## PMATH 465

Alex Rutar\* University of Waterloo

Fall 2019<sup>†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>arutar@uwaterloo.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Last updated: November 27, 2019

# Contents

Chapter	I Fundamentals of Manifolds	
1	Introduction to Topology	1
2	Immersions, Embedding, Submanifolds	9
3	Tangent Vectors	12
4	Lie Groups	15
5	Smooth $k$ –forms	18
6	Integration	26
7	Manifolds with Boundary	30
8	DeRham Cohomology	31

## I. Fundamentals of Manifolds

## 1 Introduction to Topology

#### **BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS**

**Definition.** A **topology** on a set X is a set  $\tau$  of subsets of X such that

- (i)  $\emptyset \in \tau$  and  $X \in \tau$
- (ii) If  $U_{\alpha} \in \tau$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in \tau$ .
- (iii) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U_i \in \tau$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$ , then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \tau$ .

The sets  $U \in \tau$  are called the **open sets** in X, and sets of the form  $X \setminus U$  for some open set U are called the **closed sets** in X.

**Definition.** When X is a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ , the **interior** of A (denoted  $A^{\circ}$ ) is the union of all open sets contained in A. Similarly, we define the **closure** of A (denoted  $\overline{A}$ ) as the intersction of all closed sets containing A. Then the **boundary** of A, denoted by  $\partial A$ , is the set  $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}$ .

*Example.* Let *X* be any set. The **discrete topology** on *X* is the topology  $\tau = \mathcal{P}(X)$ , and the **trivial topology** on *X* is the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$ .

**Definition.** A basis for a topology on a set X is a set V of subsets of X

- (i)  $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} b = X$
- (ii) for all  $a \in X$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $a \in U \cap V$ , then there exists  $W \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $a \in W \subseteq U \cap V$ .

When  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for a topology on X, the topology on X **generated** by  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set  $\tau$  of subsets of X such that for  $W \subseteq X$ ,  $W \in \tau$  if and only if for all  $a \in W$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $a \in U \subseteq W$ .

Note that  $\tau$ , as above, is a topology on X since

- (i)  $\emptyset \in \tau$  vacuously and  $X \in \tau$  obviously.
- (ii) If  $A_k \in \tau$  for all  $k \in K$  (where K is any set of indices), then given  $a \in \bigcup_{x \in K} A_k$ , we can choose  $\ell \in K$  so that  $a \in A_\ell$ . Then since  $A_\ell \in \tau$ , we can choose  $U_\ell \in \mathcal{B}$  so that  $a \in U_\ell \subseteq A_\ell$ . Thus  $a \in U_\ell \subseteq A_\ell \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K} A_k$ .
- (iii) By induction, it suffices to prove that if  $A, B \in \tau$ , then  $A \cap B \in \tau$ . Suppose  $A, B \in \tau$ , and let  $a \in A \cap B$ . Since  $A \in \tau$ , we can choose  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  so that  $a \in U \subseteq A$ . Since  $B \in \tau$ , we can choose  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  so that  $a \in V \subseteq B$ . Then we have  $a \in U \cap V$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, we can chose  $W \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $a \in W \subseteq U \cap V$ , so  $a \in W \subseteq U \cap V \subseteq A \cap B$ .

Note that when  $\tau$  is the topology on X generated by the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , for  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A \in \tau$  if and only if there exists some  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{s \in S} s$ . In this sense, the topology  $\tau$  on X generated by the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is the coarsest topology which contains  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition.** (Subspace Topology) When Y is a topological space and  $X \subseteq Y$  is a subset of Y, we define the **subspace topology** on X to be the topology for which as set  $U \subseteq X$  is open if and only if  $U = X \cap V$  for some open set V.

If C is a basis for the topology on Y, then  $B = \{X \cap V \mid V \in C\}$  is a basis for the subspace topology on X.

**Definition.** (**Disjoint Union Topology**) If X and Y are topological spaces with  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , then the **disjoint union topology** on  $X \cup Y$  is the topology in which a subset  $U \subseteq X \cup Y$  is open in  $X \cup Y$  if and only if  $U \cap X$  is open in X and  $Y \cap Y$  is open in Y.

**Definition.** (**Product Topology**) If X and Y are topological spaces, the **product topology** on  $X \times Y$  is the topology generted by the basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{C}, V \in \mathcal{D} \}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are bases for the topologies on X, Y respectively.

Definition. (Infinite Product Topology) We define the infinite product to be

$$\prod_{k \in K} \left\{ f : K \to \bigcup_{k \in K} X_k \mid f(k) \in X_k \text{ for all } k \in K \right\}$$

There are two standard topologies on X. The first is the **box topology**,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \prod_{k \in K} U_k \middle| U_k \text{ is open in } X_k \right\}$$

and the product topology

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \prod_{k \in K} U_k \middle| \begin{array}{c} U_k \text{ is open in } X_k \\ U_k = X_k \text{ for all but finitely many indices } k \end{array} \right\}$$

Example. (Metric Topology)  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a standard **inner product**, and for  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\langle u, v \rangle = u \cdot v = V^T u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$ . This gives the standard norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $||u|| = \sqrt{\langle u, v \rangle}$ . This gives the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : for  $a, \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , d(a, b) = ||b - a||.

Given a metric on a set Y, we obtain (by restriction) an induced metric on any subset  $X \subseteq Y$ . Given a metric space X, we define the **metric topology** on X to be the topology which is generated by the set of open balls

$$B(a, r) = \{ x \in X \mid d(a, x) < r \}$$

where  $x \in X$ , r > 0.

#### Maps on Topological Spaces

**Definition.** When X and Y are topological spaces and  $f: X \to Y$ , we say that f is **continuous** when it has the property that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X for every open set V in Y. We say that  $f: X \to Y$  is a **homeomorphism** when f is bijective and both f and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous. Then X, Y are **homeomorphic** if there exists a homeomorphism  $f: X \to Y$ .

- **1.1 Theorem.** (Glueing Lemma) Let X and Y be topological spaces, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Suppose either
  - (i)  $X = \bigcup_{k \in K} A_k$  where each  $A_k$  is open in X, or
- (ii)  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k$  where each  $A_k$  is closed in X and each restriction map  $f_k : A_k \to Y$  is continuous, then f is continuous.

Proof Exercise.

**Definition.** A topological space X is **compact** when it has the property that for every set S of open subsets of X with  $X = \bigcup_{U \in S} U$ , there exists a finite subset  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{F \in F} F$ .

Note that when  $X \subseteq Y$  is a subspace, X is compact if and only if X has the property that for every set T with  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{T \in T} T$ , there exists a finite subset  $G \subseteq T$  uch that  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{G \in G} G$ .

**Definition.** A topological space X is **connected** when there do not exist non-empty disjoint open sets  $U, V \in X$  such that  $X = U \cup V$ .

Note that if *Y* is a metric space and  $X \subseteq Y$  is a subspsace, then *X* if connected if and only if there do not exist open sets  $U, V \in Y$  such that

$$X \cap U \neq \emptyset, X \cap V \neq \emptyset, U \cap V = \emptyset$$
, and  $X \subseteq U \cap V$ 

**Definition.** A topological space X is called **path connected** when it has the property that for all  $a, b \in X$ , there exists a continuous map  $\alpha : [0,1] \to X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha(1) = b$ .

It is easy to see that if *X* is path connected, then *X* is connected.

**Definition.** Let X be a topological space. If we define a relation  $\sim$  on C by taking  $a \sim b$  if and only if there exists a connected subspace  $A \subseteq X$  with  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

It is clear that this is an equivalence relation. Note that when X is a topological space, its connected components are connected, and each connected subspace of X is contained in one of its connected components.

**Definition.** Let X be a topological space. Define a relation  $\approx$  on X by  $a \approx b$  if and only if there exists a continuous map  $\alpha : [0,1] \to X$  with  $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha(1) = b$ . Such a map  $\alpha$  is called a **continuous path**.

One can show that if X is **locally path connected** (which means that X has a basis for its topology which consists of path connected sets), then the path components of X are equal to the connected components of X, and that these components are open.

#### QUOTIENT TOPOLOGY

**Definition.** (Quotient Topology) Let X be a topological space and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on X. The set of equivalence classes is denoted  $X/\sim$ , and  $X/\sim$  is called the **quotient** of X by  $\sim$ . The map  $\pi: X \to X/\sim$  given by  $\pi(a) = [a]$  is called the natural **projection map** or **quotient map**. We define the **quotient topology** on  $X/\sim$  by stipulating that for  $W \subseteq X/\sim$ , W is open in  $X/\sim$  if and only if  $\pi^{-1}(W)$  is open in X.

When a group G acts on a topological space X, we define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on X by  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $b = g \cdot a$  for some  $g \in G$ . The equivalence classes are orbits. In this context, we also write  $X/\sim$  as X/G.

When X, Y are any toplogical spaces and  $\pi: X \to Y$  is surjective, we can define an equivalence relation X by  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$ . We then have a natural bijection from Y to  $X/\sim$  in which  $y \in Y$  corresponds to the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(y) \in X/\sim$ .

If *Y* has the topology such that for  $W \subseteq Y$ , *W* is open in *Y* if and only if  $q^{-1}(W)$  is open in *X*. In this case, we also use the terminology "quotient map" for  $\pi$ .

*Remark.* Let *X* be a topological space and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on *X*. Let *Y* be any set. If  $f: X \to Y$  is constant on the equivalence classes, then f induces a well-defined map  $\overline{f}: X/\sim \to Y$  given by define  $\overline{f}([a]) = f(a)$ .

*Example.* Define an equivalence class on  $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  by  $s \sim t$  if and only if s = t or  $\{s,t\} = \{0,1\}$ . Then  $[0,1]/\sim \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ . Define  $f:[0,1] \to \S^1$  by  $f(t) = e^{i2\pi t}$ . Note that f(0) = f(1), so f induces a continuous map  $\overline{f}:[0,1]/\sim \to \mathbb{S}^1$ . The inverse map can be constructed as follows. We define  $g:\mathbb{S}^1 \to [0,1]/\sim$  by

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} x \right] & : y \ge 0\\ 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} x \right] & : y \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Then *g* is continuous by the Glueing lemma.

In particular, the same proof shows that  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Example.* The projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  can be defined in several ways.  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is the set of all 1-dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , or  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ , or  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{S}^n / \pm 1$  where  $\mathbb{S}^n = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |u| = 1\}$ .

Let us show that  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{R}^{\times}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^n/\pm 1$ . Define  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{S}^n$  by f(x) = x/|x|, and  $g = \pi \circ f$ . Then g is given by  $g(x) = \{\pm x/|x|\}$ . Note that for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ ,

$$g(tx) = \left[\frac{t}{|t|} \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}\right] = \left[\frac{x}{|x|}\right]$$

since  $t/|t| = \pm 1$ . Thus g induces a continuous map  $\overline{g}$  on the quotient. We construct the inverse map in a similar way.

**Definition.** Let *X* be a topological space. Then

- X is **T1** when for all  $a, b \in X$  there exists an open set U in X with  $a \in U$  and  $b \notin U$
- *X* is **T2** or **Hausdorff** when for all  $a, b \in X$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U, V \subseteq X$  with  $a \in U$  and  $v \in B$
- *X* is **T3** or **regular** when *X* is T1 and for every  $a \in X$  and every closed set  $B \subseteq X$  with  $a \notin B$ , there exist open sets  $U, V \subseteq X$  with  $a \in U, B \subseteq V$ .
- *X* is **T4** or **normal** when *X* is T1 and for all disjoint closed sets  $A, B \subseteq X$  there exist disjoint open sets  $U, V \subseteq X$  with  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

**Definition.** Let *X* be a topological space.

- *X* is **first countable** when for every  $a \in X$ , there exists a countable set  $B_a$  of open sets in *X* which contain *a* such that for every open set *W* in *X* with  $a \in W$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{B}_a$  with  $a \in U \subseteq W$ .
- *X* is **second countable** when there exists a countable basis for the topology on *X*.

