

Do I work?

wow

[0.1]

Siehe Gleichung 0.1



Rest of this page intentionally left blank.

Kapitel 1

new



EXAMPLE DOCUMENT

Presentation and Example Usage of Yet Another Thesis Template

ALEX POVEL

1st Examiner Prof. Jane Doe

2nd Examiner Prof. Foo Bar

Supervisor John Doe, M.Sc.

6. Juni 2019



Your amazing
university!



Your amazing
university!

Name	yatt_thesis PUBLIC VERSION
Kompiliert am	6. Juni 2019, 15:04:35 MESZ
Git Version	n.a.
Git Hash	n.a.
Engine	LuaTeX, Version 1.10.0 (TeX Live 2019/W32TeX)
L ^A T _E X Version	L ^A T _E X 2 _ε (2018-12-01)
Glossar	glossaries-extra + bib2gls
Literaturverzeichnis	biber
Generiert durch	latexmk (splitidx subroutine: J. COLLINS)
Klasse	YATT (2019/02/01 v3.26b KOMA-Script)



Your amazing
university!

Dear Alex Povel,
registration number 666.

Example Document Task.

Topic:

Presentation and Example Usage of Yet Another Thesis Template

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

- First you are doing this,
- then another thing,
- lastly that.

You will be working on this a lot.

Fantasy land, 20. Dezember 2020

Person giving the task

Erklärung zur Eigenständigkeit

I, Alex Povel [666], hereby declare to be the sole, independent author of the Example Document submitted here. No other than the cited references have been used. Any content directly or indirectly obtained from external sources has been marked up as such. This thesis has neither been submitted to a second examination authority nor been published.

Alex Povel

Place & Date

Rest of this page intentionally left blank.

Zusammenfassung

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, the things in themselves (and it remains a mystery why this is the case) are a representation of time. Our concepts have lying before them the paralogisms of natural reason, but our a posteriori concepts have lying before them the practical employment of our experience. Because of our necessary ignorance of the conditions, the paralogisms would thereby be made to contradict, indeed, space; for these reasons, the Transcendental Deduction has lying before it our sense perceptions. (Our a posteriori knowledge can never furnish a true and

demonstrated science, because, like time, it depends on analytic principles.) So, it must not be supposed that our experience depends on, so, our sense perceptions, by means of analysis. Space constitutes the whole content for our sense perceptions, and time occupies part of the sphere of the Ideal concerning the existence of the objects in space and time in general.

- we found out this
- and also that!

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	new	3
	Glossar	ix
	Illustrative Elements	xiii
2	Text Features	1
2.1	Fonts	1
2.1.1	Math	3
2.1.2	Sans-Serif	4
2.1.3	Mono-Spaced	4
2.2	References	5
2.2.1	Bibliography	5
2.3	Lists	7
2.4	Censoring	8
2.5	Glossaries	8
2.6	Indices	9
2.7	Code	9
2.7.1	Matlab/Simulink	9
2.8	Landscape	12
3	Float Features	13
3.1	Multiple floats	13
3.2	Side-Captions	13
3.3	Caption Positioning	13
3.4	Tikz and pgfplots	17
3.4.1	Drawing over Bitmaps	17
3.4.2	Direct plotting	17
3.4.3	Plotting from files	17
3.4.4	Tikz and Text	20
3.4.5	Regular Tikz pictures	20
3.4.6	InkScape	20
3.5	Illustrations	23

4	Style	25
4.1	Conventions	25
4.2	Sectioning	28
4.2.1	Explanation	28
4.3	Other stuff	29
5	Technical Aspects	31
5.1	Building the document	31
5.1.1	latexmk	32
5.1.2	Version Control	32
5.2	Random Thoughts	34

Glossar

The most commonly used symbols are listed here, alongside their location of first occurrence. Symbols used but not listed here are clarified in their respective contexts.

Buggy: You will notice that the majority of symbols do not have info on the location of their first use. These are all the symbols which first occurred in **floats**. The way this document was set up, most symbols are affected. Referencing floats is a tricky business and is apparently still faulty at the moment. In an actual document, it is extremely likely you will be introducing symbols in text or math mode, not in floats, negating this issue.

Symbole

Greek	Beschreibung	1 st Use	Unit
Ξ	wastegate position		–
α	flow angle in the absolute system		°, rad
β	flow angle in the relative system		°, rad
γ	ratio of specific heats		–
γ	mass fraction	3	–
ρ	density		kg m ⁻³
τ	shear stress		N m ⁻²
Roman	Beschreibung	1 st Use	Unit
A	area		m ²
c	absolute velocity (magnitude)		m s ⁻¹
c_p	specific heat capacity at constant pressure		J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
H	enthalpy		J

(Symbols continued)

H	(specific) heating value	3	J kg^{-1}
h	height		m
M	MACH number		–
m	mass		kg
p	pressure	2	Pa
r	radius		m
R	radius ratio		–
R	specific gas constant	2	$\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
T	absolute temperature	2	K
U	internal energy		J
v	specific volume	2	$\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1}$
ϑ	relative temperature		°C

Sub- and Superscripts

Subscripts

air	air
exh	exhaust
i	inferior
in	inlet

m	meridional
out	outlet
t	throat
T	turbine
θ	tangential/circumferential/-blade

Akronyme

Short	Beschreibung	Pages
CI	Continuous Integration	28
HFO	Heavy Fuel Oil	23

(Abbreviations continued)

Short	Beschreibung	Pages
IDE	Integrated Development Environment	4
IMO	International Maritime Organization	23
MDO	Marine Diesel Oil	23
PDF	Portable Document Format	5
RE	Rated Engine	18

Rest of this page intentionally left blank.

Illustrative Elements

Abbildungsverzeichnis

2.1	Example for a censoring box	8
3.1	Example for a regular caption, spanning the whole width since it is so long	14
3.2	Example for a new caption, spanning the just the width of the float it is attached to	14
3.3	Impeller Throat	15
3.4	A side caption, which may also span multiple lines like demonstrated in this rather long caption right here	15
3.5	Turbocharger Rendering	18
3.6a	Caloric parameters of air. Avoid legends and put info where it belongs, improving legibility (less back-and-forth for the eye)	18
3.6b	Tufte-like plot	19
3.7	A plot from CSV data for a diffuser	19
3.8	A Tikz diagram	21
3.9a	InkScape is likely a better choice for images like this one	22
3.9b	Another example for InkScape usage	22
3.10	Side-captions are still possible. So are labels	23

Tabellenverzeichnis

2.1	Main/Roman Font Examples	2
2.2	Sans-Serif Examples	7
3.1	Works on zero- and one-dimensional modelling	16
4.1	Awful version of Tabelle 2.1	26
4.2	Fuel compositions	27

List of Illustrations

3.1	I am a useless box	23
-----	------------------------------	----

List of Code

2.1	Short code caption description possible	10
-----	---	----

Why not?