ , is called the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

 $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is used to denote the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , and is by definition the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that contains  $\mathcal{C}$ : if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field with  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

#### 2.1.1.3 Borel $\sigma$ -field

A particular important  $\sigma$ -field is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field. In general, this is defined as the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the collection of all open subsets of a specific topology. In particular, if we consider  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is the k-dimensional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>P is used to denote the collection of all subsets.

Euclidean space,  $\mathcal{O} = \{ O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \mid O \text{ open set} \}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{B}^k$  (the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ).

It can be shown that the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the collection of all closed sets is also the Borel  $\sigma$ -field.

Sometimes it is useful to be able to limit ourselves to a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . In such cases, we can create the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on that subspace in the following way: if  $C \in \mathcal{B}^k$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_C = \{C \cap B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^k\}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on C.

#### 2.1.1.4 Measures

**Definition 2.4** (Measure). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a measurable space

 $\nu: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is said to be a *measure* if

- i)  $0 \le \nu(A) \le \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$
- ii)  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$
- iii) If  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$  (i.e.  $A_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint), then it must hold that

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$$

**Example 2.2** (Counting Measure). The *counting measure* is simply the measure that returns the number of elements in a set.

**Example 2.3** (Lebesgue Measure). The measure  $m: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying that for all intervals [a, b], a < b,

$$m([a,b]) = b - a,$$

is called the *lebesgue measure*. This measure is unique.

**Definition 2.5** ( $\sigma$ -finite measures). A measure  $\nu$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\}$  such that  $\bigcup A_i = \Omega$  and  $\nu(A_i) < \infty, \forall i$ .

**Definition 2.6** (Measure Space). If  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a measurable space, then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  is a *measure space*.

**Example 2.4.** Both the Lebesque measure is  $\sigma$ -finite.

The counting measure is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if  $\Omega$  is countable.

**Definition 2.7** (Probability Space). If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  is a measurable space with  $\nu(\Omega) = 1$ , then it is called a *probability space*.

**Proposition 2.1** (Properties of measures). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be a measure space. Then the following holds:

- i) **Monotonicity:** if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\nu(A) \le \nu(B)$
- ii) **Subadditivity:** for any sequence,  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ ,

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$$

iii) Continuity: if  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$  (or  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ ), then

$$\nu\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu\left(A_n\right),\,$$

where

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \left( or = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right)$$

**Definition 2.8** (Cumulative Distribution Function). The *cumulative distribution function* (c.d.f.) of a measure  $\nu$  is defined as

$$F(x) = \nu((-\infty, x]), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures on  $(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B})$  and the set of c.d.f.'s.

**Proposition 2.2** (Properties of c.d.f.'s). i) For a c.d.f,  $\mathcal{F}$ , on  $\mathbb{R}$ , it holds that: a)  $F(-\infty) = 0$  b)  $F(\infty) = 1$  c)  $x \leq y \Rightarrow F(x) \leq F(y)$  (non-decreasing) d)  $\lim_{y \to x^+} F(y) = F(x)$  (right continuous) ii) If a function  $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the four conditions above, it is a c.d.f. of a unique probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Proposition 2.3** (The Product Measure Theorem). If  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \nu_i)$ , i = 1, ..., k are measure spaces with  $\sigma$ -finite measures. Then there exists a unique measure on the  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \times ... \mathcal{F}_k)$ :

$$\nu_1 \times \cdots \times \nu_k (A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k) = \nu_1 (A_1) \dots \nu_k (A_k)$$

for all  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

This measure is called the product measure.

**Definition 2.9** (Joint and Marginal c.d.f.'s). The *join c.d.f.* of a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$  is defined as

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = P((-\infty, x_1] \times \cdots \times (-\infty, x_k]), \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## 2.2 Lecture 2: 9/11

**Definition 2.10** (Measurable Function). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  be measurable spaces. Let  $f: \Omega \to \Lambda$ .

f is called a *measurable function* if and only if

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\text{i.e.} f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{F} \forall G \in \mathcal{G}).$$

Note that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the collection of all subsets of  $\Omega$ , then all functions are measurable.

**Definition 2.11** ( $\sigma$ -field generated by a function). Let f be as in @ref{measurable-function}. Then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We call it the  $\sigma$ -field generated by f, and denote it by  $\sigma(f)$ .