Example. (i) X is T1 if and only if every 1-point subset of X is closed in X

- (ii) Every compact Hausdorff space is regular.
- (iii) Every second countable regular space is normal.
- (iv) Every metric space is normal.
- (v) If *X* is second countable, then every open cover admits a countable subcover.
- (vi) Every secound countable space *X* contains a countable dense subset.
  - **1.2 Lemma.** (Urysohn) If X is normal and  $A, B \subseteq X$  are disjoint and closed, then there is a countinuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $f(A) = \{0\}$  and  $f(B) = \{1\}$ .
  - **1.3 Theorem.** (Tietze Extension) If X is normal and  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous for some  $A \subseteq X$  closed, then there exists a continuous map  $F: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F|_A = f$  and  $\sup_{a \in A} |f(a)| = \sup_{x \in X} |F(x)|$ .

**1.4 Theorem.** (Urysohn's Metrization) If X is second countable and regular, then X is metrizable.

**Definition.** An **n-dimensional topological manifold** is a Hausdorff, second countable topological space M which is **locally homeomorphic** to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , meaning for every  $p \in M$ , there exists an open set  $U \subseteq M$  with  $p \in U$  and an open set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi : U \subseteq M \to V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Such a homomorphism  $\phi$  is called a **(local) coordinate chart** or **chart** on M at p. The domain U of a chart  $\phi : U \subseteq M \to \phi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a (local) **coordinate neighbourhood** at p. Note that we can choose a set of charts

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \phi_k : U_k \subseteq M \to \phi_k(U_k) : k \in K \}$$

where K is any non-empty set such that  $M = \bigcup_{k \in K} U_k$ . Such a set of charts is called an **atlas** for M.

**Definition.** Two charts are called  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  and  $\psi: V \to \psi(V)$  are called **(smoothly) compatible** when either  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  or  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  are smooth (meaning partial derivatives of all orders exist). We say that an atlas is **smooth** if every pair of charts is compatible.

Note that a smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  on M can be extend to a unique maximal smooth atlas  $\mathcal{M}$  on M by adding to  $\mathcal{A}$  every possible homeomorphism  $\psi:U\subseteq M\to \phi(U)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$  which is compatible with all of the existing charts (since if  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are both compatible with every chart  $\psi\in\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  will be compatible with each other). The maps  $\psi\circ\phi^{-1}$  are called **transition maps** or **change of coordinate maps**. A maximal smooth atlas  $\mathcal{M}$  on M is called a **smooth structure** on M.

**Definition.** An n-dimensional smooth (or  $C^{\infty}$ ) manifold is an n-dimensional topological manifold with a smooth structure.

*Remark.* A topological manifold can have different smooth structures. For example, take  $\mathcal{A} = \{\phi\}$  where  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the identity map, and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi\}$  where  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism given by  $\psi(x)x^3$ , since  $\sqrt[3]{x}$  is not smooth at the origin.

What if we tried  $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi\}$  where  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism which is not  $C^{\infty}$ ? This is trivially a smooth atlas.

Typically, a manifold is given with a standard smooth structure.

*Remark.* We can give a smooth manifold M an (at most countable) atlas of charts all of which are of one of the forms

- $\phi: U \subseteq M \rightarrow B(0,1)$
- $\phi: U \subseteq M \rightarrow (0,1)^n$
- $\phi: U \subseteq M \to \mathbb{R}^n$

Note that the maximal atlas  $\mathcal{M}$  is determined from any subset  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that the domains of the charts in  $\mathcal{A}$  cover  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition.** Let M be an m-dimensional smooth manifold and N be an n-dimensional smooth manifold and let  $f: M \to N$  be a function. Then we say f is smooth **smooth** at p when for some (hence for any) chart at  $\phi$  on M at p and for some (hence any) chart  $\psi$  on N at f(p), the map  $\phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi$  is smooth at  $x = \phi(p)$ , and f is **smooth** if f is smooth at ever  $p \in M$ . We say that f is a **diffeomorphism** when f is invertible and both f and  $f^{-1}$  are smooth. We say that f and f are **diffeomorphic**, and write f is f in f and f and f are diffeomorphism f in f in f and f and f are diffeomorphism f in f and f are diffeomorphism f in f and f are diffeomorphic f in f and f and f are diffeomorphic f in f and f are diffeomorphic f and f are diffeomorphic f in f and f are diffeomorphic f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are

*Remark.* If is conceivable that a topological manifold M could be both of dimension n and of dimension m with  $n \neq m$ . To do this, we would need to have a homeomorphism from an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . In fact, this cannot happen by invariance of domain, proven using tools from algebraic topology.

When M is smooth, it is easy to see that this cannot happen. If  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  and  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  were smooth inverses, then the matrices  $D(\psi \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(p))$  and  $D(\phi \circ \psi^{-1})(\psi(p))$  would be inverse matrices. But then a product of a matrix in  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  and in  $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$  cannot be inverses when  $m \neq n$ .

*Remark.* Manifolds are sometimes constructed using quotient constructions. These quotients can be given by polygons with pairs of edges identified up to orientation.

There are other kinds of manifolds (other than  $C^{\infty}$  manifolds); for example, one can define  $C^k$  manifolds, or analytic  $C^{\omega}$  manifold has an atlas in which the transition maps are analytic.

*Example.* 1.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth n-dimensional manifold. It can be given an atlas consisting of 1 chart, the identity map.

- 2. Any n-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  is a smooth n-dimensional manifold. It can be given an atlas with one chart. If  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$  is a basis for V, then one can define  $\phi: V \to \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\phi(\sum t^i u_i) = (t^1, \ldots, t^n) = t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 3. Every open subset of a smooth n-dimensional manifold is also a smooth n-dimensional manifold
- 4.  $M_{n\times m}(\mathbb{R})$  is an  $n\cdot m$ -dimensional manifold with pointwise  $\mathbb{R}^{nm}$  structure.
- 5.  $\{A \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{rank}(A) = \min\{n, m\}\}\$  is a smooth manifold with one chart, since it is an open submanifold of  $M_{n \times m}$ . Suppose n > m; then take all  $n \times n$  submatrices which have non-zero determinant (open by continuity of det), and maximal rank means that A is contained in one of these open subsets.
- 6. The disjoint union of countably many n-dimensional smooth manifolds.
- 7. The cartesian product of finitely many smooth manifolds is a smooth manifold. Let  $\dim(M_k) = n_k$ ; the  $\dim(M_1 \times \cdots \times M_\ell) = \sum_{k=1}^\ell n_k$ . If  $\phi_k : U_k \subseteq M_k \to \phi_k(U_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$  is a chart on  $M_k$ , then  $\chi_k : \prod_{k=1}^\ell U_k \to \prod_{k=1}^\ell \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$  given by  $\chi_k(p_1, \dots, p_\ell) = (\phi_1(p), \dots, \phi_\ell(p))$  is a chart in  $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_\ell$ .
- 8. One can show that  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is a smooth n-dimensional manifold.

*Remark.* For  $A \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ , we denote the entry in the  $k^{th}$  row and  $\ell^{th}$  column by  $A_{\ell}^k$ .

*Example.*  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is an example of an n-dimensional smooth manifold. It can, for example, be given a smooth atlas which contains 2(n+1) charts as follows. For  $1 \le k \le n+1$ , let

$$U_k = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n : x^k > 0\}$$

$$\phi_k : U_k \to B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\phi_k(x) = (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^{n+1})$$

$$\phi_k^{-1}(t^1, \dots, t^n) = \left(t_1, \dots, t^{k-1}, \sqrt{1 - \sum_i (t^i)^2}, t^k, \dots, t^n\right)$$

and the corresponding opposite charts for  $x^k < 0$ . Note that  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is a metric space. It has 2 standard metrics: eithre the one inherited from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or the arclength distance  $d_s(U,v) = \cos^{-1}(u \cdot v)$ .

We can also given  $\mathbb{S}^n$  an atlas which only uses 2 charts, by stereographic projection from a north pole and a south pole.

This stereographic projection also shows that the rational points on the sphere are dense in  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , via the map

$$\phi(x) = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^{n+1}} \right) = \left( \frac{x^1}{1 - x^{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x^n}{1 - x^{n+1}} \right)$$

One can also find  $\phi^{-1}$  and verify that they are both rational functions. In particular,  $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{S}^n$  is dense.

*Example.* The projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  is commonly defined in at least 3 ways:

$$\mathbb{P}^{n} = \{1\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^{n} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{R}^{\times} = \{[x] : 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \}, [x] = \{tx : t \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^{n} = \mathbb{S}^{n} / \pm 1$$

We can given  $\mathbb{P}^n$  a smooth atlas with n+1 charts as follows: for  $1 \le k \le n+1$ , set

$$U_k = \{ [x] \in \mathbb{P}^n : x^k \neq 0 \}$$

$$\phi_k : U_k \to \mathbb{R}^n, \phi_k([x]) = \left( \frac{x^1}{x^k}, \dots, \frac{x^{k-1}}{x^{k-1}}, \frac{x^{k+1}}{x^{k+1}}, \dots, x^{n+1} x^k \right)$$

with  $\phi_k^{-1}(t_1,...,t^n) = [(t_1,...,t^{k-1},1,t^k,...,t^n)].$ 

#### Examples of Smooth Maps

- The inclusion  $f: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  given by f(x) = x
- The quotient map  $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^n$
- The exponential map  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^1$  given by  $f(t) = e^{i2\pi t}$ , or more generally  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$  given by  $f(t^1, ..., t^n) = (e^{2\pi i t^1}, ..., e^{2\pi i t^n})$
- The determinant map  $f: M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(A) = \det(A)$  is smooth
- For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , left and right multiplication by A, the transpose map, and the inverse map  $f(A) = A^{-1}$  are smooth.

#### PARTITIONS OF UNITY

**1.5 Lemma.** Every open cover of a manifold has an (at most) countable subcover.

PROOF Let S be any open cover of M, and let B be a countable basis for the topology on M. For each  $p \in M$ , choose  $U_p \in S$  with  $p \in U_p$ , then choose  $B_p \in B$  with  $p \in B_p \subseteq U_p$ . Then  $\{B_p : p \in M\} \subseteq B$  is an open cover of M, and it is a subset of B, so it is (at most) countable; but then  $\{U_p : p \in M\}$  gives an at most countable subcover of S.

As a result, every manifold has a countable basis  $\mathcal{B}$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a chart  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  on M with  $\phi(U) = B(0,2)$  and  $\phi(B) = B(0,1)$ .

- **1.6 Lemma.** Let M be a manifold, and let S be any open cover of M. Then there exists an at most countable open cover B of M such that
  - 1. for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  there is a chart  $\phi_B : C_B \to \phi_B(C_B) = B(0,1)$  with  $B \subseteq C_B \subseteq U_B \subseteq S$  for some  $U_B \in S$  and  $\phi_B(B) = B(0,1)$ .

2.  $\{C_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}\$  is locally finite, meaning that every point in M has an open neighbourhood which only intersects with finitely many of the sets  $C_B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  (and hence also the sets  $\overline{B}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ).

PROOF Choose a countable set  $V = \{V_1, V_2, ...\}$  of regular coordinate balls which cover M with charts  $\phi_i : W_i \to \phi_i(W_i) = B(0, 2)$  such that  $V_i = \phi_i^{-1}(B(0, 1))$ . We use the sets  $V_i$  to construct a strongly ascending chain of compact sets  $K_i$  in M with  $K_i \subseteq H_{i+1}^{-1}$  for each i, and  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  as follows:

- Let  $K_i = \overline{V_1}$ ; since  $K_1$  is compact, we can choose  $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $K_1 \subseteq V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell_1}$ .
- Then we let  $K_2 = \overline{V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell_1}}$ . Since  $K_2$  is compact, we can choose  $\ell_2 > \ell_1$  so that  $K_2 \subseteq V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell_2}$ , and set  $K_3 = \overline{V_1 \cup \cdots V_{\ell_2}}$ .

