



Alex Schlegel <schlegel@gmail.com>

---

## half-baked idea

---

Alex Schlegel <schlegel@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 16, 2015 at 4:03 PM

To: "Peter U. Tse" <Peter.U.Tse@dartmouth.edu>

Just saw your email but I had this one just about finished, so I'll go ahead and send it:

More papers that are similar to the previous paper I sent:

<http://www.pnas.org/content/98/20/11832.short>

<http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2008/04/18/0711099105>

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811904002241>

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11682-008-9047-y>

<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/358/1431/583.short>

These seem to have already explored similar ideas to ours, so it would be important to clarify what our experiment would add. Would it go beyond just introducing MVPA into the mix?

Here are some other possible game ideas:

Fox and \*\*\* games. These are nice because you can play as either the fox or the other thing, so that would be one branch of the hierarchy right there:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fox\\_games](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fox_games)

Also Lambs and Tigers:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambs\\_and\\_Tigers\\_\(Game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambs_and_Tigers_(Game))

or Bagh Chal:

<http://bagchal.rat32.com/>

"Bidding" games like this one named Tennis:

<http://www.papg.com/show?3CC6>

Various graph-based games:

<http://www.papg.com/show?2UQ3>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dots\\_\(game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dots_(game))

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paper\\_soccer](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paper_soccer)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sprouts\\_\(game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sprouts_(game))

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dots\\_and\\_Boxes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dots_and_Boxes)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Havannah>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Y\\_\(game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Y_(game))

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hex\\_\(board\\_game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hex_(board_game))

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Connection\\_game](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Connection_game)

Tic-tac-toe like games:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mlynek>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nine\\_Holes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nine_Holes)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Achi\\_\(game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Achi_(game))

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tapatan>

Also these I haven't had a chance to look through thoroughly:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_abstract\\_strategy\\_games](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_abstract_strategy_games)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Mathematical\\_games](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Mathematical_games)

Trust Game

Ultimatum Game

Coordination Game

Prisoner's Dilemma (and variations: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prisoner%27s\\_dilemma#Related\\_games](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prisoner%27s_dilemma#Related_games))  
The Sally (2003) paper above describes each of these.

Rock, Paper, Scissors is nice, because there really is no other strategy than thinking about what the other person is thinking. I haven't been able to think of qualitative variations of that idea, though.

Another interesting manipulation would be to pit the subject against two different "human" players. Say "Human A" and "Human B". In reality, each "human" is the same random computer algorithm. In this case, we could not only attempt to classify between games, but also between opponents. The hypothesis would be that we will be forming separate mental representations about each opponent, regardless of whether they in fact use the exact same strategy, and we should therefore be able to classify between which opponent you are playing against.

What about this?

Games:

-2 versions of a coordination game: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coordination\\_game](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coordination_game)

-2 versions of another game, possibly a Trust game and an Ultimatum Game, since they seem similar  
(I like that bidding game, though. It would be nice to think of two variations of that)

Opponents:

"Human A"

"Human B"

"Computer"

(which in fact are always just the same computer)

Analyses:

regions that can classify game with "human" opponent vs. "computer" opponent

regions that can classify between the two "human" opponents

Alex

[Quoted text hidden]