

# Series solutions

## 1 Real analytic solutions

To start with let us recall the Taylor series of a function  $y(x)$  around the point  $x_0$ :

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k.$$

So if we know the derivatives of the function at a point then we can formally write its Taylor series. Let us take an example of IVP:

$$y' = y, \quad y(0) = 1.$$

Then we can see from the equation  $y'(0) = y(0) = 1$ . We can differentiate the equation to get second derivative

$$y'' = y' = y = 1 \text{ at } 0.$$

Similarly we can find all derivatives as

$$y^{(m)}(0) = 1, \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore its Taylor series is

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = e^x.$$

This is the solution of the IVP.

**Question: Can we do this always?**

Consider another example

$$y' + y = h(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}, \quad y(0) = 0.$$

In this case again we get

$$y^{(m)}(0) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore Taylor series of  $y(x)$  is identically equal to zero. However by integrating the equation we can write the solution as

$$y(x) = e^{-x} \int_0^x h(t) dt$$

this is not equal to zero for any  $x > 0$ . Therefore the formal Taylor series expansion need not be a solution of IVP.

From our experience in Calculus, we know that  $h(x)$  is not *real analytic*. So that could be the problem. To make our ideas clear let us recall some basics on power series.

We recall the following results from calculus about the power series. Given a sequence of real numbers  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x - x_0)^n$  is called *power series* with center  $x_0$ . It is easy to see that a power series converges for  $x = x_0$ . Power series is a function of  $x$  provided it converges for  $x$ . If a power series converges, then the domain of convergence is either a bounded interval or the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So it is natural to study the largest interval where the power series converges.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\sum a_n x^n$  converges at  $x = r$ , then  $\sum a_n x^n$  converges for  $|x| < |r|$ .*

*Proof.* We can find  $C > 0$  such that  $|a_n x^n| \leq C$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$|a_n x^n| \leq |a_n r^n| \left| \frac{x}{r} \right|^n \leq C \left| \frac{x}{r} \right|^n.$$

Conclusion follows from comparison theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Consider the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . Suppose*

$$\beta = \limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$$

*and  $R = \frac{1}{\beta}$  (We define  $R = 0$  if  $\beta = \infty$  and  $R = \infty$  if  $\beta = 0$ ). Then*

1.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  converges for  $|x| < R$
2.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  diverges for  $|x| > R$ .
3. No conclusion if  $|x| = R$ .

In case the limit exists in the definition of  $\beta$ , then

$$\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|$$

Within the interval of convergence we can differentiate the series term-by-term and integrate term-by-term. Indeed we have

(dif)

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that the power series  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(x - x_0)^k$  for  $|x - x_0| < R$  has radius of convergence  $R > 0$  and sum equal to  $f(x)$ . Then  $f$  is differentiable in  $|x - x_0| < R$  and  $f'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k(x - x_0)^{k-1}$  for  $|x - x_0| < R$ .

An important consequence of this is

(zerothm)

**Theorem 4.** If  $\sum a_k x^k \equiv 0$  then  $a_k = 0$  for all  $k$

*Proof.* Taking  $x = 0$  we get  $a_0 = 0$ . Then by above theorem we can differentiate the series to get

$$\sum k a_k x^{k-1} \equiv 0$$

Again taking  $x = 0$  we get  $a_1 = 0$ . Proceeding this way we get  $a_k = 0$  for all  $k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.** A function  $g(x)$  defined in an interval  $I$  containing the point  $x_0$  is called **real analytic** at  $x_0$  if it can be represented as power series around  $x_0$ . That is there exist constants  $c_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  such that the following series converges for  $|x - x_0| < r$ , for some  $r > 0$ .

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(x - x_0)^k.$$

**Remark 1.** If  $g$  is real analytic function at a point  $x_0$ , then  $c_k = \frac{g^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!}$ . That is  $g$  is equal to its Taylor series.

