An Update on Broom

Alex Hayes

2018-07-18

Outline

- 1. Progress so far
 - Bug fixes and pull requests
 - New test suite
 - New documentation
- 2. broom 0.5.0 release
- 3. Lessons learned
- 4. The future of broom

What I've been working on

Bug fixes and pull requests: notes

- Triaged several years of issues
- Bugs are generally easy to fix
 - But there are a lot
- Pull requests make the world go round
 - High levels of contributor enthusiasm!!!
 - Contributors have wide range of R experience
 - Tests sometime missing or limited
 - Documentation sometimes sparse or missing

Bug fixes and pull requests: adding tidiers vignette

Goal: Make it easier to make a good PR

- Missing key piece: documentation about standards
- New vignette addresses this
 - Work in progress

https://broom.tidyverse.org/articles/adding-tidiers.html

Test suite: coverage

- Pre 0.5.0 line coverage ~40 percent
- Most lines have some coverage
 - ~80 percent line coverage
 - Higher because deprecated tests skipped
- Line coverage less important than model coverage

Aside: model coverage

Aside:

```
# glance.arima coverage was 100 percent.
# tested output of:
glance(arima(lh, order = 1:3))
# but this was broken until recently:
glance(arima(lh, order = 1:3, method = "CSS"))
```

- Same class can correspond to many varied model objects
- Hard to write varied tests for unfamiliar model objects

Aside: subtle bugs

- Easy to extract wrong df from model
 - About to change df for lm objects
- Arguments can disappear into . . .
 - Not sure how to test
 - Current approach: warnings in documentation

Example: arguments disappearing into ...

```
fit <- lm(hp ~ ., mtcars)

# misspelled argument
td <- tidy(fit, conf.int = TRUE, comf.level = 0.9)

# no error, output looks exactly like
# you might expect</pre>
```

Test suite: tibble output

Test that

- tidy(), glance(), and augment() return tibbles.
- glance() returns a single row.
- augment() does some input validation.
 - In progress

```
fit <- lm(hp ~ ., mtcars)
td <- tidy(fit)
check_tidy_output(td)</pre>
```

Test suite: argument checking

check_arguments(tidy.lm)

- Checks arguments against master list
- Checks default arguments
 - Shouldn't be missing
 - conf.int = FALSE
 - conf.level = 0.95
 - conf.int and conf.level always come as a pair

Goal: enforce consistency, especially in new PRs

- Checked this semi-manually in 0.5.0
- Tests will automate this in 0.7.0

Test suite: column naming

```
library(lavaan)
cfa.fit <- cfa(
   F = x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5
  data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group = "school"
select(glance(cfa.fit), 1:5)
## # A tibble: 1 \times 5
##
      agfi aic bic cfi chisq
## <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
## 1 0.971 4473. 4584. 0.766 99.3
```

Test suite: column naming strategy

- Goal: push consistency burden onto PR authors
- Describe acceptable column names in tidy.yaml:

```
- column: AIC
  description: Akaike's Criterion.
  used_by:
    - ivreg
```

- Compile tidy.yaml into a column_glossary tibble
- Export column_glossary (downstream package maintainers have asked for this)
- Test output column names against column_glossary
- Populate documentation from column_glossary

Documentation: templates

Many repeated arguments:

```
tidy.betareg <- function(x,
  conf.int = FALSE,
  conf.level = .95, ...)
tidy.ivreg <- function(x,
  conf.int = FALSE.
  conf.level = .95,
  exponentiate = FALSE, ...)
```

Should share documentation for conf.int.

Documentation: templates

roxygen2 templates make this easy:

```
@template param_confint
```

Where man-roxygen/param_confint.R looks like:

```
#' @param conf.int Logical indicating
#' whether or not to include a
#' confidence interval in the tidied
#' output. Defaults to `FALSE`.
#' @md
```

Documentation: templates

Templates currently used to generate:

- @title,
- @description,
- Oparams, and
- some @return

documentation sections.

Documentation: individualize documentation

Previously tidy.object, glance.object and augment.object would all be documented together.

- Gave each function it's own roxygen documentation and Rd file
 - Less magical
 - Heavily cross-linked and aliased
- Replaced lots of confusing documentation like:

```
#' Ordname augment.lm
#' Oexport
augment.glm <- augment.lm</pre>
```

Documentation: return columns

Goal for 0.7.0: populate @return from column_glossary.