**Definition 2.12** (Borel Functions). A function from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is called a \*Borel function\$ if it is measurable.

**Proposition 2.4** (Properties of Borel Functions). *i)* A function is Borel if and only if  $f^{-1}(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- ii) If f and g are Borel, then so are fg and af + bg, where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also, if  $g(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then f/g is also Borel.
- iii) If  $f_1, f_2, ...$  are all Borel functions, then so are  $\sup_n f_n$ ,  $\inf_n f_n$ ,  $\lim \sup_n f_n$ , and  $\lim \inf_n f_n$ . Furthermore, the set

$$A = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega | \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega) \text{ exists} \right\}$$

is an event, and the function

$$h(\omega) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n \omega & \omega \in A \\ f_1(\omega) & \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

- iv) Suppose that f is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  and g is measurable from  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$  to  $(\Delta, \mathcal{H})$ . Then the composite function  $g \circ f$  is measurable from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(\Delta, \mathcal{H})$ .
- v) Let  $\Omega$  be a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . If f is a continuous function from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , then f is measurable.

**Proposition 2.5.** For any non-negative Borel function f there exists a sequence of non-negative simple functions  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$  such that

$$f_n \to f \text{ for } n \to \infty$$

**Definition 2.13** (Distribution). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be a measure space, and f a measurable function from this measure space into the measurable space  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{G})$ .

The measure defined as

$$\nu \circ f^{-1}(B) = \nu(f \in B) = \nu(f^{-1}(B)), \quad B \in \mathcal{G}$$

is called the *induced measure* by f.

If  $\nu$  is a probability measure and f is a random variable (i.e. a Borel function), then  $\nu \circ f^{-1}$  is called the distribution (or law) of f, and is denoted  $\nu_f$ .

Notice that there are many notations for the same thing. If P is a probability measure and X a random variable, then

$$P_X(B) = P(X \in B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P \circ X^{-1}.$$

#### 2.2.1 Integration

**Definition 2.14** (Simple Function). A function  $\phi$  is called a *simple function* if it is of the form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i 1_{A_i},$$

where  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are sets. If  $a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , then  $\phi$  is a non-negative simple function.

**Definition 2.15** (Integral of a Non-negative Simple Function). The integral of a non-negative simple function  $\phi$  with respect to a measure  $\nu$  is defined as

$$\int \phi d\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \nu(A_i).$$

**Definition 2.16** (Integral of Non-negative Borel Function). Let f be a non-negative Borel function. Let  $S_f$  be the collection of ALL non-negative simple function with  $\phi(\omega) \leq f(\omega), \forall \omega \in \Omega$ .

The integral of f with respect to  $\nu$  is defined as

$$\int f d\nu = \sup \left\{ \int \phi d\nu \, | \phi \in \mathcal{S}_f \right\} \, .$$

Note: one consequence of this is that for any non-negative Borel function, there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots$  such that  $0 \le \phi_i \le f$  for all i and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi_n d\nu = \int f d\nu$$

**Definition 2.17** (Integral of General Borel Function). Let f be a Borel function, and let  $f_+(\omega) =$  $\max\{f(\omega),0\}$  (i.e. the positive part) and  $f_{-}(\omega)=\max\{-f(\omega),0\}$  (i.e. the negative part). If at least one of  $\int f_+ d\nu$  and  $\int f_- d\nu$  is finite, we say that  $\int f d\nu$  exists and

$$\int f d\nu = \int f_+ d\nu - \int f_- d\nu.$$

**Definition 2.18** (Integrable Functions). When  $\int f d\nu < \infty$ , i.e. the integral of both the positive and negative part of f is finite, we say that f is *integrable*.

Note: as a consequence of the definition of an integrable function we have that a Borel function is integrable if and only if |f| is integrable. (This is true since  $|f| = f_+ + f_-$ .)