Let us consider the example:  $y'' = y$ . Let us assume that the function  $y(x)$  is equal to its power series  $\sum c_k x^k$ . Then by the above theorem 3, we get

$$y'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_k x^{k-1}, \quad y''(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_k x^{k-2}.$$

Substituting these into the equation  $y'' - y = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_k x^{k-2} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(k+2)(k+1) c_{k+2} - c_k] x^k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the recurrence relation

$$c_{k+2} = \frac{c_k}{(k+2)(k+1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} k = 0 : & \implies c_2 = \frac{c_0}{2} \\ k = 1 : & \implies c_3 = \frac{c_1}{3 \cdot 2} \\ k = 2 : & \implies c_4 = \frac{c_2}{4 \cdot 3} = \frac{c_0}{4!} \\ k = 3 : & \implies c_5 = \frac{c_1}{5!} \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this we get

$$c_{2k} = \frac{c_0}{(2k)!}, \quad c_{2k+1} = \frac{c_1}{(2k+1)!}$$

Therefore, if  $c_0 = c_1$  we get

$$y(x) = c_0 \sum \frac{x^k}{k!} = c_0 e^x$$

and if  $c_1 = -c_0$  then  $y(x) = c_0 e^{-x}$ . Since linear second order equation can have only two linearly independent solutions,  $e^x$  and  $e^{-x}$  are the only L.I. solutions.  $\square$

Let us consider another example with variable coefficients.

**Example 2.**  $y'' - 2xy' + 2y = 0$ .

Writing  $y(x) = \sum a_k x^k$  and differentiating term by term we get

$$\begin{aligned} xy' &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k x^k \\ y'' &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) a_k x^{k-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)(k+1) a_{k+2} x^k \end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the equation we get

$$y'' - 2xy' + 2y = \sum [(k+2)(k+1)a_{k+2} - 2ka_k + 2a_k]x^k = 0$$

Then by Theorem 4 above we get

$$(k+2)(k+1)a_{k+2} - 2ka_k + 2a_k = 0, \quad \forall k$$

That is

$$2a_2 + 2a_0 = 0 \implies a_2 = -a_0$$

and

$$a_{k+2} = \frac{2(k-1)}{(k+2)(k+1)} a_k$$

Now  $k = 1$  implies  $a_3 = 0 \cdot a_1 = 0$  and hence

$$a_{2k+1} = 0 \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Also  $k = 2$  implies  $a_4 = \frac{2a_2}{12} = -\frac{a_0}{6}$ . All other even coefficients can be calculated as multiple of  $a_0$ . Therefore we get

$$y(x) = a_1x + a_0\left(1 - \frac{x^4}{6} + \dots\right)$$

The two L.I. solutions are  $y_1(x) = x$  and  $y_2(x) = 1 - \frac{x^4}{6} + \dots$ . To see the convergence of  $y_2$  we use the recurrence relation. The coefficients of  $y_2$  satisfy

$$\frac{a_{k+2}}{a_k} = \frac{2(k-1)}{(k+2)(k+1)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by ratio test, power series converges with radius of convergence equals to  $\infty$ . □

Then it is natural to ask the following:

**Q1: Can we apply this method for equations like  $y'' + e^x y = 0$ ?**

**Q2: What is the most general class of coefficients for which the power series solution exists?**

To answer the first question, we need the following Cauchy product

**Definition 3.** Let  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$  be two power series. The Cauchy product of these two series is  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$  where  $c_k = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n b_{k-n}$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $\sum a_k x^k$  converges for  $|x| < R_1$  and  $\sum b_k x^k$  converged for  $|x| < R_2$  then their Cauchy product  $\sum c_k x^k$  converges for  $|x| < R$  where  $R \geq \min\{R_1, R_2\}$ .

**Remark 2.** It is possible to have series with finite radius of convergence and their product has infinite radius of convergence. For example take  $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{1-x}$  and  $g(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+x}$ . Both of them has radius of convergence 1 but their product has  $\infty$ .

**Theorem 6.** Suppose  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are real analytic in  $|x| < R$ . Then all solutions of the equation

$$y'' + a(x)y' + b(x)y = 0$$

are real analytic in  $|x| < R$ .

*Proof.* See the text book.

