Sampling with Twitter following graph with aPPR

Alex Hayes

2020-10-08

The Goal: Collect data on a portion of the Twitter following graph

Solution

Download the data from Twitter API using rtweet package

Difficulties:

- API is severely rate limited
- Who to actually sample?

Three key types of information we want

- user data (i.e. node attributes)
- who follows a user (i.e. edge data)
- who a user follows (i.e. edge data)

You're gonna need rtweet

We don't have time to discuss here in detail

You're adults, read the documentation at https://docs.ropensci.org/rtweet/

Get a developer token. This can take a while, so do this ASAP.

Two ways to identify Twitter users

- screen_name (string): for example "karlrohe"
- 2. user_id (64 bit integer encoded as string): for example "1191642560"

Getting user information with rtweet

```
library(rtweet)
library(dplyr)
lookup users("karlrohe") %>%
  select(screen name, user id, friends count)
## # A tibble: 1 \times 3
## screen name user id friends count
## <chr> <chr>
                                      \langle i, n, t, \rangle
## 1 karlrohe 1191642560
                                        306
```

More basic rtweet

```
get friends("karlrohe") %>%
 head(3)
## # A tibble: 3 x 2
## user user id
## <chr> <chr>
## 1 karlrohe 148593548
## 2 karlrohe 52675436
## 3 karlrohe 15433452
```

Problem 1: rate limits

See the official rate limits for details

- lookup_users() 900 users / 15 min
- get_friends() 15 neighborhoods / 15 min
- get_followers() 15 neighborhoods / 15 min

Need to plan ahead and be smart about data collection

Problem 2: which users should you sample?

- 1. Start with seed nodes in communities you care about
- 2. Get other users in these communities:
 - Snowball sampling (whatever, fine)
 - Personalized PageRank (extremely lit)

Why snowball sampling is eh

Basically the graph diameter is too small

1-hop neighborhood: ~1000 users

2-hop neighborhood: \sim 100,000 to 5,000,000 users

3-hop neighborhood: all of Twitter

More reasons why snowball sampling is eh

- 1-hop neighborhoods aren't that interesting
- 2-hop neighborhoods are impossible to collect due to rate limits
- 3-hop neighborhoods won't fit on any computer you own

I didn't write any code for you so you'll have to do it yourself

Personalized PageRank

General strategy:

- 1. Pick seeds
- 2. Find the users with highest Personalized PageRank
- 3. Use these users

Note: Karl should have taught you about Personalized PageRank, if this doesn't make sense blame him

Why is Personalized PageRank a good idea

Computationally: can approximate Personalized PageRank using a random walk that only needs to see a small portion of the graph

Statistically: Fan Chen, Yilin Zhang and Karl showed you can recover clusters from DC-SBMs

Talk to the paper

- 1. Chen, F., Zhang, Y. & Rohe, K. *Targeted sampling from massive Blockmodel graphs with personalized PageRank*. 2019. pdf
- 2. Andersen, R., Chung, F. & Lang, K. Local Graph Partitioning using PageRank Vectors. 2006. pdf

I coded this shit up

R package aPPR currently available on Github

See documentation at https://rohelab.github.io/aPPR/

Easy to extend aPPR to new graph objects

Installing aPPR

```
install.packages("devtools")
devtools::install_github("RoheLab/aPPR")
```

How aPPR works

You give aPPR:

- a graph
- a seed node
- hyperparameters alpha and epsilon

aPPR gives you Personalized PageRanks

Example

```
library(aPPR)
karlrohe ppr <- appr(</pre>
  rtweet_graph(),
                         # use the Twitter graph
  "karlrohe".
                        # seed node
  epsilon = 1e-3,
                        # convergence criteria
```

The results object is a little fancy

```
# for documentation about results R6 object
?Tracker

# what you actually care about
# is the stats field
karlrohe_ppr$stats
```

The PPR table

```
head(karlrohe ppr$stats, 5)
## # A tibble: 5 x 7
##
                                     in degree out degree
     name
## <chr>
                        <db1.> <db1.>
                                         < d.b 1.>
                                                     < d.b 1.>
                                          2270
## 1 1191642560
                      0.212 0.118
                                                       306
## 2 148593548
                      0.00219 0
                                        149354
                                                      3868
                      0.00219 0
## 3 52675436
                                          1165
                                                       212
## 4 15433452
                      0.00219 0
                                        334865
                                                     41595
## 5 10320366480732~
                      0.00219 0
                                           152
                                                       483
```

The PPR table columns

- name: Node IDs. For the Twitter graph, always user ids, never screen names
- p: Personalized PageRank
- r: Residual / error bound for p
- in_degree / out_degree: Self-explanatory, hopefully

The PPR table columns

- degree_adjusted: p divided by in_degree
- regularized: p divided by in_degree plus a regularization term tau that defaults to the average in-degree

Basically regularized is a version of PageRank that is specific to a given community and excludes high degree nodes from other communities

Read Fan's (really great) paper for details!!

