

Course Notes

Conjecture and Proof

Alex Rutar

BSM Fall 2018

Contents

1	An Introduction	3
1.1	Sidon Sets	3
1.2	Irrational Numbers	4
1.2.1	A few proofs of irrationality	4
1.2.2	Algebraic Numbers	5

Chapter 1

An Introduction

1.1 Sidon Sets

We define a Sidon set $S \subseteq N$ as a subset such that pairwise sums are unique. Write $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq n$ with $a_i + a_j \neq a_l + a_r$ (possibly $i = j, l = r$). what is the maximum value of k ? For example, the powers of two provide a lower bound of $\max k \geq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ by binary representations and uniqueness of multiplication by 2.

We can also bound above: $2 \leq a_i + a_j \leq 2n$ and the number of sums is $\binom{k}{2} + k$. We must have

$$\binom{k}{2} + k \leq 2n - 1$$

which can be rearranged to (losing a small amount of precision)

$$k < 2\sqrt{n}$$

We can get a better upper bound: note that if we have equal sums, we also have equal differences: $a_i + a_j = a_l + a_r$ implies $a_i - a_l = a_r - a_j$. We now have $\binom{k}{2}$ differences and $n - 1$ places, and by the same argument as above we get

$$k < \sqrt{2n} + 1$$

This trick works because subtraction is not commutative!

Let's now try to get a better lower bound. Always pick the smallest number available that does not violate the rule. We can take

$$1, 2, 4, 8, \dots$$

Assume that we already picked $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots < a_l$. Then can we take a_{l+1} : x is bad if $x + a_i = a_j + a_k$, $x + x = a_j + a_k$, $x = a_j + a_k - a_i$ so there are at most l^3 bad numbers. The second is impossible otherwise we would have $x < \max\{a_j, a_k\}$. Thus there are at most l^3 bad numbers, including $a_i = a_i + a_j - a_k$. Thus if $l^3 < n$, we can certainly pick an a_{l+1} . We therefore have

$$\sqrt[3]{n} \leq \max k < \sqrt{2n} + 1$$

1.2 Irrational Numbers

1.2.1 A few proofs of irrationality

PROOF We provide five different proofs that $\sqrt{5}$ is irrational:

1. By contradiction, suppose $\sqrt{5} = \frac{a}{b}$ with $(a, b) = 1$ and $b > 0$. Then $5b^2 = a^2$, so $5|a^2$. But since 5 is prime (or generally, a product of distinct primes), $5|a$ and write $a = 5c$ so that $5b^2 = (5c)^2 = 25c^2$. But then $b^2 = 5c^2$ so $5|b$, a contradiction.
2. As above, get $5b^2 = a^2$. Using unique factorization in \mathbb{Z} , note that n is a square iff $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_l^{k_l}$ and $2|k_i$ for all i (proof is constructive). But then b^2, a^2 both have an even exponent in the 5 position, so that $5b^2$ has an odd exponent, a contradiction.

More generally, if there exists an odd exponent in the standard form of m , then \sqrt{m} is irrational.

3. Suppose $\sqrt{5} = \frac{a}{b}$. We must have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt{5} - 2)^n \rightarrow 0$. If we multiply $(c + d\sqrt{5})(h + j\sqrt{5})$, we have another number of the same form. Then $(\sqrt{5} - 2)^n = A_n - B_n\sqrt{5} = A_n + B_n\frac{a}{b} = \frac{C_n}{b} \geq \frac{1}{b}$ with $C_n \neq 0$, contradicting the limit.
4. In geometry, we say a and b are commensurable (have a common measure) if there exists c so that $kc = a$ and $lc = b$ where $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then a/b is rational if and only if a, b have a common measure. To see the forward direction, we have $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{m}{n}$ so that $\frac{a}{m} = \frac{b}{n}$ and a common measure is $\frac{a}{m}$. Conversely, if $kc = a$ and $lc = b$ then $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{k}{l}$.

Thus we will show that $\sqrt{5}$ and 1 have no common measure. Suppose c is a common measure of 1 and $\sqrt{5}$. Consider a rectangle with sides 1, 2 and diagonal of length $\sqrt{5}$. Let $AB = 1$, $BC = 2$ and choose E so that $EC = BC$. Drop a perpendicular from E onto AB . Then $AEF \sim ABC$ since they share two angles. But then $FE = 2AE$. Then c is also a common measure of FE . Similarly, $FB = FE$ since $FBC \cong FEC$. Then c is also a common measure of FB and thus of AF .

Repeat this construction, so we must have c arbitrarily small because the ratios of the hypotenuses are a constant ratio less than 1. Thus we have our contradiction.

5. $\sqrt{5}$ is a root of the polynomial $x^2 - 5$. We have the rational root test, which states that possible rational roots must Write $f = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$. Consider a root of the form $r/2$, so $f(r/s) = 0$. Then

$$0 = a_0s^n + a_1rs^{n-1} + a_2r^2s^{n-2} + \cdots + a_nr^n$$

so $s|a_nr^n$ so $s|a_n$ (since $(s, r) = 1$). Similarly, $r|a_0$.