**Legendre Equation:** One of the important differential equation that appears in Mathematical physics is the Legendre equation:

$$(1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + p(p + 1)y = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we write this equation as

$$y'' - \frac{2x}{(1 - x^2)}y' + \frac{p(p + 1)}{(1 - x^2)}y = 0$$

then we see that the functions  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  given by

$$a_1(x) = \frac{2x}{(1 - x^2)}, \text{ and } a_2(x) = \frac{p(p + 1)}{(1 - x^2)},$$

are real analytic at  $x = 0$  with the series converges in  $(-1, 1)$ . Therefore by the above theorem the problem will have two linearly independent real analytic solutions. We can write the serieses of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  as

$$a_1(x) = (-2x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2k}, \quad a_2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(p + 1)x^{2k}, \quad \text{for } |x| < 1.$$

By assuming  $y(x) = \sum c_k x^k$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (-2x)y'(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -2k c_k x^k \\ y''(x) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k - 1) c_k x^{k-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 2)(k + 1) c_{k+2} x^k \\ -x^2 y'' &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -k(k - 1) c_k x^k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + p(p + 1)y &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(k + 2)(k + 1)c_{k+2} - k(k - 1)c_k - 2k c_k + p(p + 1)c_k] x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(k + 2)(k + 1)c_{k+2} + (p + k + 1)(p - k)c_k] x^k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem 4 we get the recurrence relation

$$(k + 2)(k + 1)c_{k+2} + (p + k + 1)(p - k)c_k = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.1) \boxed{\text{recrel}}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= -\frac{p(p+1)}{2}c_0, & c_3 &= -\frac{(p+2)(p-1)}{3 \cdot 2}c_1 \\ c_4 &= \frac{(p+3)(p+1)p(p-2)}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}c_0, & c_5 &= \frac{(p+4)(p+2)(p-1)(p-3)}{5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can write the solution as

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{p(p+1)}{2!}x^2 + \dots \right) + c_1 \left( x - \frac{(p+2)(p-1)}{3!}x^3 + \dots \right) \\ &= c_0\phi_1(x) + c_1\phi_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

The adjacent coefficients of the series in  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are related by (1.1) and hence

$$\left| \frac{c_{k+2}}{c_k} \right| = \left| \frac{(p+k+1)(p-k)}{(k+2)(k+1)} \right| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By ratio test the series converges. Also,

$$W(\phi_1, \phi_2)(0) = 1.$$

Therefore  $\phi$  and  $\phi_2$  are two linearly independent solutions.

**Legendre Polynomials:** We note that when  $p$  is a non-negative even integer  $p = 2m, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\phi_1$  has only a finite number of non-zero terms viz a polynomial of order  $2m$ . for example

$$\begin{aligned} p = 0 : &\implies \phi_1(x) = 1 \\ p = 2 : &\implies \phi_1(x) = 1 - 3x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly when  $p$  is a positive odd integer  $p = 2m + 1$  then  $\phi_2$  is a polynomial of order  $2m + 1$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} p = 1 : &\implies \phi_1(x) = x \\ p = 3 : &\implies \phi_1(x) = x - \frac{5}{3}x^3 \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.** The polynomial solution  $P_n$  of degree  $n$  of Legendre equation satisfying  $P_n(1) = 1$  is called  $n$ -th Legendre polynomial. These are explicitly given by

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(x^2 - 1)^n].$$

## 2 Regular singular points: Frobenius solutions

Let  $a(x) : (-l, l) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. The point  $x = 0$  is called a singular point if  $|a(x)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Now we define

**Definition 5. Regular singular point:** A point  $x = x_0$  is a regular singular point for the second order equation

$$y'' + a(x)y' + b(x)y = 0$$

if  $(x - x_0)a(x)$  and  $(x - x_0)^2b(x)$  are real analytic at  $x = x_0$ .

For simplicity if we take  $x_0 = 0$  then a second order equation with a regular singular point at  $x = 0$  has the form

$$x^2y'' + xa(x)y' + b(x)y = 0$$

where  $a, b$  are real analytic at 0.