Notation: There are many different ways to write down an integral:

$$\int f d\nu = \int_{\Omega} f d\nu = \int f(\omega) d\nu = \int f(\omega) d\nu(\omega) = \int f(\omega) \nu(d\omega),$$

and if F is the c.d.f. (2.8) of a probability measure P on  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$ ,

$$\int f(x)dP = \int f(x)dF(x) = \int fdF$$

**Proposition 2.6** (Linearity of Integrals). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be a measure space, and f and g be Borel functions.

i) If  $\int f d\nu$  exists, then for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\int (af) d\nu$  exists, and

$$\int (af)d\nu = a \int fd\nu$$

ii) If  $\int f d\nu$  and  $\int g d\nu$  both are well defined, then  $\int (f+g) d\nu$  exists and

$$\int (f+g)d\nu = \int fd\nu + \int gd\nu$$

*Proof.* Show that it holds for indicator functions, simple functions, non-negative functions, and then all functions. 

**Definition 2.19** (Almost Everywhere or Almost Surely). A statement is said to be true  $\nu$ -a.e. (or  $\nu$ -a.s.) if it is true for all  $\omega \notin N$  and  $\nu(N) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.7** (a.e. for integrals). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be a measure space, and f and g be Borel functions.

- i) If  $f \leq g \ \nu$ -a.e., then  $\int f d\nu \leq \int g d\nu$ , given that both integrals exist ii) If  $f \geq 0 \ \nu$ -a.e. and  $\int f d\nu = 0$ , then  $f = 0 \ \nu$ -a.e.

*Proof.* ii) Let  $A = \{f > 0\}$  and  $A_n = \{f \ge n^{-1}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Then  $A_n \subset A$  for any n and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = \{f \ge n^{-1}\}$ .  $\cup A_n = A$  (show that this holds).

Then, by (iii) of 2.1,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(A_n) = \nu(A)$ . By part (i) and proposition 2.6, we get that, for any n,

$$n^{-1}\nu(A_n) = \int n^{-1}I_{A_n}d\nu \le \int fI_{A_n}d\nu \le \int fd\nu = 0.$$

### 2.2.2 Radon-Nikodym Derivatives

## 2.3 Lecture 3: 9/13

Proof of Ex 1.11: for any borel set A: if A = (-infty, x), it holds. If not, then...

 $\pi$ - and  $\lambda$ -systems.

Definition:  $\pi$ -system

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of subsets, and it holds that  $A, B \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ .

For a pi system,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}$ 

**Proposition 2.8** (Calculus with Radon-Nikodym Derivatives). Let  $\nu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . All other measures discussed in the following are defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

i) If  $\lambda$  is a measure,  $\lambda \ll \nu$ , and  $f \geq 0$ , then

$$\int f d\lambda = \int f \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu} d\nu.$$

ii) If  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, 2, are measures and  $\lambda_i << \nu$ , then  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 << \nu$  and

$$\frac{d(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{d\nu} = \frac{d\lambda_1}{d\nu} + \frac{d\lambda_2}{d\nu} \quad \nu\text{-a.e.}$$

iii) If  $\tau$  is a measure,  $\lambda$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and  $\tau << \lambda << \nu$ , then

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\nu} = \frac{d\tau}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\nu} \quad \nu\text{-}a.e..$$

iv) Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \nu_i)$  be a measure space and  $\nu_i$  be  $\sigma$ -finite, i = 1, 2. Let  $\lambda_i$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$  and  $\lambda << \nu_i$ , i = 1, 2. Then  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 << \nu_1 \times \nu_2$  and

$$\frac{d(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)}{d(\nu_1 \times \nu_2)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{d\lambda_1}{d\nu_1}(\omega_1) \frac{d\lambda_2}{d\nu_2}(\omega_2) \quad \nu_1 \times \nu_2 \text{-} a.e.$$

.

# **Discussion Notes**

## 3.1 Discussion 1: 5/14

#### 3.1.1 $\sigma$ -fields

**Exercise 3.1** (Countable intersection/union of  $\sigma$ -fields). Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , n = 1, 2, ... be a sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields on  $\Omega$ . Show the following:

- a)  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.
- b) If  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \ldots$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -field.

Solution (ref(exr:ex11) a)). We need to show (i)-(iii) from definition 2.1.

- i) Since  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are all  $\sigma$ -fields,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Hence,  $\emptyset \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .
- ii) As (i).
- iii) Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of subsets from  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Then, for all  $i, A_i \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n. Since  $F_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -field,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n, and so  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .

