# Lab Nine

## Alex Smith

alex.smith1@Marist.edu

May 2, 2019

# 1 Crafting a Compiler

## 1.1 Exercise 5.5

Transform the following grammar into LL(1) form using the techniques presented in Section 5.5:

```
1 DeclList
                    \rightarrow DeclList ; Decl
 2
                    Decl
                    \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} IdList : Type
 3 Decl
 4 IdList
                    \rightarrow IdList , id
 5
                    | id
                    → ScalarType
 6
    Type
                    | array ( ScalarTypeList ) of Type
 7
 8
    ScalarType
                    \rightarrow id
 9
                    | Bound .. Bound
                    \rightarrow Sign intconstant
10 Bound
11
                    id
12 Sign
13
14
                     | λ
15 ScalarTypelist → ScalarTypeList , ScalarType
                     ScalarType
16
```

First, remove any left recursion by swapping the position of the non-terminals on the right side of the production.

- 1. DeclList  $\rightarrow$  Decl ; DeclList
- 2. DeclList  $\rightarrow$  Decl
- 3.  $Decl \rightarrow IdList : Type$
- 4.  $IdList \rightarrow id$ , IdList
- 5.  $IdList \rightarrow id$
- 6. Type  $\rightarrow$  ScalarType
- 7. Type  $\rightarrow$  array(ScalarTypeList) of Type

```
8. ScalarType \rightarrow id

9. ScalarType \rightarrow Bound .. Bound

10. Bound \rightarrow Sign intconstant

11. Bound \rightarrow id

12. Sign \rightarrow +

13. Sign \rightarrow -

14. Sign \rightarrow \lambda

15. ScalarTypeList \rightarrow ScalarType , ScalarTypeList

16. ScalarTypeList \rightarrow ScalarType
```

Next, use left factoring to let the operator be the first set where needed.

```
1. DeclList \rightarrow Decl DeclList2
2. DeclList2 \rightarrow ; DeclList
3. DeclList2 \rightarrow \lambda
4. Decl \rightarrow IdList : Type
5. IdList \rightarrow id IdList2
6. IdList2 \rightarrow , IdList
7. IdList2 \rightarrow \lambda
8. Type \rightarrow ScalarType
9. Type \rightarrow array(ScalarTypeList) of Type
10. ScalarType \rightarrow id
11. ScalarType \rightarrow Bound .. Bound
12. Bound \rightarrow Sign intconstant
13. Bound \rightarrow id
14. Sign \rightarrow +
15. Sign \rightarrow -
16. Sign \rightarrow \lambda
17. ScalarTypeList \rightarrow ScalarTypeScalarTypeList2
18. ScalarTypeList2 \rightarrow, ScalarTypeList
19. ScalarTypeList2 \rightarrow \lambda
```

At this point, the only conflict will be with ScalarType and Bound as they both have a first set of id and will be considered at the same time (Although ScalarTypeList2 and IdList2 both have a first set including , they will not be considered at the same time in the parse so this will not cause an issue). In order to resolve this, we can add productions to change the .. operator to be its own production as shown and removing the id production from ScalarType as shown below:

```
\begin{array}{ll} {\rm ScalarType} \to & {\rm Bound} \ {\rm Bound2} \\ {\rm Bound2} \to & .. \ {\rm Bound} \\ {\rm Bound2} \to & \lambda \end{array}
```

# 2 Dragon Book

### 2.1 Exercise 4.5.3

```
a) The input 000111 according to the grammar of Exercise 4.5.1.
Grammar: S \rightarrow 0 S 1 \mid 0 1
Stack: empty, Input: 000111. Shift
Stack: 0, Input: 00111. Shift
Stack: 00, Input: 0111. Shift
Stack: 000, Input: 111. Shift
Stack: 0001, Input: 11. Reduce 01 to S
Stack: 00S, Input: 11. Shift
Stack: 00S1, Input: 1. Reduce 0S1 to S
Stack: 0S, Input: 1. Shift
Stack: 0S1, Input: empty. Reduce 0S1 to S
Stack: S, Input: empty. Accept!
b) The input aaa * a + + according to the grammar of Exercise 4.5
Grammar: S \rightarrow SS + |SS^*|a
Stack: empty, Input:aaa*a++. Shift
Stack: a, Input: aa*a++. Reduce a to S
Stack: S, Input: aa*a++. Shift
Stack: Sa, Input: a*a++. Reduce a to S
Stack: SS, Input:a*a++. Shift
Stack: SSa, Input:*a++. Reduce a to S
Stack: SSS, Input:*a++. Shift
Stack: SSS*, Input:a++. Reduce SS* to S
Stack: SS, Input: a++. Shift
Stack: SSa, Input: ++. Reduce a to S
Stack: SSS, Input: ++. Shift
Stack: SSS+, Input:+. reduce SS+ to S
Stack: SS, Input: +. Shift
Stack: SS+, Input: empty. Reduce SS+ to S
Stack: S, Input:empty. Accept!
```

Give bottom-up parses for the following input strings and grammars:

### 2.2 Exercise 4.6.5

Show that the following grammar:

```
S \rightarrow A a A b | B b B a A \rightarrow \epsilon B \rightarrow \epsilon is LL(1) but not SLR(1).
```

This grammar is LL(1) because if the first symbol is a, the first production of S will be used and if the

first symbol is b, the second production of S will be used. Although both nonterminals have the same first set, the first set is epsilon so this first set will not be considered.

This grammar is not SLR(1) because it will be unclear when to reduce to A or B since it can be reduced an infinite number of times due to needing no input. So at each step (assuming reduce has more priority over shift) it will attempt to reduce without knowing the proper amount of times to reduce or if to reduce to A or B since they are the same.