**Important Idea:** Assume  $y(x) = x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$  and find  $c'_k$ s

**Rationale:** Coefficients are singular. So expect solutions also to be singular

Let us illustrate the method for

**Example 6.** Consider the equation  $L(y) = x^2y'' + \frac{3}{2}xy' + xy = 0$ .

**Solution:** Let us assume  $y(x) = x^r \sum c_k x^k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x) &= \sum c_k (k+r) x^{k+r-1} \\ y''(x) &= \sum c_k (k+r)(k+r-1) x^{k+r-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore substituting in the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = L(y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( (k+r)(k+r-1)c_k + \frac{3}{2}(k+r)c_k \right) x^{k+r} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k-1} x^{k+r} \\ &= (r(r-1) + \frac{3}{2}r)c_0 x^r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( (k+r)(k+r-1) + \frac{3}{2}(k+r) \right) c_k + c_{k-1} \right] x^{k+r} \\ &= p(r)c_0 x^r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [p(r+k)c_k + c_{k-1}] x^k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

here  $p(r) = r(r-1) + \frac{3}{2}r$  is called **indicial polynomial**. Now by Theorem 4, we get

$$p(r) = 0 \text{ (} c_0 \neq 0, \text{ why?) and } p(r+k)c_k = -c_{k-1}.$$

This implies  $r_1 = 0, r_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ .



$$p(r+k)c_k = -c_{k-1} \implies c_k = \frac{(-1)^k c_0}{p(r+k)p(r+k-1)\dots p(r+1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**1<sup>st</sup> solution:** Take  $r = r_1 = 0$ . Then  $p(r+k) = p(k) \neq 0$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  (since the only other root is  $-\frac{1}{2}$ ). Hence all other coefficients  $c_k$  can be computed using

$$c_k = \frac{(-1)^k c_0}{p(k)p(k-1)\dots p(1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence the first solution is

$$\phi_1(x) = c_0 + c_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^k}{p(k)p(k-1)\dots p(1)}$$

**2<sup>nd</sup> Solution:** Taking  $r = r_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ , we see that  $p(r+k) = p(k - \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  since the other root is 0. Hence all other coefficients  $c_k$  can be computed using

$$c_k = \frac{(-1)^k c_1}{p(k - \frac{1}{2})p(k - \frac{3}{2})\dots p(\frac{1}{2})}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

and the solution will be

$$\phi_2(x) = c_1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + c_1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^k}{p(k - \frac{1}{2})p(k - \frac{3}{2})\dots p(\frac{1}{2})}$$

**Convergence:** The recurrence relation implies

$$\left| \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{p(k+r+1)} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by ratio test the series converges for all  $x$ . However the second solution has singularity at  $x = 0$ .  $\square$

Now let us look at the most general case where  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are real analytic. So let us assume  $a(x) = \sum \alpha_k x^k$ ,  $b(x) = \sum \beta_k x^k$  and  $y(x) = \sum c_k x^{k+r}$  then

$$y'(x) = \sum (k+r) c_k x^{k+r-1}$$

$$y''(x) = \sum (k+r-1)(k+r) c_k x^{k+r-2}$$

$$b(x) = x^r \sum c_k x^k \sum \beta_k x^k = x^r \sum \tilde{\beta}_k x^k, \quad \tilde{\beta}_k = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \beta_{k-j}$$

$$xa(x)y'(x) = x^r \sum (k+r) c_k x^k \sum \alpha_k x^k = x^r \sum \tilde{\alpha}_k x^k, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_k = \sum_{j=0}^k (j+r) c_j \alpha_{k-j}.$$

Substituting this in  $L(y) = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = L(y) &= x^2 y'' + xa(x)y' + b(x)y = x^r \sum \left[ (k+r)(k+r-1)c_k + \tilde{\alpha}_k + \tilde{\beta}_k \right] x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ (k+r)(k+r-1)c_k + \sum_{j=0}^k (j+r)c_j \alpha_{k-j} + \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \beta_{k-j} \right] x^{k+r} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem 4, we get

$$(k+r)(k+r-1)c_k + (k+r)c_k \alpha_0 + c_k \beta_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (j+r)c_j \alpha_{k-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j \beta_{k-j} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

That is writing the coefficient of  $c_0$  and  $c_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  we see

$$p(r)c_0 + p(r+k)c_k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (j+r)c_j \alpha_{k-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j \beta_{k-j} = 0$$

where  $p(r) = r(r-1) + r\alpha_0 + \beta_0$  is called the **indicial polynomial**.