Hence,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

Solution (ref(exr:ex11) b)). Let 
$$\Omega = [0, 1]$$
, and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma \left\{ [0, \frac{1}{2^n}), [\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}), \dots, [\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n}, 1) \right\}$ .

Now, consider the set  $B_n = [0, \frac{1}{2^n})$ . Clearly  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n, hence  $B_n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Hower,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_n = \{0\} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ . So  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is not closed under countable intersection, i.e. it is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

#### 3.1.2 $\pi - \lambda$ systems

**Definition 3.1** ( $\pi$ -system). Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{D}$  is said to be a  $\pi$ -system if it is closed under intersection, i.e. if

$$A, B \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{D}$$
.

**Definition 3.2** ( $\lambda$ -system). Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -system if it satisfies that

- i)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- ii) If  $A, B \in \Omega$  with  $A \subset B$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \Omega$
- iii) If  $A_n \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all n, then

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{L}$$

**Theorem 3.1**  $(\pi - \lambda \text{ Theorem})$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system s.t.  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}$ , the  $\sigma\{(D)\} \subset \mathcal{L}$ . **Exercise 3.2** (Proof of the  $\pi - \lambda$  Theorem).

Solution. Proof hints:

- 1) If  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is  $\lambda$ -system for all  $t \in I$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}_t$ , then  $\cap_{t \in I} \mathcal{L}_t$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. Denote this  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$  (smallest  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\mathcal{D}$ ).
- 2) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\pi$ -system AND a  $\lambda$ -system, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.
- 3) If  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\pi$ -system, then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$  is  $\pi$ -system.

By 1)-3),  $\mathcal{L} = \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ , which implies ...

## 3.1.3 The "Good Sets" Principle

**Exercise 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\pi$ -system, and  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  two measures that agree on  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.

$$\nu_1(A) = \nu_2(A)$$
 for all  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Assume there is a sequence of sets  $A_n \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $A_n \uparrow \Omega$  and  $\nu_i(A_n) < \infty$  for all n.

Use the  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem to prove that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  agree on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ . Solution. Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be given by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{ A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \mid \nu_1(A \cap A_n) = \nu_2(A \cap A_n) \forall n \}$$

Let  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $A_n \in \mathcal{P}$  for all n and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -system,  $A \cap A_n \in \mathcal{P}$ . So  $\nu_1(A \cap A_n) = \nu_2(A \cap A_n)$ , hence  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ .

By definition,  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , so  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

Now, if we can prove that  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\lambda$ -system for all n, then by the  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem (theorem 3.1), we have that  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ , which combined with the paragraph above gives us that  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{F}_n$ , hence  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  agree on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

So let us show that  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is indeed a  $\lambda$ -system:

i)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Since  $A_n \uparrow \Omega$ , we can use continuity of measure (proposition 2.1) to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_i(A_n) = \nu_i(\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n)$$
$$= \nu_i(\Omega).$$

Since  $\nu_1(A_n) = \nu_2(A_n)$   $(A_n \in \mathcal{P})$ , it holds that  $\nu_1(\Omega) = \nu_2(\Omega)$ , so  $\Omega \in \mathbb{P} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ .

ii) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_n$  with  $A \subset B$ . So,

$$\nu_1((A \setminus B) \cap A_n) = \nu_1(A \cap A_n) - \nu_1(B \cap A_n)$$
$$= \nu_2(A \cap A_n) - \nu_2(B \cap A_n)$$
$$= \nu_2((A \setminus B) \cap A_n),$$

which means that  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .

iii) Let  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_n$  s.t.  $B_i \subset B_{i+1}$ . Then, once again using continuity of measures to move limits around, we have

$$\nu_1 \left( \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap A_n \right) = \nu_1 \left( \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (B_i \cap A_n) \right) \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \lim_{i \to \infty} \nu_1(B_i \cap A_n) \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \lim_{i \to \infty} \nu_2(B_i \cap A_n) \tag{3.3}$$

$$= \nu_2 \left( \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (B_i \cap A_n) \right) \tag{3.4}$$

$$= \nu_2 \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap A_n \right), \tag{3.5}$$

which gives us that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

### 3.1.4 From Indicator Function to General (Borel) Function

When we deine the Lebesgue integral, we define it in three steps.

