

# Lab Exercise Part 1 e 2??

Alexandre Rodrigues (2039952)

January 15, 2022

## 1 Introduction

...

## 2 Technical Approach

### 2.1 Instancing

... Class 1 - Random

Class 2 - Domain specific random How...

### 2.2 part2

Used tabu search or genetic or various.... Used from moodle?? Added: clever initial solution, intensification, diversification, 3 opt instead of 2 opt, alternating ...

## 3 Results

I tested class 3 only for  $n=10$  with 6 instances, 5 runs each. The class 2 instances are random but slightly domain specific. In this case I simply removed the possibility to have holes in the borders of the board.

Class 1 is fully random: board as size =  $N$  so  $x \in 0, N - 1$  hole of size 1

Both class 1 and 2 were tested using 30 random instances for  $10 \leq n \leq 70$ . Due to the considerable time I reduced it to 10 instances for  $80 \leq n \leq 100$ .

The time to drill a hole is constant so we can disregard it. The total cost would be  $cost_{real} = cost_{exp} + cN, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The cost matrix was computed from the hole positions (random or not) using Manhattan distance

$n$	class1	class2
10	0.125s	0.131s
20	0.537s	0.449s
30	1.561s	1.580s
40	5.549s	6.303s
50	14.534s	13.757s
60	29.168s	26.621s
70	47.808s	48.775s
80	91.089s	105.980s
90	142.739s	199.926s
100	257.982s	292.470s

Table 1: Average Time

Assuming a max time as 20 seconds.... we can solve for up to ?? nodes.

Class 1 vs Class 2 ...

Tested 3,4,5 instance for each n and ...

$n$	<b>class1</b>	<b>class2</b>
5	0.15	
10	0.25	
20		
30		
50		
70		
100		
150		
200		

Table 2: Average Time

$n$	<b>sol</b>	<b>optimal</b>	<b>cplex heuristic</b>
5	40	30	N/A
10	100	90	N/A
20			N/A
30			N/A
50			
70			
100			
150			
200			

Table 3: Solution

Assuming a max time as 20 seconds.... we can solve for up to ?? nodes.

Class 1 vs Class 2 ...

std. deviation of ...

## 4 Conclusions