# Documentation for UMCP continuum modeling software

# August 31, 2020

# **Contents**

1	Introduction	1	
2	Running dynamics: hd 2.1 Dynamics options and parameters 2.2 Input File	1 1 2	
3	Setting the bilayer lipid composition	2	
4	Hamiltonian and Lagrangian dynamics         4.1       Lagrangian mechanics          4.2       Hamiltonian dynamics          4.3       Particle on the membrane surface          4.4       Propagating particle momenta p          4.5       The effective mass matrix is banded; its inverse is short-ranged          4.6       Normal modes          4.7       Langevin dynamics	3 4 5 5	
5	Membrane and particle dynamics         5.1 Local metric		
6	Timescales 6.1 Langevin dynamics	7 8	
7	Creating all-atom structures from the continuum model	8	
8	Pressure/tension control	9	
9	Hydrodynamics with stochastic rotational dynamics (SRD) 9.1 SRD particle/mesh collisions		
10	O Input options  10.1 System construction options	<b>10</b>	

11 Bibliography					
	10.5 All-atom/coarse-grain molecular construction options	12			
	10.4 Miscellaneous options				
	10.3 Reaction diffusion options	12			
	10.2 Dynamics options	11			

Running dynamics: hd

This document is meant to be a practical guide to running the continuum model software, as well as a guide to the underlying theory. If a command or option does not work as expected or if usage is unclear, please let us know.

### 1 Introduction

The hd program models bilayers using the subdivision limit surface algorithm, previously applied to lipid bilayers by Klug [1].

# 2 Running dynamics: hd

Dynamics are computed using the program hd, short for Hamiltonian dynamics.

#### **HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS**

Hamiltonian dynamics is a convenient formalism for propagating Newtonian dynamics with generalized variables (equivalent to constraints). Constraints and generalized variables (i.e., not necessary particle coordinate variables) are an essential feature of continuum membrane simulations, because the mesh is represented by control points and particles are frequently constrained to be on the membrane. The theory of Hamiltonian dynamics is discussed in section 4.

All arguments to hd are optional. The first argument can be the name of an input file; this is the standard usage. Subsequent arguments override simple input file directives:

# 2.1 Dynamics options and parameters

The choice of timestep is critical for computing proper ensembles. If the timestep is too large, the system will be unstable and program execution will halt. The option timestep\_analysis=yes provides a rough estimate of a proper timescale for dynamics.

# 2.2 Input File

The input file contains all of the initial information for the simulation to start. At a bare minimum this file must contain [] to be properly read into the program. [Explain why.] Additional parameters for further customization can be found in section 10. Below is an example input file of a [whatever type it is] simulation.

[picture of Example]

# 2.3 Running from Input File

Simulations are running using the hd.opt program, which [explain what it is]. It is located in the UMCP/optimized folder.

Ex: For an input file called input.inp located in UMCP/examples/test, the syntax for running the simulation is

> ../../optimized/hd.opt input.inp

### 2.4 Simulation Output

While the simulation is running, it will generate output at the beginning, and at each time step.

[Describe output and variable meanings]

[Maybe insert picture of example output]

### 2.5 Loading a simulation state

Dynamics and minimization can be restarted by loading a save file. The input syntax is load <name.save>: > hd run.inp load=min.save (C2)

This command can be put in the input file as well. Save files are generated at the end of minimization and dynamics. At the end of minimization, the file min.save is generated. At the end of a dynamics simulation, the file jobName.save is created, where jobName is the overall job name given in the input file. The default for this name is default, so the default for the save file is default.save.

# 3 Setting the bilayer lipid composition

The bilayer lipid composition is set in the input file; unlike other input commands it cannot be overridden with command-line options. Lipid composition commands begin with lipid and then are followed by sub-commands. For example, to set the inner leaflet to be DOPC, include

in the input file. The leaflet is selected with either inner or outer. The fourth argument is the amount of lipid, in parts. To an outer leaflet with 50% DOPC and 50% DOPE, include, for example

or

where with the parts mechanism the total amount of lipid always sums to 100%.

To add a new lipid to the library, use the sub-command library:

```
lipid library SAPC 80.0 -0.01 (I4)
```

where SAPC is the name of the lipid, 80.0 is the area-per-lipid, and -0.01 is the spontaneous curvature. This lipid can now be used in a compositional command:

```
lipid inner POPC 70
lipid inner SAPC 30 (I5)
```

The input file is parsed iteratively, beginning with library sub-commands, so the order in the input file is not significant.

