Principles for modelling packages $_{TBD}$ $_{2018-07-30}$

Contents

1	Intro	5
2	Conceptual overview of modelling	7
3	Getting started on a modelling package	9
4	Model objects	11
5	Data Specification	13
6	Functional programming principles	15
7	Data	17
8	Documentation	19
9	Testing	21
10	Workflow 10.1 Prediction 10.2 Inference	23 23 23
11	Interface	25
12	Low and high level interfaces	27
13	Interactive modelling	29
14	Programmatic modelling	31
15	Naming things 15.1 How to name function arguments	33 33
16	Danger Zone	35
17	References	37

4 CONTENTS

Intro

Rule 1: Always spell it modelling, never modeling.

6 CHAPTER 1. INTRO

Conceptual overview of modelling

- what is a model: models, estimators and model specifications
- what do we do with models
- how do fit models
- once we have a fit model, how do we predict or do inference
- the difference between working with a single fit vs a set of fits. LASSO example: wanting to use the coefficients for prediction vs wanting to see the order in which features enter the model

Getting started on a modelling package

General dos:

- Export the predict() method
- Document the predict() method
- Use match.arg() for categorical arguments
- Validate the arguments to all your functions, especially our data

General dont's

•

Model objects

- some explanation of why and how to save the function call
- generally what kinds of things should go into a model object, giving model objects a class so other people can extend them
- S3 object creation and validation for model building a la Advanced R
- Model classes beyond lists. when is S4 worth it? when is R6?
- Every modeling function should include its package version in its data object I will now save my models as a list of three objects: model, data, and sessioninfo::session_info()

Data Specification

- formulas, model.frame, term objects, etc
- data / design matrix specification recipes

habit: get the df right, then y \sim . in the formula. would be nice to still see the features in the call?

• ask users to use data.frames and tibbles, not matrices.

Functional programming principles

calls to fit should be pure: i.e. no side effects like plotting, and especially no plotting with invisible object return - side effects: useful in interactive mode, irritating in programmatic mode

- type safety, particularly of returned objects
- type safety with respect to single fits vs sets of fits

Data

- using data from the package in tests
- using data from *other* packages in tests

18 CHAPTER 7. DATA

Documentation

- vignette should include not only the coefficients as output in an example, but also those coefficients written up as a general latex model and as a latex model with those specific coefficients substituted in
- show your example data in the README so users immediately see the structure

function to write out model form and fitted model in latex for sanity checking: some sort of model_report / model_form generic. think report generic or write.model may be coming to fable/forecast soon.

it's a bad idea to expect users to learn the *math* for your model from function level documentation, or math presented in ascii or unicode or poorly rendered latex.

show write out the math in a nicely formatted vignette, and then clearly describe the connection between code objects and math objects there as well

documenting arguments:

• data: super important to document acceptable types and formats, and highly recommend provided a dataset in data/ with this format so the user can see exactly what they need to provide.

bad doc: The dataset to fit on the model on better doc: A data.frame or tibble with one row per observation and one column per features. For example, mtcars is in this format, but messy_data is not. It is okay to specify a matrix so long as it can coerced to a tibble. etc etc

Testing

- testing against existing software say a Matlab implementation
- saving long running models in R/sysdata.rda with usethis::use_data(model_obj, internal = TRUE)

Workflow

10.1 Prediction

- 1. feature engineering
- 2. ML wizardry
- 3. more feature engineering
- 4. ???
- 5. predictions

10.2 Inference

- 1. Clean data
- 2. Specify model
- 3. Fit model
- 4. Check that model fitting process converged / worked
- 5. Check statistical assumptions of model

KEY part that always gets left out: working with multiple modellings

Interface

• user friendly interfaces

good and bad existing idioms

- methods to implement
- examples of tried and true workflows

methods to implement - note on plotting: Should be easy to get the values plotted so others can make their own plots

TWO DISTINCT ISSUES THAT GET RESOLVED IN FORMULAE:

```
design matrix specification
model specification. (a la fGarch::garchFit(~arma(1, 1) + garch(1, 0)))
```

Low and high level interfaces

- high level versus low level interface
- programmatic versus interactive use

when you should use which

examples: - high level: keras, brms - low level: tensorflow, stan

Interactive modelling

what most people do different because there's a person looking at stuff as opposed to programmatic model when it's just code interacting with the model with no human involved

this is a chapter mostly to remind us to think of differences between the two and how they might be important in terms of interface

Programmatic modelling

i.e. interacting with models programmatically

examples: - packages that export a model from someone to use a la botornot - models sitting behind a Plumber API - etc

Naming things

15.1 How to name function arguments

15.2 How to name model components

some standard names

currently lots of work happening in this realm in **broom** and the Stan community https://github.com/tidymodels/broom/issues/452

Danger Zone

little things to include somewhere: - the danger of misspecified arguments disappearing into \dots

References

 $\bullet\,$ bdr's model fitting functions in r