Yeah okay I don't actually care about p though

Correct, we don't want p, we want a graph! Crucially, we don't want to have to make a whole bunch of API requests a second time

I build a backend called twittercache that saves data from Twitter API requests into a database and avoids wasting API requests

aPPR + twittercache

```
library(aPPR)
karlrohe ppr <- appr(</pre>
  twittercache_graph(), # cache the data!! critical!!
  "karlrohe".
  epsilon = 5e-6,
  verbose = TRUE
```

Joining additional user data to results

```
library(dplyr)
library(twittercache)
# doesn't preserve node order!!
screen names <- cache_lookup_users(</pre>
  karlrohe ppr$stats$name
) %>%
  select(user id, screen name)
```

Joining additional user data to results

```
ppr with node data <- karlrohe ppr$stats %>%
 left join(
    screen names,
    bv = c("name" = "user id")
  ) %>%
  arrange(desc(regularized)) %>%
  select(screen name, regularized, p, r)
```

Who is Karl's favorite student? It's Sijia!!

```
select(head(ppr with node data), screen name, regularized)
## # A tibble: 6 x 2
## screen_name
                      regularized
## <chr>
                            <d.b 1.>
## 1 karlrohe
                    0.000000646
## 2 Machine LeanIn 0.00000000303
## 3 Machine Leaning
                    0.0000000275
## 4 DS3madison
                    0.0000000188
## 5 uwMadStat
                    0.00000000187
## 6 SijiaFang
                   0.00000000185
```

Getting the graph out of twittercache

```
twittercache::get_node_table()
twittercache::get_edge_table()
```

Gets the whole graph, not necessarily the nodes with high PPR

Getting the graph out of twittercache

You'll need to write some more code to turn these tables into something useful. Feel free to contribute this back to aPPR as pull requests! I also have (limited) bandwidth to respond to feature requests.

The hyperparameters

Tuning knobs: epsilon

Recall we are doing *approximate* Personalized PageRank epsilon is node-wise error tolerance

- 1e-3, 1e-4, 1e-5: Make sure the code works, limited accuracy, <1hr runtime normally
- 1e-6: 6-8 hours runtime, okay sample
- 1e-7, 1e-8: 1-3 days runtime, good sample

Node ranking can vary a lot with epsilon

Tuning knobs: alpha

Just use alpha = 0.15.

alpha controls the level of personalization, higher alpha is more personalized. There is no principled way to select the locality. This is a made up number. That's fine. Don't worry about it.

Tuning knobs: tau

In general you don't have to play with this, it only influences the regularized column

If regularized focuses too much on low degree nodes, increase tau to the node degree you care about most.

For Twitter, this is probably somewhere in the range 500 - 5000

Side note: I am doing some work on how to interpret tau

Technical caveats

Misc stuff to know

- aPPR samples rectangular adjacency matrices. i.e. don't observe edges for all nodes in the ppr_table (in particular, the ones with p = 0)
- If you need more precise results than whatever you currently have, use the update()

Getting help with the software

- Read the documentation at https://rohelab.github.io/aPPR/
- STAT 992 students can email me (sparingly please, I'm dying right now)

Limitations

twittercache slows down as the number of edges increases

If you get to ${\sim}10$ million edges, clear out the cache

We're working on switching to a graph database backend but it's currently a low priority

Human, ethical, and legal

caveats

There are major privacy considerations here

The social graph is fucking terrifying and reveals immense amounts of personal information

It's easy to identify interests, institutional affiliations, family members, close friends, etc, with aPPR. Do not share any of this information without explicit permission

Note: aPPR code is not licensed

Code is intellectual property that requires permission to use

Normally academic code has a permissive license that lets anyone use it

aPPR is *unlicensed*, meaning that we retain all copyright at the moment and it cannot be reproduced, distributed without permission and derivative work cannot be created without permission

Project ideas

PPR Hacking

Maximize your PPR with respect to @karlrohe on Twitter by the end of the semester via judicious following and unfollowing

I will sponsor up to \$20 in bribes to get people to follow you if you make a sufficiently amusing case

Clustering

Implement new aPPR graphs (associated methods) for

- questions on Stack Overflow, or
- the Wikimedia knowledge graph

and see how spectral clustering works on these graphs