

Math 135: Randomized Midterm Problem Solutions

Felix Guo
Luke Ning

1. *Proof.* Assume that $S \cap T = S$, let $s \in S$, then $s \in S \cap T \Rightarrow s \in T$. So we have shown for that for any $s \in S$, $s \in T$, and thus $S \subseteq T$.

The converse is also true. Assume that $S \subseteq T$. To prove that $S = S \cap T$, we have to show that both $S \subseteq S \cap T$ and $S \supseteq S \cap T$.

Let $s \in S$. Since $S \subseteq T$, $s \in T$. This implies that $s \in S \cap T$. This proves that $S \subseteq S \cap T$.

Remark. For any two sets A and B , $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$.

Therefore, $S \cap T \subseteq S$ and we have shown that $S = S \cap T$. □

2. (a) *Proof.* We look at the contrapositive of this statement: If $2 \mid x$ or $2 \mid y$, then $2 \mid xy$.

Assume $2 \mid x$, then for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2k = x$.

Remark. If $a \mid b$ then $a \mid bc$ where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

Since $2 \mid 2$, then $2 \mid (2k)y \Rightarrow 2 \mid xy$.

For the case where $2 \mid y$, the proof is similar to above. □

- (b) *Proof.* We look at the contrapositive of the statement: If $2 \mid xy$, then $2 \mid x$ or $2 \mid y$.

Assume $2 \mid xy$, that means that either x is even, or y is even (or both).

If x is even, then $2 \mid x$. If x is not even, then y must be even, and $2 \mid y$. □

- (c) *Proof.* We look at the contrapositive of the statement: If $10 \mid x$ or $10 \mid y$, then $10 \mid xy$.

Assume $10 \mid x$, then for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $10k = x$.

Since $10 \mid 10$, then $10 \mid (10k)y \Rightarrow 10 \mid xy$.

For the case where $10 \mid y$, the proof is similar to above. □

- (d) This statement is false. We can prove by counter-example.

Proof. Notice that $10 \nmid 5$ and $10 \nmid 2$, but $10 \mid (5 * 2)$. □

3. *Proof.* Let $k, k + 1, k + 2, k + 3$ be four consecutive numbers (where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

The product can be written as: $k(k + 3)(k + 1)(k + 2)$

$$= (k^2 + 3k)(k^2 + 3k + 2)$$

$$= ((k^2 + 3k + 1) - 1)((k^2 + 3k + 1) + 1)$$

Let $n = k^2 + 3k + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then the above statement becomes:

$$= (n - 1)(n + 1)$$

$$= n^2 - 1, \text{ which is one less than a perfect square.} \quad \square$$

4. (a) *Proof.* Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1 \iff n + 1 \geq 1 + 1 \iff n + 1 \geq 2$ □

- (b) *Proof.* To prove the existence of such an n , we find one.

Let $n = 6$, notice that $\frac{5n-6}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{5(6)-6}{3} = 8 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. Any n where $3 \mid n$ would be a valid solution. Proof left as an exercise to the reader. □

5. If Jane did not go to medical school, then Jane is not a doctor.

6. *Proof.* Assume $xy + 2x - 3y - 6 < 0$

$$\iff x(y + 2) - 3(y + 2) < 0$$

$$\iff (x - 3)(y + 2) < 0.$$

If $x < 3$, we are done.

If $x \not< 3$, then $(x - 3) > 0 \Rightarrow (y + 2) < 0 \Rightarrow y < -2$.

Notice that x cannot equal 3 since this would violate $(x - 3)(y + 2) < 0$ (which was our hypothesis). \square

7. *Proof.*

$$\sum_{i=3}^8 2^i = 2^3 \sum_{i=0}^5 2^i = 2^3(1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 32) = 8 * 65 = 520$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^5 \frac{j}{3} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^5 j}{3^5} = \frac{1 * 2 * 3 * 4 * 5}{3^5} = \frac{40}{81}$$

\square

8. *Proof.* $\lfloor x \rfloor = 7 \Rightarrow 7 \leq x < 8$.

$$\lceil x \rceil = 7 \Rightarrow 6 < x \leq 7.$$

The only x that satisfies these two equations is $x = 7$. \square

9. (a) The statement is false.

