

COL703 Quiz 3

Sreemanti Dey

TOTAL POINTS

2 / 2

QUESTION 1

1 Q1 1 / 1

- ✓ **+ 1 pts** Both parts correct
- + **0.5 pts** only part(a) correct
- + **0.5 pts** only part(b) correct
- + **0 pts** incorrect or unattempted

QUESTION 2

2 Q3 1 / 1

- ✓ **+ 1 pts** Correct
- + **0.5 pts** Partially correct
- + **0 pts** Incorrect/Not attempted

Name: Sreemanti DeyEntry No.: 2020CL10393

1. Recall that α is said to be *consistent* if $\nVdash \neg\alpha$. Suppose that $\vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$. For the following statements, answer whether they are true or not, and provide an explanation. Answers with missing or inadequate explanations will not get any marks.
- (a) [0.5 marks] If α is consistent then β is consistent.
- (b) [0.5 marks] If β is consistent then α is consistent.
2. [1 marks] Prove, in Hilbert's proof system, that $(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha)$.
3. [1 marks] Prove, in Hilbert's proof system, that $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\delta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow ((\alpha \vee \delta) \rightarrow (\beta \vee \gamma)))$. Feel free to rewrite \vee in terms of \neg and \rightarrow if you need to.

1) a) $\vdash \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ To prove: If α is consistent then β is consistent.

If $\neg\alpha$ is not derivable then $\neg\beta$ is not derivable. } using contrapositive (proved in class)

If $\neg\beta$ is derivable then $\neg\alpha$ is derivable

 $\vdash \neg\beta \Rightarrow \neg\alpha$ ~~which is derived from~~Soln $\vdash (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\neg\beta \Rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ hence $(\neg\beta \Rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ proved.

② [proved in next page]

b)

To prove: If $\neg\alpha$ is derivable then $\neg\beta$ is derivable

$\neg\alpha \Rightarrow \neg\beta$

Soln

~~$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$~~

This is false.

~~take~~ $\vdash \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ $\therefore \models \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ hence if α is F and β is T.Then $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ gives truebut $\neg\alpha \Rightarrow \neg\beta$ gives false

hence not valid.

using soundness and completeness of Hilbert's axiom.

$$(3) (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow ((\delta \Rightarrow \gamma) \Rightarrow ((\alpha \vee \delta) \Rightarrow (\beta \vee \gamma)))$$

Deduction Thm

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta), (\delta \Rightarrow \gamma), (\alpha \vee \delta) \vdash (\beta \vee \gamma)$$

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta), (\delta \Rightarrow \gamma), (\text{cancel}) (\neg \alpha \Rightarrow \delta) \vdash (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$$

using

$$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \beta \Rightarrow \gamma \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma \text{ (in clon)} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{So } (\neg \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma), (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \vdash (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$$

using

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) \text{ (cancel)} \quad (2)$$

$$(\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha), (\neg \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma) \vdash (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$$

$$\text{cancel } (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \gamma) \text{ using } (1) \text{ proved.}$$

~~The 3~~

Proof of for 2 & 3

→ (2)

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha)$$

using

$$(\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \text{ un clon}$$

$$(\neg \neg \alpha \Rightarrow \neg \neg \beta) \Rightarrow (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha)$$

$$\text{using } \underline{\neg \neg \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha} \text{ (in clon)}$$

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) \text{ proved.}$$