Repeat the above process to obtain  $K_1 \subseteq K_2^\circ \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3^\circ \subseteq \cdots$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K_i = M$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that  $K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^\circ$  is compact and contained in the open set  $K_{m+2} \setminus K_{m-1}$  (with  $K_0 = \emptyset$ ). For each  $p \in K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^\circ$ , choose  $U_p \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $p \in U_p$  and then choose a regular coordinate ball  $B_p$  and a chart  $\phi_p : C_p \subseteq M \to \phi_p(C_p) = B(0,2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\phi_p(B_p) = B(0,1)$  and  $C_p \subseteq U_p \cap (K_{m+2}^\circ \setminus K_{m-1})$ . The coordinate balls  $B_p$ ,  $p \in K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^\circ$  cover the compact set  $K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^\circ$ , so we can choose a *finite* set  $\mathcal{B}_m$  of such regular coordinate balls  $B_p$  so that  $K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^\circ \subseteq \cup \mathcal{B}_m \subseteq K_{m+2}^\circ \setminus K_{m-1}$ .

 $K_{m+1} \setminus K_m^{\circ} \subseteq \cup \mathcal{B}_m \subseteq K_{m+2}^{\circ} \setminus K_{m-1}$ . Now, the set  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m$  is a countable set of such regular coordinate balls. Note that for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have chart  $\phi_B : C_B \to \phi_B(C_B) = B(0,2)$  and the set  $\{C_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is locally finite since every point in M is contained in one of the sets  $K_{m+2}^{\circ} \setminus K_{m-1}$  and each of these sets only intersects with the coordinate balls from the finite sets  $\mathcal{B}_l$  with  $m-2 \le l \le m+2$ .

**1.7 Theorem.** (Partitions of Unity) Let M be a smooth manifold, and let S be any open cover of M. There exists a set  $\{\psi_u : u \in S\}$  of smooth maps  $\psi_u : M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

- 1.  $\psi_u(M) \subseteq [0,1]$  for each  $u \in S$
- 2.  $supp(\psi_u) \subseteq U$  for ech  $U \in \mathcal{S}$
- 3.  $\{\operatorname{supp}(\psi_u): u \in \mathcal{S}\}\$  is locally finite: every point in M contains an open neighbourhood whicl only intersects finitely many of the sets  $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_n)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{S}$
- 4.  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi_u = 1$

Such a set of functions  $\{\psi_u : u \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is called a (smooth) **partition of unity** on M for  $\mathcal{S}$  (or **subordinate** to  $\mathcal{S}$ ).

PROOF Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable set of regular coordinate balls as in the previous lemma. Recall that the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} e^{1/t} & : t < 0 \\ 0 & : t \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

is smooth, so the function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g(x) = f(|x|^2 - 1)$  is smooth with g(x) > 0 for |x| < 1 and g(x) = 0 for  $|x| \ge 1$ . For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define a smooth bump function  $\sigma_B : M \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\sigma_B(p) = \begin{cases} g(\phi_B(p)) & : p \in B \\ 0 & : p \notin B \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_B : C_B \subseteq M \to \phi_B(C_B) = B(9,2)$  with  $\phi_B(B) = B(0,1)$  as in the previous lemma. Note that  $\sigma(B)$  is smooth with  $\sigma_B(p) > 0$  for  $p \in B$  and  $\sigma_B(p) = 0$  for  $p \notin B$ . Now for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

define  $\tau'_B: M \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tau_B = \frac{\sigma_B}{c \in \mathcal{B}\sigma_c}$$

Note that  $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_c$  is well-defined by the local finiteness of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_c(p) > 0$ . Furthermore, note that  $\tau_B(p) > 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\tau_B(p) = 0$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \tau_B = 1$ . Then define  $\rho_V : M \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\rho_V = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_V} \tau_B$ .

## 2 Immersions, Embedding, Submanifolds

- **2.1 Theorem.** (Inverse Function Theorem) Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $p \in U$ , and  $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be smooth and suppose Df(p) is invertible. Then f is a local diffeomorphism.
- **2.2 Corollary.** Let n < m and  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $p \in U$ , and  $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be smooth and suppose Df(p) has rank n. Then the range of f is locally equal to the graph of a smooth function. Such a map f is called a local **immersion** at p.

PROOF Since Df(p) is an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank n, some n rows of Df(p) form an invertible submatrix. Reorder the variables in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (if necessary) so that the top n rows form an invertible matrix. Write elements in  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  as t and write elements of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  as (x,y). Also write (x,y) = f(t) = (u(t),v(t)) so

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(p)$  invertible. Then by the inverse function theorem, u(t) is a local diffeomorphism. Say  $u:U_0\subseteq U\to V_0\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the diffeomorphism, and let  $g:V_0\to U_0$  be its inverse. Then the range of f is locally equal to the graph of the function y=v(g(x))=:h(x). If  $(x,y)\in\Gamma(f)$  with (x,y)=f(t)=(u(t),v(t)), then since x=u(t) we have t=g(x) so y=v(t)=v(g(x))=k(x). If  $(x,y)\in\Gamma(k)$ , then y=k(x)=v(g(x)) and we can choose t=g(x) to get x=u(t) and y=v(g(x))=v(t) so that (x,y)=(u(x),v(t))=f(t).

- **2.3 Theorem. (Implicit Function)** Let n < m,  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  be open,  $p \in U$ , and  $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be smooth. Suppose Df(p) has rank n and let q = f(p). Then the level set  $f^{-1}(q)$  is locally equal to a graph of a smooth function.
- **2.4 Theorem.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open with  $p \in U$ , let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be smooth with  $f(p) = q_i$  and suppose that Df has constant rank r in U. Then the level set (or fibre)  $f^{-1}(q)$  is locally equal to the graph of a smooth function (with n-r independent variables and r dependent variables).

PROOF Since Df is an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank r, there is some  $r \times r$  submatrix of Df(p) which is invertible; without loss of generality, it is the upper left submatrix. Write elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as (x,y) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$  and write elements in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  as (u,v) with  $u \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$ , with say p = (a,b) and q = f(p) = (c,d). Then we have (u,v) = f(x,y) = (u(x,y),v(x,y)) so that

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(p) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(a,b)$  being an invertible  $r \times r$  matrix. Define  $F: U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by F(x,y) = (u(x,y),y). Then

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

so that DF(p) is inertible. By the IVT, F is a local diffeomorphism, say  $F: U_0 \subseteq U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to V_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a diffeomorphism with  $U_0$  an open rectangular box. Let  $G: V_0 \to U_0$  denote the smooth inverse of F. Note that G is of the form G(u,y) = (g(u,y),y) for some smooth function  $g: V_0 \to \mathbb{R}^r$ . We claim that  $f^{-1}(q) = f^{-1}(c,d)$  is locally equal to the graph of x = g(c,y). First, note that

$$(u,y) = F(G(u,y)) = F(g(u,y),y) = (u(g(u,y),y),y)$$

so that, in particular, u(g(u, y), y) = u and so

$$f(G(u,y)) = (u(g(u,y),y), v(g(u,y),y)) = (u,h(u,y))$$

where h(u, y) = v(g(u, y), y). Thus

$$Df(x,y) \cdot DG(u,y) = D(f \circ G)(u,y) = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since Df has constant rank r and DG is invertible, the matrix on the right is of rank r for all  $(u,v) \in V_0$ . Thus it follows that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0$  for all u,b, so that h(u,y) is independent of y and h(u,y) = h(u,b) for all y; let k(u) = h(u,b). Let us calculate k(c). We have

$$f(a,b) = (c,d) \implies (u(a,b),v(a,b)) = (c,d)$$

$$\implies u(a,b) = c$$

$$\implies F(a,b) = (u(a,b),b) = (c,b)$$

$$\implies (a,b) = G(c,b)$$

$$\implies (c,d) = f(a,b) = f(G(c,b)) = (c,h(c,b)) = (c,k(c))$$

$$\implies k(c) = d$$

Finally, let us show that  $f^{-1}(c,d)$  is (locally) the graph of x = g(c,y). We have

$$(x,y) = f^{-1}(c,d) \implies f(x,y) = (c,d)$$

$$\implies u(x,y) = c \text{ and } v(x,y) = d$$

$$\implies F(x,y) = (u(x,y),y) = (c,y)$$

$$\implies (x,y) = G(c,y) = (g(c,y),y)$$

$$\implies x = g(c,y)$$

We thus have

$$x = g(c,y) \implies G(c,y) = (g(c,y),y) = (x,y)$$
$$\implies f(x,y) = f(G(x,y)) = (c,h(c,y)) = (c,k(c)) = (c,d)$$

as required.

**Definition.** When N and M are smooth manifolds and  $f: N \to M$  is a smooth map, we say that f has **rank**  $\mathbf{r}$  at  $p \in N$  when for some (hence for every) chart  $\phi$  on N at p and for some (hence every) chart  $\psi$  on M at f(p), the matrix  $D(\psi f \phi^{-1})(\phi(p))$  has rank r.

**2.5 Corollary.** Let N and M be smooth manifolds, with  $p \in N$ . Let  $f: N \to M$  be smooth with  $f(p) = q \in M$ . Suppose f has constant rank r in an open neighbourhood of p. Then there exists a chart  $\phi$  on N at p and a chart  $\psi$  on M at q = f(p) such that  $\phi(p) = 0$  and  $\psi(q) = 0$  and

$$(\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1})(x^1, \dots, x^r, \dots, x^n) = (x^1, \dots, x^r, 0, \dots, 0)$$

where  $n = \dim(N)$  and  $m = \dim(M)$ .

PROOF Choose any chart  $\phi_0$  on N at p and any chart  $\psi_0$  on M at q with  $\phi_0(p)=0$  and  $\psi_0(q)=0$ . Then  $D(\psi_0f\phi_0^{-1})$  has constant rank r near 0. Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  be linear permutation maps so that the upper left  $r\times r$  submatrix of  $D(\psi,\psi_0,f\phi_0^{-1}\phi_1^{-1})(0)$ . Say  $f_1=\psi_1\psi_0f\phi_0^{-1}\phi_1^{-1}$ . Let  $F,G,f_1$  be as in the proof of the rank theorem (for the function  $f_1$ ). Let us verify that for the charts  $\phi=F\phi_1\phi_0$  and  $\psi=H\psi_1\psi_0$  where H(u,v)=(u,v-k(u)) we have  $(\psi f\phi^{-1})(u,y)=(u,0)$ .

**2.6 Corollary.** When  $f: M \to N$  is a smooth map of smooth manifolds with constant rank r in M, for  $q \in \text{im } f$ , the level set (fibre)  $f^{-1}(q)$  can be given charts (obtained from canonical charts) to make it a smooth (dim M-r)-dimnsional manifold.

**Definition.** Let N and M be smooth manifolds (of dimensions m and n). A smooth map  $f: N \to M$  is called a (smooth) **immersion** when f has rank n in N. An **immersed submanifold** of M is the image of an immersion  $f: N \to M$  or the image of an injective immersion  $f: N \to M$ .

Note that when  $f: N \to M$  is injective, we can give the image f(N) a smooth atlas which mapes  $f: N \to f(N)$  a diffeomorphism. When we do this, the resulting topology on  $f(N) \subseteq M$  does not necessarily agree with the subspace topology of M.

**Definition.** An **embedded submanifold** of M is a subset  $N \subseteq M$  which is a smoth manifold such that the inclusion map  $f: N \to M$  (given by f(p) = p) is an immersion such that the topology in the previous remark agrees with the subspace topology.

When  $f: M \to N$  is a smooth map of smooth manifolds of constant rank r and  $q \in \text{im } f$ , the level set  $f^{-1}(q)$  is an embedded submanifold of M.

*Remark.* When  $N \subseteq M$  is an embedded submanifold,

- If  $f: M \to K$  is smooth, then the restriction  $f: N \to K$  is smooth
- If  $f: K \to M$  is smooth and  $f(K) \subseteq N$ , then  $f: K \to N$  is smooth

Example.  $\operatorname{SL}_n(R)$  is a smooth manifold. Recall that  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a smooth  $n^2$ -dimensional manifold, since it is open in the  $n^2$ -dimensional vector space  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . We have  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) = f^{-1}(\{1\})$  where f is the determinant evaluation map. Then for fixed  $\ell$ ,  $\det X = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+\ell} X_\ell^i \deg X_{(\ell)}^{(i)}$ , where  $X_{(l)}^{(i)}$  is the matrix obtained from X by removing row i and column j. We have

$$Df = \left(\mathbb{P}fx_1^1, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n^n}\right) \in M_{1 \times n^2}(\mathbb{R})$$

with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\ell}^k} = (-1)^{k+\ell} \det X_{(\ell)}^{(k)}$ , so that Df = 0 if and only if  $\det X = 0$ . Thus f has contant rank 1, so  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) = f^{-1}(1)$  is an embedded submanifold of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  of dimension.