Proof. Let $n = 1$, $\frac{-1}{3}$ is not an integer. \square

(b) First, we look at the case where a is even.

Proof. Let $a = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$a^3 + a + 2$$

$$= (2k)^3 + 2k + 2$$

$$= 8k^3 + 2k + 2$$

$$= 2(k^3 + k + 1)$$

Since we've factored out a 2, $2 \mid a^3 + a + 2$. \square

Now, for the case where a is odd.

Proof. Let $a = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$a^3 + a + 2$$

$$= (2k + 1)^3 + (2k + 1) + 2$$

$$= (8k^3 + 12k^2 + 6k + 1) + 2k + 1 + 2$$

$$= 8k^3 + 12k^2 + 8k + 4$$

Since we've factored out a 2, $2 \mid a^3 + a + 2$. \square

(c) *Proof.* Every prime number is either odd, or equals to 2. Let p be a prime number.

If p is 2, then $p + 7 = 2 + 7 = 9 = 3 * 3$, a composite number.

If p is odd, then $p = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then, $p + 7 = 2k + 1 + 7 = 2k + 8 = 2(k + 4)$, a composite number. \square

(d) This statement is false.

Proof. Choose $x = 3$.

Clearly $|x - 3| + |x - 7| = |0| + |-4| = 4$ which is less than 10. \square

- (e) *Proof.* We want to factor out a perfect square from 123456. 4 looks like an easy choice. $123456 = 4(30864)$. Notice now that if $m = 30864$, then $123456m = 4(30864)^2 = (2 * 30864)^2$, a perfect square.

This can be generalized to other cases as well. As long as a perfect square can be factored out of the original number, we can always find an m that satisfies the above condition. \square

- (f) This statement is false.

Proof. We will prove the negation: $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, 8 \mid (4k^2 + 12k + 8)$.

If k is even, $k = 2n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } 4k^2 + 12k + 8 &= 4(2n)^2 + 12(2n) + 8 \\ &= 16n^2 + 24n + 8 \\ &= 8(2n^2 + 3n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $8 \mid (4k^2 + 12k + 8)$.

If k is odd, $k = 2n + 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } 4k^2 + 12k + 8 &= 4(2n + 1)^2 + 12(2n + 1) + 8 \\ &= 4(4n^2 + 4n + 1) + (24n + 12) + 8 \\ &= 16n^2 + 16n + 4 + 24n + 12 + 8 \\ &= 16n^2 + 40n + 24 = 8(2n^2 + 5n + 3) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $8 \mid (4k^2 + 12k + 8)$. \square

10. *Proof.* Assume $xy = 0$.

By contradiction, we also assume that $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$.

Then $xy \neq 0$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. \square

11.

A	B	C	$(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow \neg C$
T	T	T	F
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

12. *Proof.* By induction:

Base Case: $m = 0$, determine $P(0) : \binom{0}{0} = \frac{0!}{0!0!} = 2^0$

Inductive Hypothesis:

Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer such that $P(m)$ is true:

$$\binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \dots + \binom{m}{m} = 2^m$$

Inductive Step:

Remark. $\binom{m}{0} = \binom{m+1}{0+1}$ and $\binom{m}{m} = \binom{m+1}{m+1}$.

Now by using Pascal's identity,

$$\binom{m+1}{0} + \binom{m+1}{1} + \binom{m+1}{2} + \dots + \binom{m+1}{m} + \binom{m+1}{m+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \binom{m+1}{0} + \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + \binom{m}{m-1} + \binom{m}{m} + \binom{m+1}{m+1} \\
&= \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + \binom{m}{m-1} + \binom{m}{m} + \binom{m}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

By **Inductive Hypothesis**:

$$= 2 * \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} = 2 * 2^m = 2^{m+1}$$

We have shown that $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$, hence $P(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ is true by Principle of Mathematical Induction (POMI). \square

Adapted from: <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/519832/proving-by-induction-that-sum-k-0nn-choose-k-2n>

13. (a) You have proven that there exists values a and b such that the statement is true, but not that the statement is true for all a and b .
- (b) You have proven the converse of the statement but not the original statement.
- (c) You have not shown that $\frac{ka^2}{b^2}$ is an integer, so the final implication is not necessarily true.

