

→ the efficiency of Boyer-Moore algorithm relies on creating a lookup table that quickly determines where a mismatched character occurs elsewhere in the pattern

function $\text{last}(c)$: if c is in P , $\text{last}(c)$ is index of last occurrence of c in P .

Otherwise, $\text{last}(c) = -1$

→ instead, can use hash table to represent last function with only those characters from the pattern occurring in the structure. Space usage is proportional to num. of distinct alphabet symbols, thus $O(m)$.

def find-boyer-moore(T, P):

$n, m = \text{len}(T), \text{len}(P)$

if $m == 0$: return 0

$\text{last} = \{ \}$

for k in $\text{range}(m)$:

$\text{last}[P[k]] = k$ // later occurrence overwrites

// align end of pattern at index $m-1$ of text

$i = m-1, k = m-1$

while $i < n$:

if $T[i] == P[k]$: // a matching character

if $k == 0$:

return i // pattern begins at index i of text

else

$i -= 1$

// examine prev character

$k -= 1$

// of P and T

else

$j = \text{last.get}(T[i], -1)$ // $\text{last}(T[i])$ is -1 if not found

$i += m - \min(k, j+1)$ // case analysis for jump step

$k = m-1$ // restart at end of pattern

return -1

→ the correctness of the algorithm follows from the fact that each time the method makes a shift, it is guaranteed not to skip over any possible matches