By assuming  $c_0 \neq 0$ , we get

$$p(r) = 0 \implies r = r_1 \text{ and } r = r_2$$

For each of the values of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  we see that  $c_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  satisfies

$$p(r+k)c_k = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [(j+r)\alpha_{k-j} + \beta_{k-j}] c_j$$

So if  $p(r+k)$  is not zero for any  $k$ , then the above equation determines the  $c_k$  uniquely and hence the solutions. But this may not be the case as  $r_2$  can be equal to  $r_1 + k$  for some  $k$ . Therefore we have the following several cases:

**Case I:**  $r_1 \neq r_2, r_1 < r_2, r_2 \neq r_1 + k$  for any  $k$ .

In this case all coefficients  $c_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  can be computed using

$$c_k(r) = \frac{-1}{p(r+k)} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [(j+r)\alpha_{k-j} + \beta_{k-j}] c_j \quad (2.1) \quad \boxed{\text{coef}}$$

The linearly independent solutions are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= c_0 x^{r_1} + x^{r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r_1) x^k, \quad c_0 \neq 0 \\ \phi_2(x) &= c_0 x^{r_2} + x^{r_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r_2) x^k, \quad c_0 \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

An example of this type is computed above.

**Case II:  $r_1 = r_2$**

In this case  $p(r_1) = 0$  and  $p'(r_1) = 0$ . Writing the solution  $y(x)$  as

$$y(x) = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r) x^{k+r}$$

we may see  $L(y)$  as a function of  $x$  and  $r$ . By assuming that  $c_k(r)$  satisfy (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}L(y) &= c_0 q(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r) x^{k+r} \\ &= c_0 q(r)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} y\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} L(y)(x, r) = c_0(p'(r) + (\log r)p(r))x^r = 0, \text{ at } r = r_1.$$

Therefore if  $\phi_1$  is the solution corresponding to  $r = r_1$  then  $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial r}$  at  $r = r_1$  is the second solution.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(r_1) x^{k+r_1} = c_0 x^{r_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r_1) x^{k+r_1} \\ \phi_2(x) &= \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_1} = x^{r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c'_k(r_1) x^k + (\log x) x^{r_1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(r_1) x^k \\ &= x^{r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c'_k(r_1) x^k + (\log x) \phi_1(x)\end{aligned}$$

Practically, one assumes the following for calculating the second solution

$$\phi_2(x) = x^{r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k x^k + (\log x) \phi_1(x)$$

and obtain the coefficients  $b_k$  by substituting this in the given equation.

**Example 7.** Consider the Bessel equation:  $x^2y'' + xy' + x^2y = 0$

In this case  $a(x) = 1, b(x) = x^2$ . Therefore  $\alpha_0 = 1, \beta_0 = 0$ .

Indicial polynomial:  $p(r) = r(r-1)\alpha_0 + \beta_0 = r^2$ . The roots are  $r_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 = 0$ . Then the possible solutions are of the form

$$\phi_1(x) = \sum c_k x^k, \text{ and } \phi_2(x) = (\log x)\phi_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k x^k$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x^2y'' + xy' + x^2y &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k k(k-1)x^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^{k+2} \\ &= c_1 x + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k(k-1) + k)c_k + c_{k-2}] x^k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$c_1 = 0, \text{ and } c_k = -\frac{c_{k-2}}{k^2}, k = 2, 3, \dots$$

This implies

$$c_{2k+1} = 0, \quad c_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^2 \cdot 4^2 \cdots (2k)^2} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k} (k!)^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore the first solution is

$$\phi_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k} (k!)^2} x^{2k}$$

To get the second solution we substitute  $\phi_2$  into the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2'(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_k x^{k-1} + \frac{\phi_1}{x} + \log x \phi_1' \\ \phi_2''(x) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_k x^{k-2} - \frac{\phi_1}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x} \phi_1' + (\log x) \phi_1'' \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi_2) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_k x^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_k x^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k x^{k+2} + 2x \phi_1' + \log x L(\phi_1) \\ &= c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} [(k(k-1) + k)c_k + c_{k-2}] x^k + 2x \phi_1' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Again by theorem 4 we get

$$c_1 = 0$$

$$c_1 x + c_2 2^2 x^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} [(k(k-1) + k)c_k + c_{k-1}] x^k = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2k x^{2k}}{2^{2k} (m!)^2}$$

