* **Supergroup C has Black <80 but both C1 and C2 are 80-120.**

I had a look at the scores – you do get differences like this occasionally when calculating index scores; however, we are mostly interested in the range of less than 80; and more that 120. In this case, it is only African which is mildly more over represented in C2. We refer to this as “Many of these were born in the UK, while other more recent migrants have African birthplaces.”

Black

Supergroup C – 79.54

Group C1 – 95.4988

Group C2 – 88.76

African

Supergroup C – 108.11

Group C1 – 103.7377

Group C2 – 124.678

* **Chinese in Supergroup D looks a bit odd but it’s hard to say what’s not right: Overall Chinese is v high and D1 has Chinese less high which makes sense. D3 portrait includes Chinese but D2 does not even though D2 > D3.**

Supergroup D has an index score of 256 for Chinese. We refer to this in the Supergroup D description as follows: “The predominantly Inner London neighbourhoods have an international character, including many residents born elsewhere in Europe alongside high numbers of individuals identifying as of Chinese ethnicity.”

Group index scores for Chinese are as follows:

D1: 176

D2: 380

D3: 174

This is a good suggestion and we trimmed reference to Chinese in D3, given this is already mentioned in the Supergroup.

* **D3 has “Property tends not to be overcrowded” this should be about should be underoccupation is more common.**

Good suggestion, changed.

* **F2 and G1 are the two longest portraits so need to be much shorter. F2 has owner occupation below average which could be removed, and a long sentence linking non-EU European-born and White ethnicity. I think this would be better as two shorter sentences. The only suggestion I have to shorten G1 is to remove the Terraced housing point since it’s below average, and already in G2 (above average).**

F2 and G1 have been pruned.