- Writing the glossary will take lots of time
- Currently have @template prototype
 - Hadley recommended using @evalRd instead

broom 0.5.0

broom 0.5.0: features

- Tibble output
- New test suite
- New documentation
 - Vignettes
 - Function documentation
- ~10 new tidiers (all contributed)
- Tons of bug fixes (mostly contributed)

broom 0.5.0: tibble output

Tibbles break some things, mostly when:

- subsetting with [and expecting a vector.
- setting rownames on a tibble.
- using augment on models making use of matrix covariates / outcomes.
 - i.e. survival::Surv()

broom 0.5.0: matrix column and augment example

```
v \leftarrow rnorm(5)
x \leftarrow matrix(rnorm(10), nrow = 5)
df <- data.frame(x, y) # ok
tibble::tibble(x, y) # errors
fit \leftarrow lm(y \sim x, df)
                           # problem: this works
augment(fit)
                           # this goes kaboom
```

Passing data argument can help:

```
augment(fit, data = df) # happy again
```

broom 0.5.0: deprecations

- Broom tidies some non-statistical objects
- Moving away from this. Deprecating
 - tidy.data.frame()
 - tidy.matrix()
 - tidy.numeric()
 - tidy.character()
- Should use tibble::as_tibble() instead
- Couple more of these coming in 0.7.0

broom 0.5.0: deprecations: mixed models

Moving tidiers for

- Ime, Ime4 and nmle models,
- brms models,
- rstanarm models, and
- mcmc objects

to Ben Bolker's broom.mixed package

Lessons learned

Making systematic changes is time consuming

- 100+ tidiers
- Model objects are unfamiliar, oftentimes idiosyncratic
- Changing all tidiers (i.e. new tests/doc) take 1-1.5 weeks

Broom depends on high quality PRs

- If you do not use a model, writing a good tidier is incredibly difficult
 - What information is important?
 - What do people use it for?
 - Documentation for models varies in quality
 - Can be hard to understand workflow
 - Model objects, input and output format can all be weird
- A good PR means you don't have to deal with this
- A bad PR means you still have to do most of this work

Key: empower contributors to make high quality PRs

augment() is hard

Original thought: tidy() is most ambiguous method, will be hardest to work with

Incorrect: augment() is hard

- Need different behavior for data and newdata args
- People often don't implement it
- Have to deal with model both model input and output

There are many useful way to represent a model

Representations of a fit model:

- Mathematical: $y \sim \mathcal{N}(X\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$
- Code object: fit <- lm(hp ~ . , mtcars); fit
- Relational: tidy(fit), glance(fit), augment(fit)
- ????

Opinion: need a *tidy modelling* paper to clarify the key objects in play like *tidy data* did

The Future of Broom

The big split

What: split broom into domain specific tidying packages

Why: high maintanence and design burden

Delays:

- Want to clean up internals, which were messier than anticipated
- Tidier behavior not fully specified
 - augment() NA behavior
- Lots of tidiers don't meet existing specifications

The big split: vision

- import tidy(), glance() and augment() from modelgenerics
- broom tidies models in base and stats
- domain-specific packages import broom
 - tests guarantee tidiers meet specification
- some system for tracking where tidiers live

library(tidymodels) # load everything

Possible domain specific packages

- sweep
- tidytext
- broomstick
- broom.mixed
- biobroom
- broom.base
- schoenberg
- tidybayes

Should tidy.betareg live in the betareg package?

No. At least, not yet. Tidiers are not consistent enough at the moment. The definitions of tidy(), glance() and augment() are not yet strict enough to guarantee consistency across packages.

Timeline

Priorities somewhat indeterminate at the moment

- July: finalize tidier specifications
- July: start collaborating on domain specific packages
- Early August: implement as much spec as possible for 0.7.0 release
- August 20: internship ends
- Late August: 0.7.0 release
 - I will likely take over as package maintainer
 - Unclear if broom will be split by this point
- Early September: grad school starts (dev slows down)
- September+: rewrite the broom paper with Dave

Questions?

Read more about broom 0.5.0 release on the tidyverse blog.

You can follow broom development on our Github page.

@alexpghayes on Twitter alexpghayes@gmail.com