## 3 Tangent Vectors

**Definition.** A vector u in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an ordered pair (a, u).

**Definition.** Let M be a smooth manifold and let  $p \in M$ . A **tangent vector** on M at p is a set of vectors  $X = \{\phi_* x : \phi \text{ is a chart on } M \text{ at } p\}$ , where  $\phi_* x$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at the point  $x = \phi(p)$  such that when  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are two charts on M at p, we have  $\psi_* X = D(\psi \phi^{-1})(\phi(p))\phi_* X$ .

The set of all tangent vectors on M at p is denoted by  $T_pM$ . Note that  $T_pM$  is an n-dimensional vector space. When  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval,  $s \in I$ , and  $\alpha : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to M$  is a smooth map with  $\alpha(s) = p$ , we define  $\alpha'(s)$  to be the tangent vector  $\alpha'(s) \in T_pM$  given by  $\phi_*\alpha'(s) = \beta'(s)$  where  $\beta(t) = \phi(\alpha(t))$ . Note that, by the chain rule, we do have  $\phi_*\alpha'(s) = D(\psi\phi^{-1})\phi_*\alpha'(s)$ .

When  $\phi$  is a chart on M at p, we often write

$$x = x(p) = \phi(p) = (\phi^{1}(p), \dots, \phi^{n}(p)) = (x^{1}(p), \dots, x^{n}(p))$$

(so each  $x^k = \phi^k$  is a function  $x^k$ ,  $\phi^k : U \subseteq M \to \mathbb{R}$ ). When  $\psi$  is another chart and we write  $y = \psi(p)$ , we often write  $y = y(x) = (\psi \phi^{-1})(x) = (y^1(x), \dots, y^n(x))$  and we write

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^n} \end{pmatrix}$$

With this notation, if  $u = \phi_* X$  and  $v = \psi_* X$ , then  $v = D(\psi \phi^{-1})u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}u$ , so  $V^k = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^i}u^i$ . **Definition.** Let  $f: M \to N$  be a smooth map of smooth manifolds with  $p \in M$ . We define the **induced map** or the **pushforward**  $f_*$  or the **differential** df to be the map  $f_* = df: T_p M \to T_{f(p)} N$  given as follows. Given  $X \in T_p M$ , choose  $\alpha: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to M$  smooth with  $\alpha(0) = p$ ,  $\alpha'(0) = X$ , when we let  $\beta(t) = f(\alpha(t))$  and define  $df(x) = f_*(x) = \beta'(0)$ . Given a chart  $\phi$  on M at p and  $\psi$  on N at f(p), if  $u = \phi_* X$  and  $V = \psi_* (f_* x)$ , then verify that  $v = D(\psi f \phi^{-1})(\phi(p))u$ .

- 1. When  $\phi$  is a chart on M at p and  $\psi$  is a chart on N at f(p),  $\psi_* f_* X = D(\psi f \phi^{-1})_{\phi(p)} \phi_* X$
- 2. The map  $df = f_*$  is linear
- 3. If  $g: L \to M$  and  $f: M \to N$  are smooth, then  $(f \circ g)_* = f_* \circ g_*$ .
- 4. When  $\iota: M \to M$  is the identity map,  $d\iota: T_pM \to T_pM$  is the identity map
- 5. If  $f: M \to N$  is a diffeomorphism, then  $f_*: T_pM \to T_pM$  is an isomorphism.
- 6. For  $f: M \to N$  smooth, f is of rank r at p if and only if  $f_*$  is of rank r at p.

When  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, U is a manifold with atlas  $\{\emptyset\}$  where  $\phi$  is the identity map. In this case, we identify  $X \in T_pU$  with  $\phi_*x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . With this convention,  $\phi_*X$  is equal to  $\phi_*X$  where the second  $\phi_*$  is the pushforward. When  $N \leq M$  is a submanifold (immersed or embedded), the inclusion map  $\iota: N \to M$  is an injective immersion. Thus, the map  $\iota_*: T_pN \to T_pM$ . In this situation, we identify  $T_pN$  with the subspace  $\iota_*(T_pN) \subseteq T_pM$ .

Let X be the vector on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  at p with  $\phi_*X=(1,0)$ . Let  $\iota:\mathbb{S}^2\to\mathbb{R}^3$  be the inclusion map. We have  $\phi^{-1}(x,y)=(x,y,\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})$  with  $u=\phi_*X=(1,0)$ . Then  $\iota_*X=D(\psi\eta\phi^{-1})_{\phi(p)}\phi_*X$  where  $\psi$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

#### TANGENT VECTORS AS DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Recall that a vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  acts as a differential operator on smooth maps  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  by directional derivative. Choose any smooth map  $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  with

 $\alpha(0) = a$  and  $\alpha'(0) = u$ , and define  $u(f) = u_a(f) = D_u f(a) = \beta'(0)$  where  $\beta(t) = f(\alpha(t))$ . Since  $\beta(t) = f(\alpha(t))$ , we have  $\beta'(t) = D f(\alpha(t)) \cdot \alpha'(t)$  so

$$u(f) = D_u f(a) = \beta'(0) = Df(a) \cdot u$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}(a), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^n}(a)\right) \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ \vdots \\ u^n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(a) \cdot u^i$$

or as a differential operator,  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$ .

**Definition.** When M is a smooth manifold,  $p \in M$ , and  $X \in T_pM$ , X acts as a differential operator on a smooth function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows: choose a smooth map  $\alpha(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to M$  with  $\alpha(0) = p$  and  $\alpha'(0) = X$ , and define  $X(f) = X_p(f) = \beta'(0)$  where  $\beta(t) = f(\alpha(t))$ .

When  $\phi$  is a chart on M at p, then

$$X(f) = (\phi_* X)(f \circ \phi^{-1}) = D_{\phi_* X}(f \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(p))$$

$$= D(f \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(p)) \cdot (\phi_* X)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ \phi^{-1}}{\partial x^i}(\phi(p)) \cdot u^i$$

where  $u = \phi_* X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . So when  $u = \phi_* X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , X acts as the differential operator  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p$  where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p (f) = \frac{\partial f \circ \phi^{-1}}{\partial x^i} (\phi(p))$ . With this notation,

$$T_p M = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \right|_p, \dots, \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right|_p \right\}$$

If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are two charts at p on M, then  $T_pM$  has to representations as differential operators. Let us determine how  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell}$  are related. When  $X \in T_pM$ ,  $u = \phi_*X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $v = \psi_*X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $V = D(\psi \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(p)) \cdot u = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)(\phi(p)) \cdot u$ . When  $u = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ ,

$$v = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right) \cdot e_k$$

so  $v^{\ell} = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_{k}^{\ell} = \frac{\partial y^{\ell}}{\partial x^{k}}$  so that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}$$

**Definition.** A **derivation** on M at p is a linear map  $L: C^{\infty}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $L: C^{\infty}_p(M) \to \mathbb{R}$  where  $C^{\infty}_p(M)$  is the vector space of **germs** of smooth functions on M at p, which satisfies the product rule at p:

$$L(fg) = L(f) \cdot g(p) + f(p) \cdot L(g)$$

Every  $X \in T_pM$  gives a derivation on M at p. Moreover, it can be shown that every derivation on M at p is of this form. Thus allows us to give an alternate definition for  $T_pM$  as the space of derivations on M at p.

**Definition.** Let TM be the disjoint union of all the tangent spaces. A **vector field** on M is a function  $X : M \to TM$  such that  $X(p) \in T_pM$ .

Given a chart  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  on M, the restriction of X to U determines and is determine by the vetor field  $\phi_*X$  on  $\phi(u) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $(\phi_*X)(\phi(p)) = \phi_*(X(p))$ , or  $(\phi_*X)(x) = \phi_*(X(\phi^{-1}(x))) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that X is **smooth** at p when for some chart  $\phi$  on M at p, the vector field  $\phi_*X$  is smooth at  $\phi(p)$ . When X is a smooth vector field on M, X acts as a differential operator  $X: C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$  by  $X(f)(p) = X_p(f)$ .

The space of smooth vector fields on M is  $\Gamma(M, TM) = \Gamma(TM) = \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

#### THE PUSHFORWARD OR DIFFERENTIAL

If *X* is a smooth vector field on a smooth manifold *N* and  $f: N \to M$  is a smooth map, for each point  $p \in N$ , we have the linear map  $df = f_*: T_pN \to T_{f(p)}M$ .

Note that  $f_*$  does not in general give a map  $f_*: \Gamma(TN) \to \Gamma(TM)$ , if f is not surjective, or f is not injective with  $p, q \in N$  with  $p \neq q$  and f(p) = f(q) and  $f_*X_p \neq f_*X_q$ .

If  $f: N \to M$  is a diffeomorphism, then f)\* dos give a well-defined bijective map  $f_*$ :  $\Gamma(TN) \to \Gamma(TM)$ . If f is an injective immersion, then  $f: N \to f(N)$  is a diffeomorphism.

#### THE LIE BRACKET OF VECTOR FIELDS

**Definition.** When X and Y are two smooth vector fields on M, we define the **Lie bracket** of X and Y, denoted by [X,Y](f), by [X,Y]f = X(Y(f)) - Y(X(f)) for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

Note that [X, Y] satisfies the product rule since

$$\begin{split} [X,Y](fg) &= X(Y(fg)) - Y(X(fg)) \\ &= X(f \cdot Y(g) + g \cdot Y(f)) - Y(f \cdot X(g) + g \cdot X(f)) \\ &= f \cdot X(Y(g)) + X(f) \cdot Y(g) + g \cdot X(Y(f)) + X(g) \cdot Y(f) - y \cdot Y(X(g)) - Y(f) \cdot X(g) - g \cdot Y(X(f)) - Y(g) \cdot Y(g) \\ &= g[X,Y](g) + g[X,Y](f) \end{split}$$

Given a chart  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  on M at p, we can calculate a formula for the Lie bracket: say  $u = \phi_* X$  and  $v = \phi_* Y$  ( $u(x) = \phi_* (X_{\phi^{-1}(x)})$ ,  $v(x) = \phi_* (Y_{\phi^{-1}(x)})$ ). Then for  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ,

$$\begin{split} [X,Y]_p(f) &= X_p(Y(f)) - Y_p(X(f)) \\ &= \sum_i u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \sum_j j v \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right) - \sum_i v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \sum_j u^j \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left( u^i \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} + u^i g^j \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - v^i \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} - v^i u^j \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^i} \cdot u^i - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \cdot v^i \right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \end{split}$$

Thus  $[X,Y]_p$  is a vector in  $T_pM$ . It is the vector given by  $w^j = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^i} u^i - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} v^i \right)$  and  $w = \sum_j w^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = Dv \cdot u - Du \cdot v$ .

#### INTEGRAL CURVES AND FLOWS

Given a smooth vector field X on a smooth manifold M, and given  $p \in M$ , the existence and uniqueness theorem for (systems) of ODEs guarantees that there is a unique smooth map (or curve)  $\alpha_p: I_p \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to M$  where I is the (unique) maximal open interval  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(0) = p$  and  $\alpha'(t) = X_{\alpha(t)}$ . A stronger version of the existence and uniqueness theorem also guarantees that  $\alpha_p(t)$  varies smoothly with p to give a unique smooth map  $\theta: U \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$  where U is the (unique) maximal open connected domain given by  $\theta(p,t) = \alpha_p(t)$ .

Example. (i) Find a vector field which is a parabola at each point.

(ii) Find a smooth vector field so that the solution curves have vertical asymptote.

When a vector field X on a 2 dimensional manifold M, we define the **index** of X at p as follows. Choose a chart  $\phi: C \to \phi(C) = B(0,2)$  on M at p. Thus  $U = \phi_* X$  is a vector field in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with no zeros in B(0,2) except at 0.

When we restrict u to the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  and we define the index of X at p to be the winding number of this map  $u: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . When a vector field on X has finitely many isolated zeros, the index of X is the sum of the indices at the zeros of X.