14. *Proof.* By Induction:

Base Case: $k = 0$, determine $P(0)$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^0 \binom{n+j}{j} = \binom{n}{0} = 1$$

$$\text{and } \binom{n+0+1}{0} = \binom{n+1}{0} = 1$$

so $P(0)$ is true.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that for some $k \geq 0$, $P(k)$ is true.

$$\text{i.e. assume that } \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n+j}{j} = \binom{n+k+1}{k}$$

Inductive Step: Prove that $P(k+1)$ is true:

$$\text{i.e. prove that } \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{n+j}{j} = \binom{n+k+2}{k+1}$$

$$\text{LHS: } \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{n+j}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n+j}{j} + \binom{n+k+1}{k+1}$$

By **Inductive Hypothesis**:

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n+j}{j} + \binom{n+k+1}{k+1} = \binom{n+k+1}{k} + \binom{n+k+1}{k+1}$$

Now by using Pascal's identity,

$$\binom{n+k+1}{k} + \binom{n+k+1}{k+1} = \binom{n+k+2}{k+1}$$

And so we have shown that,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{n+j}{j} = \binom{n+k+2}{k+1}$$

We have shown that $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$ for all $k \geq 0$, hence $P(k)$ is true by Principle of Mathematical Induction (POMI). \square

15. *Proof.* This is trivial:

$(a \mid b) \wedge (b \mid c) \Rightarrow (a \mid c)$ by Transitivity of Divisibility.

$(a \mid c) \wedge (c \mid d) \Rightarrow (a \mid d)$ by Transitivity of Divisibility. \square

16. We must prove both directions of the if and only if:

Proof. \Rightarrow

Assume that $n \in (A - B)$.

Then $2 \mid n$ and $4 \nmid n$. By way of contradiction, assume that $n = 2k$ where k is an *even* number, then $n = 2(2j)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $n = 4j$ and $4 \mid n$. This is a contradiction so it must follow that $n = 2k$ for some *odd* integer k . \square

Proof. \Leftarrow

Assume that $n = 2k$ for some *odd* integer k .

This implies that $2 \mid n$ and that $n \in A$. By way of contradiction, assume that $4 \mid n$. Then $n = 4j$ for some integer j . This would imply that $k = 2j$ and that k is *even*. This is a contradiction and thus, $4 \nmid n$.

Since $4 \nmid n$, $n \notin B$ and that $n \in (A - B)$. \square

17. To prove equality, we must show that both sides are subsets of each other.

Proof. Let $s \in (S \cup T) - (S \cap T)$.

This implies that $s \in S$ or $s \in T$, but **not in both** as $s \notin (S \cap T)$.

If $s \in S$, then $s \notin T$ and $s \in (S - T)$.

If $s \notin S$, then $s \in T$ and $s \in (T - S)$.

Thus, $s \in (S - T) \cup (T - S)$ and

$$(S \cup T) - (S \cap T) \subseteq (S - T) \cup (T - S)$$

To prove the other direction:

Let $s \in (S - T) \cup (T - S)$.

This implies that $s \in S \wedge s \notin T$ or $s \in T \wedge s \notin S$ but **s cannot be in both.**

The universe that contains all S and T is $S \cup T$. We can eliminate items that are in both sets by removing $S \cap T$. Thus, $s \in (S \cup T) - (S \cap T)$ and

$$(S - T) \cup (T - S) \subseteq (S \cup T) - (S \cap T)$$

Since both sides are subsets of each other:

$$(S \cup T) - (S \cap T) = (S - T) \cup (T - S)$$

\square