- 1) First for indicator functions, which in turn is generalized to simple non-negative functions (i.e. linear combinations of indicator functions).
- 2) Second for any non-negative functions (which is done by utilizing that any such function can be described as the limit of a sequence of simple functions)
- 3) A general function (by separating the positive and negative parts)

**Exercise 3.4.** Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots\}$  be a countable set,  $\mathcal{F}$  all subsets of  $\Omega$ , and  $\nu$  the counting measure on  $\Omega$ . Show that for any Borel function f, the integral of f with respect to  $\nu$  is

$$\int f d\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\omega_i) \tag{3.6}$$

Solution. Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and define  $f = 1_A$ . Then

$$\int f d\nu = \int_A d\nu$$
$$= \nu(A)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1_A(\omega_i)$$

I.e. (3.6) holds for indicator functions, and hence also for simple functions.

Now, let f be a non-negative Borel function. Then we know that there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_i^{\infty}$  of simple functions such that  $f_n \uparrow f$ . Then

$$\int f d\nu = \int \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n d\nu$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\nu.$$

Since  $f_n$  is a simple function, we know that @ref{eq:ex14} holds. Hence,

$$\int f d\nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_n(\omega_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\omega_i),$$

and so @ref{eq:ex14} holds for non-negative Borel functions.

Finally, let f be any Borel function. Then we can write  $f = f_+ - f_-$ , where  $f_+ = \max(f,0)$  and  $f_- = \max(f,0)$  $\max(-f,0)$ . Then both  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  are non-negative Borel functions, hence  $\operatorname{@ref}\{\operatorname{eq}: \exp(14)\}$  holds for both. So

$$\int f d\nu = \int f_{+} d\nu - \int f_{-} d\nu$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{+}(\omega_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{-}(\omega_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{+}(\omega_{i}) - f_{-}(\omega_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(\omega_{i}).$$

So (3.6) holds for all Borel functions.

#### 3.1.5Switch the Order of Integration and Limit

**Exercise 3.5** (Generalized Dominated Convergence Theorem). If  $\lim f_n = f$  and there exists a sequence of integrable functions  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots$  such that

- $|f_n| \leq g_n$  a.e.
- $g_n \to g$  a.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int g_n d\nu = \int g d\nu$

then

$$\int \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n d\nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\nu \tag{3.7}$$

# Homework

## 4.1 Chapter 1

#### 4.1.1 First Exam Period

**Exercise 4.1** (Ex 2). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  and let  $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field on } \Omega \text{ and } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}\}$ . Show that  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \cap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}$ .

Solution (Ex 2). Let  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega)$  be the collection of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . We know that this is a  $\sigma$ -field. It also contains  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .

By definition,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that contains  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \in \Gamma$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{F}$  for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \cap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}$ . But since  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \in \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \in \cap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}$ , which in turn ensures that  $\cap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ .

Hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \cap_{\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 4.2** (Ex 3). Let  $\Omega, \mathcal{F}_j$ ), j = 1, 2, ..., be measurable spaces such that  $\mathcal{F}_j \subset \mathcal{F}_{j+1}$ . Is  $\cup_j \mathcal{F}_j$  a  $\sigma$ -field? Solution (Ex 3). No.

Let 
$$\Omega = [0, 1]$$
, and  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma \left\{ [0, \frac{1}{2^n}), [\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}), \dots, [\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n}, 1) \right\}$ .

Now, consider the set  $B_n = [0, \frac{1}{2^n})$ . Clearly  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n, hence  $B_n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Hower,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \{0\} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ . So  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  is not closed under countable intersection, i.e. it is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Exercise 4.3** (Ex 5). a) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $\lambda$ -system such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Solution (Ex 6).

Exercise 4.4 (Ex 6). Prove part (ii) and (iii) of proposition 2.1.