**3.1 Theorem.** When X is a smooth vector field with isolated zeros on a **compact** 2-dimensional manifold M, Ind  $X = \chi(M)$ , the Euler characteristic of M.

## 4 Lie Groups

**Definition.** A Lie group *G* is both a smooth manifold and a group such that the group operations  $\mu: G \times G \to G$  and inversion  $\nu: G \to G$  are smooth maps.

Example.  $O_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) : A^TA = I\}$  is a Lie group. Define  $F : GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  by  $F(X) = X^TX$ . Thus  $O_n(\mathbb{R}) = F^{-1}(I)$ . When n = 2,  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & w \end{pmatrix}$  we have  $F(X) = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 + y^2 & xz + yw \\ xz + yw & z^2 + w^2 \end{pmatrix}$  so that

$$DF = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y & 0 & 0 \\ z & w & x & y \\ z & w & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 2z & 2w \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, for  $A \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $F(R_A(X)) = F(XA) = A^T X^T X A = L_{A^T} R_A F(x)$ . Thus by the chain rule,  $DF(XA) \cdot DR_A(X) = DL_{A^T}(X^T X A) \cdot DR_A(X^T X) \cdot DF(X)$ , so we can identify  $T_p \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  or  $T_p M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with the vectr space  $M_n(R)$ . Note that  $L_{A^T}$  and  $R_A$  are diffeomorphisms of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $DL_{A^T}$  and  $DR_A$  are invertible. Thus  $\operatorname{rank} DF(XA) = \operatorname{rank} DF(X)$ . In particular, taking X = I,  $\operatorname{rank} DF(A) = \operatorname{rank} DF(I)$ , so F has consant  $\operatorname{rank}$ . Let us calculate  $\operatorname{rank} DF$ :  $T_I \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to T_I M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $A \in T_i \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\alpha(t) = I + tA$  so that  $\alpha(0) = I$  and  $\alpha'(0) = A$ . Then  $DF(I) \cdot A = \beta'(0)$  where  $\beta(t) = F(\alpha(t)) = (I + tA)^T (I + t(A + A^T) + t^2 A^T A)$ . Then  $\beta'(t) = A + A^T + 2tA^T A$ , so  $\beta'(0) = A + A^T$  so that  $DF(I) \cdot A = A + A^T$ . The range of DF at I is the set of matrices B of the form  $B = A + A^T$  for some matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , or equivalently, the set of symmetric matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus the dimension of the range of DF is  $(n^2 + n)/2$ , so F has constant  $\operatorname{rank} r = (n^2 + n)/2$  and thus  $\dim O_n(\mathbb{R}) = n^2 - r = \frac{n^2 - n}{2}$ .

Thus by the constant rank theorem,  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a regular embedded submanifold of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . In fact,  $T_IO_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with  $\ker DF(I) \subseteq T_I GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , which is

 $\{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}^n) : A^T + A = 0\}$ . One can do the same for  $U_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in GL(\mathbb{C}) : A^*A = I\}$  and  $A^* = \overline{A}^T$ .

**Definition.** When  $f: M \to M$  is a diffeomorphisn and  $X \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ , we say that X is **invariant** under f when  $f_*X = X$  (where  $f_*(X_p) = X_{f(p)}$  for all  $p \in M$ ). When G is a Lie group and  $X \in \Gamma(G, TG)$ , we say that X is **left-invariant** when X is invariant under the left multiplication map  $\ell_a: G \to G$  where  $\ell_a(p) = ap$  for all  $a \in G$ .

Note that  $(\ell_a)_*(X) = X$  for all  $a \in G$ .

On the other hand, if we define a vector field X on G by the formula  $X_a = (\ell_a)_* A$  where  $A \in T_e G$ , then X is left invariant since for all  $a, b \in G$ ,

$$(\ell_a)_* X_b = ((\ell_a)_* \circ (\ell_b)_*)(X_e) = X_{ab}$$

**Definition.** A **Lie algebra** is a vector space V with an alternating bilinear map  $[,]: V \times V \rightarrow V$  which satisfies the Jacobi identity [[A,B],C]+[[B,C],A]+[[C,A],B]=0.

*Example.*  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  is a Lie algebra using [A,B] = AB - BA, as one can verify directly. More generally, when V is a vector space, End V is a Lie algebra with Lie bracket [A,B] = AB - BA. For example, when M is a smooth manifold,  $X(M) = \Gamma(M,TM)$  is a vector space with Lie bracket [X,Y](f) = X(Y(f)) - Y(X(f)).

Given  $A \in T_eG$ , there is a unique left invariant vector field X on G with  $X_e = A$ , and X is given by  $X_p = (\ell_p)_*A$ . By the assignment if X and Y are left-invariant vector fields on a Lie group G, then [X,Y] is left invariant since  $(\ell_a)_*[X,Y] = [(\ell_a)_*X, (\ell_a)_*Y] = [X,Y]$ .

**Definition.** For a Lie group G, the **Lie algebra** of G, denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}$ , is the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G.

Equivalently, we may define  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$  with the corresponding Lie algebra given by  $[A, B] = [X, Y]_e$ , where  $A, B \in T_e G = \mathfrak{g}$ , and X, Y are the left invariant vector fields on G with  $X_e = A$  and  $Y_e = B$ .

**Definition.** A **Lie subgroup** of a Lie group G is a subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  that is also an immersed (or embedded) submanifold.

Let *G* be a Lie subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . We identify  $T_pGL_n(\mathbb{R})$  with  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and we identify  $T_pG$  with a subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

- Example. 1. Given  $A \in T_I G \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , find a formula for  $U_p = U(P)$ , where  $P \in G \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and U is the left-invariant vector field on G with  $U_i = A$ .
  - We have  $U_p = (L_P)_*A$ , where  $L_P : G \to G$  is given by  $L_P(X) = PX$ . Note that  $L_P$  is the restriction of the map  $L_P : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This map  $L_p$  is linear, so  $DL_P$  is equal to  $L_P$  as a linear map on  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus we have  $U_P = (P_P)_*(A) = (DL_P)A = PA$ .
  - 2. Given  $A, B \in \mathfrak{G} = T_I G \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , let U and V be given by U(P) = PA and V(P) = PB. Note that  $U = R_A$ ,  $V = R_B$ , so  $DU = R_A$  and  $DV = R_B$  as inear maps on  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and we have

$$[A, B] = [U, V]_I = DV(I)U(I) - DU(I)V(I) = R_B(A) - R_A(B) = AB - BA$$

3. Let  $A \in \mathfrak{G} = T_I(G) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , let U(P) = PA. We need to find the integral curve  $\alpha : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to G$  with  $\alpha(0) = I$ . Then we want  $\alpha'(t) = U(\alpha(t)) = \alpha(t)A$  for all t. The solution to this DE is given by  $\alpha(t) = e^{tA} = I + tA + \frac{1}{2!}t^2A^2 + \cdots$  so that  $\alpha'(t) = (e^{tA})A$ . As a consequence of the above formula, note that  $\mathfrak{g} = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : e^{tA} \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Thus formula allows us to give an explicit description of the Lie algebras of many Lie subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Given  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\det e^A = e^{\operatorname{tr} A}$ . By Schur's Theorem or the Jordan Normal Form, there is a matrix  $P \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $P^{-1}AP = T$  where T is upper triangular, so that

$$\det e^A = \det(Pe^TP^{-1}) = \det e^T = e^{\operatorname{tr} A}$$

Recall when G is a Lie subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and if  $J = T_I G \subseteq T_I GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , the left invariant vctor field U on G with  $U(I) = A \in J$  is given by U(P) = PA. The Lie bracket on J is given by [A,B] = AB - BA, and the integral curve of U(P) = PA is given by  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to G$  where  $\alpha(t) = e^{tA}$ , and hence

$$J = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : e^{tA} \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

For example, the Lie algebra of  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : e^{tA} \in \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \forall t \}$$

$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det e^{tA} = 1 \forall t \}$$

$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : e^{\operatorname{tr} tA} = 1 \forall t \}$$

$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{tr}(tA) = 0 \forall t \}$$

$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{tr}(A) = 0 \}$$

The Lie algebra of  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : e^{tA} \in O_n(\mathbb{R}) \forall t \}$$
$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : (e^{tA})^T (e^{tA}) = I \forall t \}$$
$$= \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : (e^{tA^T}) (e^{tA}) = I \forall t \}$$

If  $(e^{tA^T})(e^{tA}) = I$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tA^T})(e^{tA}) = \frac{d}{dt}I$  so that

$$(e^{tA^T}A^T(e^{tA}) + (e^{tA^T})(e^{tA}) \cdot A = 0$$

and taking t=0 gives  $A^T+A=0$ . Then  $A^T=-A$  so  $tA^T=-tA$  so  $e^{tA^T}=e^{-tA}=(e^{tA})^{-1}$  for all t, so  $e^{tA^T}\cdot e^{tA}=I$  for all t. Thus  $\mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R})=\{A\in M_n(\mathbb{R}):A+A^T=0\}$ .

Table of Lie algebras:

$$G \\ GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det A \neq 0\} \\ GL_n^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det A > 0\} \\ SL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det A = 1\} \\ O_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) : A^TA = I\} \\ GL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det A = 1\} \\ O_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) : A^TA = I\} \\ O_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C$$

#### 5 Smooth k-forms

Suppose  $\alpha: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $f: U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ , then the length of  $\alpha$  is

$$\int_{C} dL = \int_{\alpha} dL = \int_{t \in I} |\alpha'(t)| dt$$

and

$$\int_C f dL = \int_\alpha f dL = \int_{t \in I} f(\alpha(t)) |\alpha'(t)| dt$$

Given  $\sigma: R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \to U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $f: U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \to R$ , the area of im  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\sigma(s,t) = (x(s,t), y(s,t), z(s,t))$$

$$D\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} x(s,t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x(s,y) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} y(s,t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} y(s,y) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} z(s,t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z(s,y) \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote  $\sigma_s$ ,  $\sigma_t$  as the respective columns, so

$$A = \int_{S} dA = \int_{\sigma} dA = \iint_{(s,t) \in R} |\sigma_{s}(s,t) \times \sigma_{t}(st)| ds dt$$

and

$$\int_{S} f dA = \int_{\sigma} f dA = \iint_{(s,t) \in R} f(\sigma(s,t)) |\sigma_{s} \times \sigma_{t}| ds dt$$

For  $\alpha: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $F: U \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , say F = (P, Q, R), then

$$W = \int_{C} F \cdot T dL = \int_{\alpha} F \cdot T dL$$

$$= \int_{t \in I} F(\alpha(t)) \cdot \frac{\alpha'(t)}{|\alpha'(t)|} |\alpha'(t)| dt$$

$$= \int_{t \in I} (P(\alpha(t))x'(t) + Q(\alpha(t))y'(t) + R(\alpha(t))z'(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_{\alpha} P dx + Q dy + R dz$$

**Definition.** A **smooth** k-**form** in  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an expression of the form  $a(x) = \sum_I a_I(x) dx^I$  where the sum is taken over multi-indices  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n$  and each  $a_I : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth map and  $dx^I = dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ .

For a smooth map  $\sigma: R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $s = \operatorname{im} \sigma$  and for  $a(x) = \sum_I a_I(x) dx^I$ , we define

$$\int_{S} a = \int_{\sigma} a := \sum_{I} \int_{R} a_{I}(\sigma(t)) \det\left(\frac{\partial x^{I}}{\partial t}\right) dt^{i_{1}} \cdots t^{k}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial x^{I}}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x^{i_1}}{\partial t^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x^{i_k}}{\partial k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x^{i_k}}{\partial t^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x^{i_k}}{\partial t^k} \end{pmatrix}$$

For  $a(x) = \sum_{I} a_{I}(x) dx$ , we define  $da = \sum_{I} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x_{j}} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}$ , using the rule  $dx^{j} \wedge dx^{i} = -dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j}$ . With this notation, Gauss' Theorem and Stoke's Theorem become

$$\int_{S} d\alpha = \int_{\delta S} \alpha$$

where  $S = \operatorname{im} \sigma$ ,  $\sigma : R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha = \sum a_I dx^I$  is a k-form, and  $d\alpha$  is a (k+1)-form.