Since the right hand side series has only even powers of  $x$ , we get all odd coefficients  $c_{2k+1}$  as

$$c_1 = 0,$$

$$k^2 c_k = c_{k-2}, \quad k = 3, 5, 7, \dots \implies c_{2k+1} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Comparing the even powers, we can compute all even coefficients  $c_{2k}$  as

$$4c_2 = 1$$

$$(2k)^2 c_{2k} + c_{2k-2} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} k}{2^{2k-2} (k!)^2}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

**Case III: Roots differ by integer., i.e.,  $r_2 = r_1 + m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$**

Recall that the relation satisfied by  $c_k$ :

$$p(r+k)c_k = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [(j+r)\alpha_{k-j} + \beta_{k-j}] c_j := \textcolor{red}{D}_k \quad (2.2) \quad \boxed{\text{sprrelation}}$$

Since  $r_1 > r_2$  and  $p$  is second order polynomial  $p(r_1 + k) \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore all coefficients can be computed using (2.2) to write the series solution of first solution  $\phi_1$ . Now to find second solution we note that

$$p(r_2) = p(r_1 + m) = 0$$

Therefore it is not clear how to compute  $c_m$  from (2.2). However in **some special cases**  $D_m$  on the Right hand side of (2.2) also may become zero. In that case we can take  $c_m$  to be arbitrary and other coefficients can be calculated using (2.2). For example

**Example 8.**  $x^2 y'' + 2x^2 y' - 2y' = 0$

In this case by taking  $y(x) = \sum c_k x^{k+r}$  and substituting in the equation, we get

$$0 = x^2 y'' + 2x^2 y' - 2y' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+r)(k+r-1) c_k x^{k+r} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2(k+r) c_k x^{k+r+1} - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^{k+r}$$

$$= r(r-1) c_0 x^r + (-2) c_0 x^r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(k+r)(k+r-1) c_k + 2(k+r-1) c_{k-1} - 2c_k] x^{k+r}$$

Therefore indicial polynomial is  $p(r) = r^2 - r - 2$ . The roots are  $r_1 = -1$ , and  $r_2 = 2$ . That is  $r_2 = r_1 + 3$  implying  $m = 3$ . So  $c_3$  needs to be seen carefully.

From the recurrence relation

$$((k+r)(k+r-1)-2)c_k = -2(k+r-1)c_{k-1}$$

Taking  $r = 2$  we can find all coefficients to get the first solution in the form

$$\phi_1(x) = x^2 \sum c_k x^k$$

Now taking  $r = -1$  we get the relation

$$[(k-1)(k-2)-2]c_k = -2(k-2)c_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore

$$k = 1 : \implies -2c_1 = (-2)(-1)c_0 = 2c_0 = 2 \implies c_1 = -1$$

$$k = 2 : \implies (-2)c_2 = (-2)(0)c_1 \implies c_2 = 0$$

$$k = 3 : \implies (2-2)c_3 = (-2)(1)c_2 = 0$$

implying  $c_3$  is arbitrary. So we may choose  $c_3 = 0$ . From the recurrence relation we will get  $c_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq 4$ . hence the second solution is

$$\phi_2(x) = x^{-1}(1-x)$$

On the other hand one may choose  $c_3 \neq 0$ . If  $c_3 = 1$  then  $c_4, c_5, \dots$  can be found from the recurrence relation and the resultant solution will be

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= x^{-1}(1-x) + x^{-1}(x^3 + c_4x^4 + \dots) \\ &= x^{-1}(1-x) + x^2(1 + c_4x + \dots) = \phi_2(x) + C\phi_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any choice of  $c_3$  we will get only  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  above are the only L.I. solutions.  $\square$

In general to find second solution we use  $\phi_2 = v\phi_1$  and reducing the order we get

$$\begin{aligned} v' &= \frac{1}{\phi_1^2} e^{-\int a_1(x)dx} = \frac{1}{x^{2r_1}(c_0 + c_1x + \dots)^2} e^{-\int (\alpha_0 x^{-1} + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots)} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{2r_1}(c_0 + c_1x + \dots)^2} e^{-\alpha_0 \log x - \alpha_1 x + \dots} \\ &= \frac{x^{-\alpha_0}}{x^{2r_1}(c_0 + c_1x + \dots)^2} e^{-\alpha_1 x + \dots} = \frac{1}{x^{2r_1 + \alpha_0}} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(t) = \frac{e^{-\alpha_1 x + \dots}}{(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots)^2}$ . Since  $g(0) = \frac{1}{c_0^2} \neq 0$ ,  $g(x)$  is real analytic at 0. This implies