Solution (Ex 6). i) Let  $A \subset B$ . Then  $B \setminus A \cap A = \emptyset$ , hence  $\nu(B) = \nu((B \setminus A) \cup A) = \nu(B \setminus A) + \nu(A) \ge \nu(A)$ .

ii) Let  $A_1, A_2, ...$  be a sequence of sets. Define  $B_i = A_i \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k)$ . Then the  $B_i$ s are pairwise disjoint. Hence,

$$\nu\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \nu\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(B_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(A_i \setminus \left(\cup_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k\right)\right).$$

Since  $A_i \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k \right) \subset A_i$ , we use (i) to get the result:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(A_i \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} A_k\right)\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(A_i\right)$$

iii) Let  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \ldots$  Define  $B_i = A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$ . Then  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets, and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Hence,

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu(B_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu(A_{i} \setminus A_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu(A_{i}) - \nu(A_{i-1})$$

$$= \nu(A_{k}).$$

Taking the limit on both sides gives us

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(A_n).$$

**Exercise 4.5** (Ex 12). Let  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  be two measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\nu(A) = \lambda(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , where C is a  $\pi$ -system (3.1). Assume that  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite (2.5).

Show that  $\nu(A) = \lambda(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ .

Solution (Ex 12). Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}) | \nu(A) = \lambda(A) \}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . If we can show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{F}$  (since  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that contains  $\mathcal{C}$ ), which proves that  $\nu(A) = \lambda(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$ 

Exercise 4.6 (Ex 14). Prove proposition 1.4

**Exercise 4.7** (Ex 15). Show that a monotone function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is Borel, and a c.d.f. on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is Borel.

**Exercise 4.8** (Ex 17). Let f be a non-negative Borel function on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Show that f is the limit of a sequence of simple functions  $\{\phi_n\}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with  $0 \le \phi_1 \le \phi_2 \le \cdots \le f$ .

**Exercise 4.9** (Ex 19). Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of Borel functions on a measurable space. Show that

a)  $\sigma(f_1, f_2, ...) = \sigma(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma(f_j)) = \sigma(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma(f_1, ..., f_j)).$ b)  $\sigma(\limsup_n f_n) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(f_n, f_{n+1}, ...).$ **Exercise 4.10** (Ex 24). Let f be an integrable function on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ . Show that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta_{\epsilon}$  such that for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ :

$$\nu(A) < \delta_{\epsilon} \Rightarrow \int_{A} |f| d\nu < \epsilon.$$

Solution. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\nu(A) < \delta_{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{\max_{\alpha \in A} |f(\alpha)|}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{A} |f| d\nu &\leq \int_{A} \max_{\omega \in A} |f(\omega)| d\nu \\ &= \max_{\omega \in A} |f(\omega)| \nu(A) \\ &< \epsilon. \end{split}$$

4.1. CHAPTER 1

**Exercise 4.11** (Ex 30). For any c.d.f. F and any  $a \ge 0$ , show that  $\int [F(x+a) - F(x)]dx = a$  Solution.

Exercise 4.12 (Ex 34). Prove proposition 2.8}

Solution (Ex 34 i)). Let g be the unique function denoted by  $\frac{d\lambda}{d\nu}$ . Assume  $f = 1_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\lambda << \nu$ , we know that  $\lambda(A) = \int_A g d\nu$ . So,

$$\int f d\lambda = \int 1_A d\lambda$$

$$= \lambda(A)$$

$$= \int_A g d\nu$$

$$= \int 1_A g d\nu = \int f g d\nu.$$

Hence, (i) is true for all indicator functions, and so by linearity of integrals (2.6) for all non-negative simple functions.

Now, let f be a general non-negative Borel function. Then we know that there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots$  such that  $\phi_n \uparrow f$ . Hence, utilizing the monotone convergence theorem and the fact that we know (i) holds for simple functions,

$$\int f d\lambda = \int \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n d\lambda$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi_n d\lambda$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi_n g d\nu$$

$$= \int \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n g d\nu$$

$$= \int f g d\nu,$$

and so we have shown that (i) holds for any non-negative Borel function. Solution (Ex 34 ii)). Assume  $\lambda_1 << \nu$  and  $\lambda_2 << \nu$ . Then

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(A) = \lambda_1(A) + \lambda_2(A)$$
$$= \int_A g_1 d\nu + \int_A g_2 d\nu$$
$$= \int_A (g_1 + g_2) d\nu,$$

so  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \ll \nu$ , and

$$\frac{d(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{d\nu} = g_1 + g_2 = \frac{d\lambda_1}{d\nu} + \frac{d\lambda_2}{d\nu}.$$