#### THE EXTERIOR ALGEBRA

If V is a vector space with basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ , then the dual space  $V^* = \{\text{linear maps } g : V \to \mathbb{R} \}$  has dual basis  $\{f^1, \ldots, f^k\}$  where each  $f^k : V \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $f^k(u_\ell) = \delta_\ell^k$ .

We have a canonical evaluation map  $E: V \to V^{**}$  given by E(v)(g) = g(v), which is an isomorphism.

The space  $\Lambda^k V = \{\text{alternating } k\text{-linear maps } L: V^* \times \cdots \times V^* \to \mathbb{R} \} \text{ has a basis }$ 

$$\{U_i = U_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge U_{i_k} : I \text{ is an increasing multi-index}\}$$

where for  $v^i \in V$  and  $g^i \in V^*$ ,

$$(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k)(g^1, \dots, v^k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} g^1(v_1) & \dots & g^1(v_k) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g^k(v_1) & \dots & g^k(v_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Also  $\Lambda^k V^*$  has basis given similarly.

 $\mathbb{R}^n$  has standard basis  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ , which we can consider as differential operators  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right\}$ . The dual basis for  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  is denoted by  $\{dx^1,\ldots,dx^n\}$  where  $dx^k\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\right)=\delta_\ell^k$ . So for example,

$$(dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_k}} \right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\sigma} & : J = \sigma(I) \\ 0 & : \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A smooth k-form on  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth map  $\alpha : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ . Note that  $dx^I : \mathbb{R}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  has

$$dx^{I}(u_{1},...,u_{k}) = dx^{I} \left( \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} u_{1}^{j_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{1}}},..., \sum_{j_{k}=1}^{n} u_{k}^{j_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\text{all } J} u_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots u_{k}^{j_{k}} \underbrace{dx^{I} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{1}}},..., \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k}}} \right)}_{(-1)^{\sigma} \text{ if } J = \sigma(I);0 \text{ otherwise}}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} (-1)^{\sigma} u_{1}^{i_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots u_{k}^{i_{\sigma(k)}}$$

$$= \det(A^{I})$$

where  $A^I$  consists of the rows  $i_1, ..., i_k$  of the matrix  $A = (u_1, ..., u_k)$ .

#### k-forms at a point on a Manifold

Let M be a smooth manifold and fix a point  $p \in M$ . Given a chart  $\phi$  on M at p,  $T_pM$  has a basis  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right\}$ . We denote the ual basis for  $T_p^*M = (T_pM)^*$  by  $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$ . Then  $\Lambda^k T_p^*M$  has basis  $\{dx^I : I \text{ increasing}\}$  (it is  $\binom{n}{k}$  dimensional). If  $X_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in T_pM$ , then

$$dx^{I}(X_{1},\ldots,X_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u_{k}^{i_{1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{1}^{i_{k}} & \cdots & u_{k}^{i_{k}} \end{pmatrix}$$

An element  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k T_p^*M$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha = \sum_{I \text{ increasing }} a_I dx^I$  with  $A_I \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\alpha$  is called a k-form on M at p.

## **Change of Coordinates**

Suppose that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are two charts on M at p, so that  $T_pM$  has bases  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right\}$  and  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}\right\}$ , and  $T_p^*M$  has dual bases  $\{dx^1, \ldots, dx^n\}$  and  $\{dy^1, \ldots, dy^n\}$  with corresponding bases for  $\Lambda^k T_p^*M$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k T_pM$ . Say  $\alpha = \sum_I a_I dx^I = \sum_J b_J dx^J$ . If  $X = \sum_i u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \sum_j v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$ , then  $v = D(\psi \phi^{-1})$  is

$$v^{j} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} u^{i}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}}$$

where  $y(x) = \psi \circ \phi^{-1}(x)$ . Then for each increasing multi-index *I*,

$$a_{I} = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{1}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{J} b_{J} dy^{J} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell_{1}} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}{\partial x^{\ell_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}} \dots, \sum_{\ell_{k}} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}}{\partial x^{\ell_{k}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\text{incr } J} \sum_{\text{all } L} b_{J} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} dy^{J} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\text{incr } J} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} (-1)^{\sigma} b_{J} \frac{\partial y^{j_{\sigma(1)}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{j_{\sigma(k)}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}}$$

$$= \sum_{\text{incr } J} b_{J} \det \left( \frac{\partial y^{J}}{\partial x^{I}} \right)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial y^{I}}{\partial x^{I}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y^{j_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{j_{k}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^{j_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{j_{k}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $\alpha = \sum_{I} a_{I} dx^{I} = \sum_{I} b_{I} dy^{J}$ ,

$$a_I = \sum_{J} b_J \det \left( \frac{\partial y^J}{\partial x^I} \right)$$

For an increasing multi-index *L*, taking  $b_K = 1$  and  $b_J = 0$  for  $J \le L$ , we obtain

$$dy^{L} = \sum_{I} a_{I} dx^{I}, a_{I} = 1 \cdot \det\left(\frac{\partial y^{L}}{\partial x^{I}}\right)$$

so

$$dy^{L} = \sum_{I} \det \left( \frac{\partial y^{L}}{\partial x^{I}} \right) dx^{I}$$

#### THE WEDGE PRODUCT OR EXTERIOR PRODUCT

When  $\phi$  is a chart on M at p and  $\alpha = \sum_I a_I dx^I \in \Lambda^k T_p^* M$  and  $\beta = \sum_J b_J dx^J \in \Lambda^\ell T_p^* M$ , we would like to define  $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \Lambda^{k+\ell} T_p^* M$  by  $\alpha \wedge \beta = \sum_{I,J} a_I b_J dx^I \wedge dx^J$  (where we can use the rule  $dx^i \wedge dx^j = -dx^j \wedge dx^i$  to put the multi-index in increasing order). We need to make sure that the definition does not depend on the choice of the chart  $\phi$ . Note that for vectors  $X_1, \ldots, X_{k+\ell} \in T_p M$ , given (in the chart  $\phi$ ) by  $X_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  we have

$$(dx^{I} \wedge dx^{J})(X_{1}, \dots, X_{k+\ell}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u^{i_{1}}u_{k+\ell} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{1}^{i_{k}} & \cdots & u^{i_{k}}u_{k+\ell} \\ u_{j}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u^{j_{1}}u_{k+\ell} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{\ell}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u^{j_{\ell}}u_{k+\ell} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+\ell}} (-1)^{\sigma} u^{i_{1}}u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(k)}^{i_{k}} u_{\sigma(k+1)}^{j_{1}} \cdots u_{\sigma(k+\ell)}^{j_{\ell}}$$

$$= \sum_{\tau} \sum_{u} \sum_{v} (-1)^{\tau} (-1)^{u} (-1)^{v} \mu_{\mu(\tau(1))}^{i_{1}} \cdots u_{\nu(\tau(k+1))}^{j_{\ell}} \cdots u_{\tau(t+1)}^{j_{\ell}} \cdots u_{\tau(t+1$$

where the sums are over  $\tau$  a permutation of  $\{1,\ldots,k+\ell\}$  so that  $\tau(1)<\cdots<\tau(k)$  and  $\tau(k+1)<\cdots,\tau(k+\ell)$ ,  $\mu$  is a permutation of  $\{(\tau(1),\ldots,\tau(k)\}$  and  $\nu$  is a permutation of  $\{\tau(k+1),\ldots,\tau(k+\ell)\}$ , so that

$$= \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\tau} \det \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau(1)}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u_{\tau(1)}^{i_{k}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{\tau(k)}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u_{\tau(k)}^{i_{k}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} u_{\tau(k+1)}^{j_{1}} & \cdots & u_{\tau(k+1)}^{i_{\ell}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{\tau(k+\ell)}^{i_{1}} & \cdots & u_{\tau(k+\ell)}^{i_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{\tau} dx^{I} (X_{\tau(1)}, \dots, X_{\tau(k)}) dx^{J} (X_{\tau(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\tau(k+\ell)})$$

Thus for  $\alpha = \sum a_I dx^I$ ,  $\beta = \sum b_I dx^J$ ,  $\gamma = \sum_{I,I} a_I b_I dx^I \wedge dx^J$  we have

$$\gamma(X_1, ..., X_{k+\ell}) = \sum_{\tau \in T_{k,\ell}} (-1)^{\tau} \alpha(X_{\tau(1)}, ..., X_{\tau(k)}) \cdot \beta(X_{\tau(k+1)}, ..., X_{\tau(k+\ell)})$$

where  $T_{k,l}$  is the set of permutations  $\tau$  of  $\{1,\ldots,k+\ell\}$  such that  $\tau(1)<\cdots<\tau(k)$  and  $\tau(k+1)<\cdots\tau(k+\ell)$ .

**Definition.** When  $f: M \to N$  is a smooth map of smooth manifolds and  $p \in M$ , we define the **pullback** 

$$f^* = f^*(p) : \Lambda^k T^*_{f(p)} N \to \Lambda^k T_p M$$

by  $f^*(\beta)(X_1,...,X_k) = \beta(f_*X_1,...,f_*X_k)$  where  $\beta \in \Lambda^k T^*_{f(p)}N$  and each  $X_j \in T_pM$  so that  $f_*X_j \in T_{f(p)}N$ .

Let M be a chart on M at p and  $\psi$  a chart on N at f(p). Let  $\beta = \sum b_J dx^J$ , write  $X_j = \sum_i u_j^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  and say  $\alpha = f^*\beta = \sum_I a_I dx^I$ .

$$a_{I} = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{1}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \beta \left( f_{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{1}}}, \dots, f_{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{J} b_{J} dy^{J} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell_{1}} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}}, \dots, \sum_{\ell_{k}} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{J \text{ incr all } L} B_{J} \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}}{\partial x^{i_{k}}} dy^{J} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{1}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell_{k}}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{J \text{ incr } \sigma \in S_{k}} (-1)^{\sigma} b_{J} \frac{\partial y^{j_{\sigma(1)}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{j_{\sigma(1)}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}}$$

$$= \sum_{J} b_{J} \det \left( \frac{\partial y^{J}}{\partial x^{I}} \right)$$

where  $y = y(x) = (\psi f \phi^{-1})(x)$ .

**Definition.** A k-form at each point p on a smooth manifold M is given by a map  $\alpha: M \to \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda^k T_p^* M$ , where  $\alpha(p) \in \Lambda^k T_p^* M$  for all  $p \in M$ . We say that such amap  $\alpha$  is **smooth** at  $p \in M$  when for some (hence for every) chart  $\phi: U \subseteq M \to \phi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  on M at p, when we write the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U as  $\alpha(p) = \sum_I \alpha_I(p) dx^I$ , the coefficient functions  $\alpha_I: U \subseteq M \to \mathbb{R}$  are smooth. Such a map  $\alpha: M \to \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda^k T_p^* M$  is called smooth (on M) when it is smooth at every point  $p \in M$ .

Another way to think about smooth k-forms is as follows. Consider  $\alpha: M \to \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda^k T_p^* M$  with  $\alpha(p) \in \Lambda^k T_p^* M$  for all  $p \in M$ . Let  $\Lambda^k T^* M = \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda^* T_p^* M$  and define the **projection**  $\operatorname{map} \pi: \Lambda^k T^* M \to M$  by  $\pi(\alpha_p) = p$ , when  $\alpha_p \Lambda^k T_p^* M$ . We give  $\Lambda^k T^* M$  the structure of a smooth vector bundle of rank  $\binom{n}{k}$  on M as follows. For each chart  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  on M, we define a chart

$$\Phi: \pi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{p \in U} \Lambda^j T_p^* M \to \phi(U) \times \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \equiv \phi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$$

by  $\Phi(\alpha_p) = (\phi(p), \sum_I a_I dx^I)$ , where the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U is given by  $\alpha(p) = \sum_I a_I(\phi(p)) dx^I$ . With this definition, a smooth k-form on M is a smooth map  $\alpha: M \to \Lambda^k T^*M$  such that  $\pi(\alpha(p)) = p$ . We denote the space of all k-forms on M by  $\Omega^k(M)$  or  $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^k T^*M)$  (sections) or  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k T^*M)$ .