$$g(x) = \sum g_k x^k$$

Therefore by taking  $n = 2r_1 + \alpha_0$

$$v'(x) = b_0 x^{-n} + b_1 t^{-n+1} + \dots + b_{n-1} t^{-1} + b_n + \dots$$

This implies

$$v(x) = b_0 \frac{x^{-n+1}}{-n+1} + \dots + b_{n-1} \log x + b_n x + \dots$$

Hence the second solution  $\phi_2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(x) &= v\phi_1 = b_{n-1}(\log x)\phi_1 + x^{r_1}(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots)(b_0 \frac{x^{-n+1}}{-n+1} + \dots) \\ &= b_{n-1}(\log x)\phi_1 + x^{r_1-n+1}(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots)(\frac{b_0}{-n+1} + \dots) \\ &= b_{n-1}(\log x)\phi_1 + x^{r_2} \sum d_k x^k. \end{aligned}$$

To show  $r_2 = 1 - n + r_1$  we notice that

$$p(r) = r(r-1) + r\alpha_0 + \beta_0, \text{ also } p(r) = (r-r_1)(r-r_2)$$

Sum of the roots  $= \alpha_0 - 1 = -(r_1 + r_2) \implies r_2 = 1 - \alpha_0 - r_1$ . Now substituting  $n = 2r_1 + \alpha_0$  we get  $r_2 = 1 - n + r_1$ . There in this case we substitute

$$\phi_2(x) = b_{n-1}(\log x)\phi_1 + x^{r_2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k x^k$$

in the equation and find the unknowns  $b_{n-1}$  &  $d_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Note that in this case  $b_{n-1}$  can be equal to zero which is the special case discussed above.

As a result of the above discussed is summarized as

**Theorem 7.** Suppose  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are real analytic at  $x = 0$  having radius of convergence  $R$ . Then the equation

$$x^2 y'' + x a(x) y' + b(x) y = 0$$

has a solution in the form  $x^r \sum c_k x^k$  (for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and the radius of convergence  $R$ . Other linearly independent solution can be obtained by reduction of order.

*Proof.* Refer to the text book. □

**Reference text book:** E.A. Coddington, An introduction to ODE, PHI, 2003.

## 2.1 Problems

1. Find two linearly independent series solutions of

- (a)  $y'' - xy' + 2y = 0$
- (b)  $y'' + 3x^2y' - 2xy = 0$
- (c)  $y'' + x^2y' + x^2y = 0$
- (d)  $(1 + x^2)y'' + y = 0$

2. Consider the Chebyshev equation

$$(1 - x^2)y'' - xy' + \alpha^2y = 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

- (a) Compute two linearly independent series solutions for  $|x| < 1$ .
  - (b) Show that for each non-negative  $\alpha = n$  there is a polynomial solution of degree  $n$
3. Consider the Hermite equation

$$y'' - 2xy' + 2\alpha y = 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

- (a) Compute two linearly independent series solutions.
  - (b) Show that for each non-negative  $\alpha = n$  there is a polynomial solution of degree  $n$ .
4. Show that

$$\int_{-1}^1 P_n(x)P_m(x)dx = \begin{cases} 0, & (n \neq m) \\ \frac{2}{2n+1} & n = m. \end{cases}$$

5. Show that there are constants  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  such that

$$x^n = c_0P_0(x) + c_1P_1(x) + \dots + c_nP_n(x)$$

6. Find all solutions of the following equations for  $x > 0$ :

- (a)  $x^2y'' + 2xy' - 6y = 0$
- (b)  $2x^2y'' + xy' - y = 0$
- (c)  $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 9y = 0$

7. Find all solutions of the following equations for  $x > 0$ :

- (a)  $3x^2y'' + 5xy' + 3xy = 0$
- (b)  $x^2y'' + 3xy' + (1 + x)y = 0$
- (c)  $x^2y'' - 2x(x + 1)y' + 2(x + 1)y = 0$