If $\sqrt{5} = 1/b$, then $a| -5$ and $b|1$ so $a/b = \pm 1, \pm 5$. Check, and none of these work, so there are no rational roots. \square

Prop. 1.2.1 e is irrational.

PROOF Assume $e = \frac{a}{b}$, $b > 0$, $(a, b) = 1$ and write

$$\frac{a}{b} = e = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots$$

and multiply by $b!$ to get

$$\text{integer} = \text{integer} + \frac{1}{b+1} + \frac{1}{(b+1)(b+2)} + \dots$$

but the infinite sum is positive less than $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Prop. 1.2.2 $\sin 1^\circ$ is irrational.

PROOF We show that if $\sin 1^\circ$ is rational, then $\sin 45^\circ$ is rational. Write $z = \cos 1^\circ + i \sin 1^\circ$ so that $z^{45} = (\cos 1^\circ + i \sin 1^\circ)^{45} = \cos 45^\circ + i \sin 45^\circ$. Expand the binomial coefficient to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{45} \binom{45}{n} (\cos 1^\circ)^n (i \sin 1^\circ)^{45-n} &= \text{real} + \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ 2|n}}^{45} \binom{45}{n} (\cos 1^\circ)^n (i \sin 1^\circ)^{45-n} \\ &= \text{real} + i \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ 2|n}}^{45} (\pm 1) \binom{45}{n} (\cos 1^\circ)^n (\sin 1^\circ)^{45-n} \end{aligned}$$

but since $(\cos 1^\circ)^2 = 1 - (\sin 1^\circ)^2$ is rational, the entire imaginary part is rational. Thus equating with $\sin 45^\circ$ means that $\sin 45^\circ = \sqrt{2}/2$ is rational, our contradiction. \square

1.2.2 Algebraic Numbers

It is interesting to consider numbers which are roots of polynomials with rational (equiv. integer) coefficients of degree at least 1. The rational numbers $\frac{a}{b}$ are roots of the degree one polynomials $x - \frac{a}{b}$.

Def'n. 1.2.3 We say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic if there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $p \neq 0$, so that $p(\alpha) = 0$. If α is not algebraic, then it is transcendental.

Def'n. 1.2.4 We say that f is the minimal polynomial of α if $f(\alpha) = 0$ and f has minimal degree.

Def'n. 1.2.5 With this in mind, we define the **degree** of an algebraic number $\deg \alpha = \deg m_\alpha$.

We have the following properties of the minimal polynomial:

Thm. 1.2.6 The following hold:

- (a) The minimal polynomial is unique up to a constant factor.
- (b) $g(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow m_\alpha | g$
- (c) $g = m_\alpha \Leftrightarrow g(\alpha) = 0$ and g is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , i.e. g cannot be factored into polynomials of smaller degree with rational coefficients.

(d) *The algebraic numbers form a subfield of the complex numbers.*

PROOF We first show (b). If $m_\alpha | g$, then $g(\alpha) = m_\alpha(\alpha)f(\alpha) = 0$. For the reverse direction, write $g = m_\alpha \cdot q + r$ where $\deg r < \deg m_\alpha$. Then $0 = g(\alpha) = m_\alpha(\alpha) \cdot q + r(\alpha)$ so $r(\alpha) = 0$. But since m_α is the minimal polynomial, we must have $r = 0$ and $m_\alpha | g$.

Now we see (a) from (b). Suppose p, q are both minimal polynomials. Then $p | q$ so $q = hp$, where $\deg q = \deg p$. Thus $\deg h = 0$ is a constant polynomial.

Now we see (c). We certainly have $g(\alpha) = 0$. Now suppose for contradiction that g is reducible, and write $g = f \cdot h$. But then $f(\alpha)h(\alpha) = 0$, so w.l.o.g. $f(\alpha) = 0$ with $\deg f < \deg g$, so g is not minimal. Conversely, $m_\alpha | g$ so $m_\alpha = cg$. \square

Ex. 1.2.7 Show that $\deg \sqrt[3]{2} = 3$. By (c), it suffices to show that $x^3 - 2$ is irreducible, which follows by the rational root test.

Now consider $f = x^4 - 2$, and suppose $f = g \cdot h$. g and h cannot be degree 1 by the rational root theorem, but we could have $\deg g = \deg h = 2$. To prove this, we use the Eisenstein criterion with $p = 2$. multiplication by i

Thm. 1.2.8 (Gelfond-Schneider) Suppose $0, 1 \neq \alpha$ is algebraic, and β is algebraic, and not rational. Then α^β is transcendental.

Cor. 1.2.9 $\beta = \log_{10} 3$ is transcendental.

PROOF Write $10^\beta = 3$. Suppose β is algebraic. β is certainly irrational, but then 10^β is transcendental, a contradiction. \square