When  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $p \in U$ , we often identify

$$T_{p}U = T_{p} \mathbb{R}^{n} = \mathbb{R}^{n} = M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$T_{p}^{*}U = T_{p}^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n} = \mathbb{R}^{n} = M_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\Lambda^{k} T_{p}^{*}U = \Lambda^{k} T_{p}^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n} = \Lambda^{k} (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*} = \operatorname{span}\{dx^{I} : I \text{ incr}\}$$

$$TU = \bigcup_{p \in U} T_{p}U = \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathbb{R}^{n} = U \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$T^{*}U = U \times (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*}$$

$$\Lambda^{k} T^{*}U = U \Lambda^{k} (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*}$$

$$\mathfrak{X}(U) = \Gamma(TU) = C^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^{n})$$

$$\Omega^{k}(U) = \Gamma(\Lambda^{k} T^{*}U) = \Gamma(U \times \Lambda^{k} (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*}) = C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^{k} (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*})$$

where we identify  $\Gamma(TU) = C^{\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $X : U \to U \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and X(p) = (p, u(p)) with  $u : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

When  $U \subseteq M$  is open and  $p \in U$ ,  $T_pU = T_pM$ ,  $T_p^*U = T_p^*M$ ,  $\Lambda^kT_p^*U = \Lambda^kT_p^*M$ . When  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  is a chart on M and if  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$  is given locally by  $X(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  then

$$(\phi_*X)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

for  $x \in \phi(u)$  where  $u^i(x) = X^i(\phi^{-1}(x))$ ,  $X^i(p) = u^i(\phi(p))$ . If  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^k T^*M)$  and the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U is given by

$$\alpha(p) = \sum_{I \text{ incr}} \alpha_I(p) dx^I$$

then

$$(\phi^{-1})^*(\alpha)(x) = \sum_{I \text{ incr}} a_I(x) dx^I$$

where

$$a_I(x) = \alpha_I(\phi^{-1}(x))$$
  $\alpha_I(p) = a_I(\phi(p))$ 

Note that when  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , we have  $df = f_* \in \Omega^1(M)$ . Indeed, we have  $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $df(p) = f_*(p) : T_pM \to T_p\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$ , so  $df(p) = f_*(p) \in T_p^*M$  so that  $df = f_* : M \to T^*M$  with  $df(p) = f_*(p) \in T_p^*M$  for all  $p \in M$ .

Locally,  $df = f_*$  is given by

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i$$

To be precise, if  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  is a chart on M then the restriction of f to U is given by

$$df(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)dx^{i}$$

so that

$$(\phi^{-1})^*(df)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \circ \phi^{-1}}{\partial x^i} dx^i$$

**Definition.** When M is a smooth manifold, we define a smooth 0-form on M to be a smooth function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ .

We define

$$\Omega^{0}(M) = C^{\infty}(M) = C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\Lambda^{0} T_{p}^{*} M = \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Lambda^{0} T^{*} M = \bigcup_{p \in M} \mathbb{R} = M \times \mathbb{R}$$

so that  $\Omega^0(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^0 T^* \mathbb{R}) = C^{\infty}(M)$ .

Now, we want to define  $d: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M)$  by the local definition

$$d\left(\sum_{I} a_{I} x^{*}\right) = \sum_{I} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} dx^{J} \wedge dx^{I}$$

To be precise, given  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ , if  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  is a chart and the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U is given by  $\alpha(p) = \sum \alpha_I(p) dx^I$ , so

$$(\phi^{-1})^*(\alpha)(x) = \sum_I a_I(x) dx^I$$

then we want  $d\alpha$  restricted to U to be given by

$$d\alpha(p) = \sum d\alpha_I(p) \wedge dx^I$$

that is

$$(\phi^{-1})(d\alpha)(x) = \sum_{I} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}$$

However, it is not immediate that this definition does not depend on the chosen chart  $\phi$ .

- **5.1 Theorem. (Exterior Derivative)** Let M be a smooth manifold. Then there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $d: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M)$  for all  $k \ge 0$  such that
  - 1.  $d:\Omega^0(M)\to \Omega^1(M)$  is given by  $df=f_*$  (as previously defined)
  - 2. If  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^\ell(M)$ ,  $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\beta$
  - 3.  $d^2 = 0$  (where  $d^2 = d \circ d$ ).

Such a map d is given locally by  $d\left(\sum_{I} a_{I} dx^{I}\right) = \sum_{I} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}$ .

PROOF We claim that if such a map d exists, then d is determined locally: for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(M)$ , if  $\alpha(p) = \beta(p)$  for all  $p \in U$  where  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, then  $d\alpha(p) = d\beta(p)$  for all  $p \in U$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \beta$  in U. Let  $\gamma = \beta - \alpha$ , so  $\gamma = 0$  in U. Let  $p \in U$ .

Choose a smooth bump function  $S: m \to \mathbb{R}$  with S = 1 in a neighbourhood of p and  $\text{supp}(S) \subseteq U$ . Then  $s\Gamma = 0 \in \Omega^k(M)$ , so that

$$0 = d(s\gamma)$$

$$= ds \wedge \gamma + s \wedge d\gamma$$

$$= 0 \wedge \gamma + 1 \wedge d\gamma$$

in a neighbourhood of p. Thus  $d\gamma = 0$  in a neighbourhood of p, so that  $d\gamma(p) = 0$ . In particular,  $p \in U$  was arbitrary, so  $\gamma = 0$  in U.

We now claim that if such a map d exists, then d must be given locally by the formula  $d(\sum a_I dx^I) = \sum_I \sum_j \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^I$ . To be precise, if  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $\phi : U \to \phi(U)$  is a chart, and if the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U is given by  $\alpha(p) = \sum_I \alpha_I(p) dx^I$  so that  $(\phi^{-1})^*(\alpha)(x) = \sum_I a_I(x) dx^I$  then  $d\alpha$ , restricted to U, is given by

$$(d\alpha)(p) =_I d\alpha_I(p)dx^I$$

so that

$$(\phi^{-1})^*(d\alpha)(x) = \sum_I \sum_j \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^j}(x) dx^j \wedge dx^I$$

Suppose d exists. Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  be a chart on M. Say the restriction of  $\alpha$  to U is given by  $\alpha(p) = \sum_I \alpha_I(p) dx^I$  for all  $p \in U$ . Fix  $p \in U$ . Use a smooth step function which is equal to 1 in an open neighbourhood of p and supported in U to construct smooth functions  $\beta_I: M \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma^i: M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\beta_I = \alpha_I$  and  $\gamma^i = \phi^i$  in an open neighbourhood of p (where  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$  is given by  $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n)$ ). Define  $\beta \in \Omega^k(M)$  by  $\beta = \sum_I \beta_I d\gamma^I$ . Then  $\beta = \alpha$  in an open neighbourhood of p. Then in this neighbourhood  $d\alpha = d\beta = d(\sum_I \beta_I d\gamma^I) = \sum_I d\beta_I \wedge d\gamma^I$  by linearity and rules (2) and (3). Also, by our knowledge of  $d: \Omega^0(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$  in the open neighbourhood, we have  $d\beta_I = d\alpha_I$  and  $d\gamma^i = d\phi^i = dx^i$ 

On the other hand, given a chart  $\phi: U \to \phi(U)$ , we can define  $d: \Omega^k(U) \to \Omega^{k+1}(U)$  by the ocal formula

$$d(\sum_{I} \alpha_{I} dx^{I}) = \sum_{I} d\alpha_{I} \wedge dx^{I}$$

and then d is linear and satisfies the required properties since

$$d\left(\sum_{I} a_{I} dx^{I} \wedge \sum_{J} b_{J} dx^{J}\right) = d\left(\sum_{I,J} a_{I} b_{J} dx^{I} \wedge dx^{J}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{I,J} \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} b_{J} + a_{I} \frac{\partial b_{J}}{\partial x^{i}}\right) dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I} \wedge dx^{J}$$

$$= \sum_{I,J} \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}\right) \wedge b_{J} dx^{J} + (-1)^{k} (a_{I} dx^{I}) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial b_{J}}{\partial x^{j}} dx^{j} \wedge dx^{J}\right)$$

and

$$d^{2}(\sum a_{I}dx^{I}) = d\left(\sum_{I}\sum_{j}\frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial x^{j}}dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{I}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial^{2}a_{I}}{\partial x^{i}\partial x^{j}}dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j} \wedge dx^{I}$$
$$= 0$$

Finally, note that we can define  $d: \Omega^k \to \Omega^{k+1}$  globally by using the local formula in the domains of all charts, and the definitions agree on intersections of charts.

As an exercise, we have the following formulas:

- (i) For  $\alpha \in {}^k(M)$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega^{\ell}(M)$ ,  $\beta \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{k \cdot \ell} \alpha \wedge \beta$ .
- (ii) For  $f: L \to M$ ,  $g: M \to N$ ,  $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ . Also, if  $f: M \to M$  is the identity, then  $f^*: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^k(M)$  is the identity.
- (iii)  $f^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (f^*\alpha) \wedge (f^*\beta)$
- (iv)  $d \circ f^* = f^* \circ d$
- (v) For  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and each  $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , then

$$\begin{split} d\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) &= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} X_i(\alpha(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha\left( [X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1} \right) \end{split}$$

In particular, when  $\alpha = f \in \Omega^0(M)$ , df(X) = X(f) and when  $\alpha \in \Omega^1$ ,

$$(d\alpha)(X,Y) = X(\alpha(Y)) - Y(\alpha(X)) - \alpha([X,Y])$$

#### 6 Integration

If  $\alpha(x)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$  is a smooth n-form on an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with compact support in U, we define

$$\int_{U} \alpha = \int_{U} a(x)dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n}$$

$$= \int_{U} a(x)dx^{1}dx^{2} \dots dx^{n}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x)dx^{1} \dots dx^{n}$$

where we extend a(x) by zero to all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that if  $f: U \to V$  is a diffeomorphism of open sets U, V in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which preserves orientation, then for a smooth n =form  $\beta$  with compact support in V,

$$\int_{U} f^* \beta = \int_{V} \beta$$

(if  $\beta(y) = b(y)dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^n$  then

$$(f^*\beta)(x) = b(f(x))\det(Df)dx^1 \wedge \vdots \wedge dx^n$$

so that

$$\int_{U} f^{*}\beta = \int_{U} b(f(x))|\det Df(x)|dx^{1}...dx^{n}$$
$$= \int_{V} b(y)dy^{1}...dy^{n} = \int_{V} \beta$$

Let M be a smooth oriented n-dimensional manifold and  $\omega$  a smooth n-form on M with compact support. We define the integral as follows. Choose finitely many positively

oriented charts  $\phi_i: U_i \subseteq M \to \phi_i(U_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\omega) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i =: U$ . Let  $U_0 = M \setminus \operatorname{supp}(W)$  so that  $M = \bigcup_{i=0}^m U_i$ . Choose a partition of unity  $\{\rho_i: 0 \le i \le m\}$  subordinate to the cover  $\{U_i: 0 \le i \le m\}$  and note that

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \rho_i \omega = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \rho_i \omega$$

Then we define

$$\int_{M} \omega = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \int_{M} \rho_{i} \omega$$

where

$$\int_{M} \rho_{i} \omega = \int_{\phi_{i}(U_{i})} (\phi_{i}^{-1})^{*}(\rho_{i} \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\phi_{i})^{-1}(\rho_{i} \omega)$$

**6.1 Proposition.** Integration, as define here, does not depend on the choice of charts or the choice of the partition of unity  $\rho_i$ .

PROOF Let  $\psi_i: V_i \to \psi_i(V_i)$  be positively oriented charts  $\operatorname{supp}(\omega) \subseteq V \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} V_j$  and add  $V_0 = M \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\omega)$ . Let  $\sigma_j$  be a subordinat partition of unity. Then for each i and j, we can restrict  $\phi_i: U_i \to \phi_i(U_i)$  and  $\phi_j: V_j \to \psi_j(V_j)$  to get new charts

$$\phi_{i,j}: U_i \cap U_j \to \phi_{i,j}(U_i \cap V_j)$$
  
$$\psi_{i,j}: U_i \cap V_i \to \psi_{i,j}(U_i \cap V_j)$$

and a subordinate partition of unity  $\{\rho_i\sigma_j: 0 \le i \le m, 0 \le j \le \ell\}$  subordinate to  $U_i \cap V_j$ . Then

$$\sum_{J} \int_{\psi_{j}(V_{j})} (\psi_{j}^{-1}) \sigma_{j} \omega = \sum_{J} \int_{\psi_{j}(V_{j})} (\psi_{j}^{-1})^{*} (\sum_{i} \rho_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j} \omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\psi_{j}(V_{j})} (\psi_{j}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\psi_{j}(U_{i} \cap V_{j})} (\psi_{i,j}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} (\psi_{i,j} \circ \phi_{i,j}^{-1})^{*} (\psi_{i,j}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\phi_{i}(U_{i} \cap V_{j})} (\phi_{i}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \sigma_{j} \omega$$

$$= \sum_{i} \int_{\phi_{i}(U_{i} \cap V_{j})} (\phi_{i}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \sum_{j} \sigma_{j} \omega$$

$$= \sum_{i} \int_{\phi_{i}(U_{i} \cap V_{j})} (\phi_{i}^{-1})^{*} \rho_{i} \omega$$

**6.2 Proposition.** If M and N are oriented n-dimensional manifolds and if  $f: N \to M$  is a positively oriented diffeomorphism, then for a smooth n-form on M with compact support, we have

$$\int_N f^*\omega = \int_M \omega$$

When  $f: N \to M$  is a smooth immersion of smooth oriented manifolds of dimensions  $n = \dim N$  and  $M = \dim M$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^n(M)$ , we often write

$$\int_{f(N)} \omega = \int_{N} f^* \omega.$$

When  $N \subseteq M$  and the inclusion map  $\iota : N \hookrightarrow M$  is an immersion, we write  $\int_N \alpha = \int_N \iota^* \alpha$ . Example. When  $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is given by  $\beta = \sum_J b_J(y) dy^J$ , the pullback  $\alpha = f^*\beta$  is given by

$$\alpha = \sum_{J} b_{J}(f(x)) \det \left( \frac{\partial y^{J}}{\partial x} \right)$$

and

$$\int_{f(D)} \sum_{J} b_{J}(f(x)) \det \frac{\partial y^{J}}{\partial x} dx^{1} \dots dx^{n}$$

**6.3 Theorem.** Let M be a smooth orientable manifold and suppose M has a finite positively oriented atlas with charts  $\phi_i: U_i \subseteq M \to \phi_i(U_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $0 \le i \le m$  such that  $\phi_j \setminus (U_j) \setminus \phi_i(U_i \cap U_0)$  has measure zero for all  $j \ne 0$ . Then

$$\int_{M} \alpha = \int_{U_{0}} \alpha |_{U_{0}} = \int_{\phi_{0}(U_{0})} (\phi_{0}^{-1})^{*} \alpha$$

PROOF Let  $\rho_k$ :  $0 \le k \le m$  be a partition of unity subordinate to the cover  $\{U_k : 0 \le k \le m\}$ . Then

$$\int_{M} \alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{\phi_{k}(U_{k})} (\phi_{k}^{-1})^{*} \phi_{k} \alpha$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{\phi_{k}(U_{k} \cap U_{0})} (\phi_{k}^{-1})^{*} (\phi_{k} \alpha)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{\phi_{k}(U_{k} \cap U_{0})} (\phi_{k,0}^{-1})^{*} (\rho_{k} \alpha)|_{U_{k} \cap U_{0}}$$

$$= \int_{U_{0}} \alpha|_{U_{0}}$$

$$= \int_{\phi_{0}(U_{0})} (\phi_{0}^{-1})^{*} \alpha$$

where  $\phi_{k,0}$  is the restriction of  $\phi_k$  to  $U_k \cap U_0$ .

*Example.* Let  $\alpha = xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Find  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \iota^* \alpha$ , where  $\iota : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is the inclusion map.

Using spherical coordinates chart given by  $(x, y, z) = \phi^{-1}(\psi, \theta) = (\sin \psi \cos \theta, \sin \psi \sin \theta, \cos \psi)$  for  $\psi \in (0, \pi)$  and  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . We have

$$D\phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi\cos\theta & -\sin\psi\sin\theta\\ \cos\psi\sin\theta & \sin\psi\cos\theta\\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha &= \int_{(0,\pi)\times(0,2\pi)} (\phi^{-1})^* \alpha \\ &= \int_{\psi=0}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \sin\psi \cos\theta \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & \sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sin\psi \cos\theta \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \cos\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\psi \det\begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta + \cos\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta \\ -\sin\psi \sin\theta & -\sin\psi \sin\theta \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha = x \wedge dz + \cdots$ , then

 $=4\pi$ 

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \psi} d\psi + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta \cos \psi \sin \theta d\psi + \sin \psi \cos \theta d\theta$$
$$dz = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \psi} d\psi + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} d\theta = -\sin \psi d\psi$$

For the sphere, let  $\sigma(\psi, \theta) = \phi^{-1}(\psi, \theta)$ . Then

$$A = \int_{\psi=0}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} |\sigma_{\psi} \times \sigma_{\theta}| d\psi d\theta$$

$$= \iint_{\psi=0} \sqrt{\sin^{4} \psi \cos^{2} \theta + \sin^{4} \psi \sin^{2} \theta + \sin^{2} \psi \cos^{2} \psi} d\psi d\theta$$

$$= \int_{\psi=0}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \sin \psi d\psi d\theta$$

*Example.* Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  be given in spherical coordinates by  $f(\psi, \theta) = (\psi, 2\theta)$  (this really means  $(\phi f \psi^{-1})(\psi, \theta) = (\psi, 2\theta)$ ). Find  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f^* \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is as above.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} f^{*} \alpha = \int_{(0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi)} (\phi^{-1})^{*} f^{*} \iota^{*} \alpha$$

$$= \int_{(0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi)} (\phi^{-1})^{*} f^{*} \phi^{*} (\phi^{-1})^{*} \alpha$$

$$= \int_{(0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi)} (\phi f \phi^{-1})^{*} (\phi^{-1})^{*} \alpha$$

$$= \int_{\psi=0}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \sin \psi d\psi d\theta$$

$$= 8\pi$$

In fact, for any smooth map  $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ ,  $\frac{1}{4\pi} \int f^* \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define the **degree** of f to be this value.

## 7 Manifolds with Boundary

**Definition.** An n-dimensional **topological manifold with boundary** is a Hausdorff, second-countable topological space M such that for every point  $p \in M$  has an open neighbourhood which is homeomorphic either to an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or to an open set in Such a manifold with boundary can be given an atlas of charts  $\phi_k : U_k \to \phi_k(U_k)$ ,  $k \in K$ , such that for each  $k \in K$ , either  $\phi_k(U_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\phi_k(U_k) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\phi_k(U_k) \cap \partial \mathbb{H}^n \neq \emptyset$ . We say that M is **smooth** when it has such an atlas for which the transition maps

$$\phi_{\ell} \circ \phi_k^{-1} : \phi_k(U_k \cap U_\ell) \to \psi(U_k \cap U_\ell)$$

are all **smooth** where for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we say  $g: A \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth when g extends to a smooth map  $g: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$  where U is open with  $A \subseteq U$ . A **boundary point** of such a smoth manifold M with boundary is a point  $p \in M$  for which there is a chart  $\phi$  which sends p to  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$ .

**7.1 Theorem.** (Stoke's) Let M be an oriented smooth n-dimensional manifold with boundary. Let  $\omega$  be a smooth (n-1)-form on M with compact support. Let  $\partial M$  be given the induced orientation. Then

$$\int_{M} d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

PROOF For each  $p \in M$ , choose a positively oriented chart on M at p. By restricting the domain of the chart, we obtain a chart on M at p whose range is  $B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $B(0,1) \cap \mathbb{H}^n$  with p mapped to 0. Use a diffeomorphism from B(0,1) to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to obtain a chart whose ranse is either  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Choose finitely many charts  $\phi_k: U_k \to \phi_k(U_k)$ ,  $k \in K$ , with  $\phi_k(U_k) = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\phi_k(U_k) = \mathbb{H}^n$  such that  $\sup(\omega) \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K} u_k$ . Let  $\rho_k$ ,  $k \in K$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U_k: kK\}$ . Say  $(\phi_k^{-1})^*(\rho_k\omega)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ . Then

$$(\phi_k^{-1})^*(d\rho_k\omega)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

so that

$$\int (\phi_k^{-1})^* (d\rho_k \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \int_{\phi_k(U_k)} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^i} (x) dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

If  $\phi_k(U_k) = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\int_{\phi_k(U_k)} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^1 \dots dx^n = 0$$

since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^i = [a_i(x)]_{x^i = -\infty}^{\infty} = 0$  since  $a_i(x)$  has compact support. If  $k \in L = \{k \in K : \phi_k(U_k) = \mathbb{H}^n\}$ , then  $\int_{\phi_k(U_k)} \frac{\partial a_i(x)}{\partial x^i} dx^1 \dots dx^n = 0$  for  $i \neq n$  as above, and

$$(-1)^{n+1} \int_{\phi_k(U_k)} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial x^n} dx^1 \dots dx^n = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{x_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial x^n} (x) dx^n \right) dx^1 \dots dx^{n-1}$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} [a_n(x)]_{x^n=0}^{\infty} dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

$$= (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} a_n(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, 0) dx^1, \dots, dx^{n-1}$$

$$= (-1)^n \int_{\Phi_k(\overline{U}_k)} (\Phi_k^{-1})^* \rho_k \omega|_{\overline{U}_k}$$

Thus

$$\int_{M}d\omega=\sum_{k\in L}(-1)^{n}\int_{\Phi_{k}(\overline{U}_{k})}(\Phi_{k}^{-1})^{*}(\rho_{k}\omega)|_{\overline{U}_{k}}=\int_{\partial M}\omega$$

(see Lee for details).

#### 8 DeRham Cohomology

For a smooth manifold M with dim(M) = n, we have maps

$$0 \xrightarrow{d=0} \Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n(M) \xrightarrow{d=0} 0$$

where we denote  $d: \Omega^k(M) \to^{k+1} (M)$  as  $d_k$ . Since  $d^2 = 0$ , we always have  $\operatorname{im}(d_{k-1}) \subseteq \ker(d_k)$ . We define the  $k^{\operatorname{th}}$  cohomology to be

$$H^k(M) = \ker d_k / \operatorname{im} d_{k-1}.$$

Recall that for a smooth map  $f: N \to M$ , we have  $f^* \circ d = d \circ f^*$  (TODO: prove). It follows that  $f^*$  induces a well-defined map  $f^*: H^k(M) \to H^k(N)$ . To see this, let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(M)$ ; if  $\alpha \in \ker(d_k: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M))$ , then  $d(f^*\alpha) = f^*(d\alpha) = f^*(0) = 0$  so that  $f^*\alpha \in \ker(d: \Omega^k(N) \to \Omega^{k+1}(N))$ . Thus if  $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in H^k(M)$ , then  $\beta - \alpha \in \operatorname{im} d$ , say  $\beta - \alpha = d\gamma$  where  $\gamma \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ , then  $f^*\beta - f^*\alpha = f^*(\beta - \alpha) = f^*(d\gamma) = df^*\gamma$ , so that  $f^*\beta - f^*\alpha \in \operatorname{im}(d_{k-1}: \Omega^{k-1} \to \Omega^k(N))$  hence  $[f^*\beta] = [f^*\alpha]$ .

Because for  $f: N \to M$  and  $g: N \to L$  we have  $(g \circ f)^* = f^{**}$  and  $id^* = id$ , it follows that when  $f: N \to M$  is a diffeomorphism,  $f^*: H^k(M) \to H^k(N)$  is an isomorphism.

Moreover, for  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^\ell(M)$ 

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\beta$$

the wedge product is well-defined on cohomology space  $\Omega: H^k(M) \times H^\ell(M) \to H^{k+\ell}(M)$ . We define  $H(M) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n H^k(M)$  and this is a so-called **graded algebra**.