# 4 Hamiltonian and Lagrangian dynamics

## 4.1 Lagrangian mechanics

The Lagrangian L is defined as:

$$L = T - V \tag{1}$$

with V the potential energy. The kinetic energy

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_k \nu_k^2 \tag{2}$$

is defined in terms of the real mass variables ( $\nu_k$  and  $r_k$ ). It is computed for the generalized coordinates q as:

$$\nu_k = \sum_j \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial t} \tag{3}$$

Here  $\nu_k$  is the velocity of particle k and  $m_k$  is its mass. In the case of the membrane itself the generalized coordinate is the control point. The mass variables are sections of the membrane corresponding to points on the surface. The quantities  $\frac{\partial r_k}{\partial q_j}$  are determined by the spline coefficients of the face, and each point is a linear combination of the (for regular faces) twelve control points. The quantity  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j}$  corresponds to the force,  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$ . The differential equation of motion is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \tag{4}$$

Applied to the control points, the equation of motion is

$$M_{ij}\ddot{q}_j = \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i} \tag{5}$$

where

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{k} m_k \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_k}{\partial q_j} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_k}{\partial q_i} \tag{6}$$

This matrix is inverted to put the equations in form suitable for integration:

$$\ddot{q}_j = M_{ij}^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i} \tag{7}$$

# 4.2 Hamiltonian dynamics

In Hamiltonian dynamics a new variable is introduced, the momentum *p*:

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \tag{8}$$

This equation is then solved for  $\dot{q}_i$  and substituted into the Hamiltonian H:

$$H = \sum \dot{q}_i p_i - L \tag{9}$$

For the control points p is to related to the set q through:

$$p_i = \sum_j M_{ij} \dot{q}_j \tag{10}$$

$$\dot{q}_j = \sum_i M_{ij}^{-1} p_i \tag{11}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This section is adapted from the English language Wikipedia, beginning around 2019.

The equations of motion are then:

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial a} \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{q} = +\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \tag{13}$$

### 4.3 Particle on the membrane surface

The coordinates of a particle on the membrane's surface are determined by their internal coordinates u and v as well as the control points of the surrounding mesh:

$$\boldsymbol{r}_i = \sum_{l} \boldsymbol{q}_l s_l u^{a_l} v^{b_l} \tag{14}$$

where here the generalized variable q is shown in vector form. The powers  $a_l$  and  $b_l$  are non-negative integers less than five, and  $s_l$  is the spline coefficient. To calculate T the velocity  $\nu$  must be expressed in terms of the generalized coordinates and their time derivatives:

$$\nu = \sum_{l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{l}} \dot{q}_{l} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u} \dot{u} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} \dot{v}$$
(15)

The particle's attachment modifies the dynamics of the membrane as its mass adds to that of the membrane. The control point momenta are now determined as:

$$p_{i} = M_{ij}\dot{q}_{j} + m_{p}\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{k}}\dot{q}_{k} + m_{p}\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}\dot{u} + m_{p}\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v}\dot{v}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

where the sums for the attached particle are only over control points that are included in the spline.

The attached particle's velocity depends on  $\dot{q}_i$ ,  $\dot{u}$  and  $\dot{v}$ , so all cross-terms in T must be accounted for in the calculation of, for example,  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{u}}$ .

$$p_u = m_p \left[ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}{\partial q_k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_u \dot{q}_k + \boldsymbol{r}_u \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_u \dot{u} + \boldsymbol{r}_u \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_v \dot{v} \right]$$
(17)

and

$$p_v = m_{[}[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}{\partial q_k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_v \dot{q}_k + \boldsymbol{r}_v \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_u \dot{u} + \boldsymbol{r}_v \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_v \dot{v}]$$
(18)

The routines to evaluate these terms are surface::ru  $(r_u)$ , surface::rv  $(r_v)$ , and surface::get\_pt\_coeffs  $(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_v})$ , available in uv\_map.C.

 $(\frac{\partial r}{\partial q_k})$ , available in uv\_map.C. In theory, the  $\dot{q}_i$ ,  $\dot{u}$ , and  $\dot{v}$  are then substituted into Eq. 9 to determine the new H. Setting aside briefly the momentum of the underlying mesh,  $\dot{q}$ , the surface coordinates of the particle momentum can be cast as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_u \\ p_v \end{bmatrix} = m_p \sum_{kl} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_u \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_u & \boldsymbol{r}_u \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_v \\ \boldsymbol{r}_v \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_u & \boldsymbol{r}_v \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_v \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (19)

or

$$p_{\alpha} = m_p g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{\beta} \tag{20}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are u or v. Conceptually, the factors act to slow down  $\dot{u}$  as it approaches regions with high metrics. It does not do this with a force, rather,  $\dot{u}$  is computed instantaneously from p, which is the variable being propagated.

Unlike  $g_{uv}$ , the control point mass matrix  $M_{ij}$  is determined only by network topology, if the masses represented by the mesh are not chosen to change. Thus, in the absence of bound particles, its inverse

does not need to be calculated at each dynamics step to evaluate  $\dot{q}$  from p using Eq. 11. Eqs. 16, 17, and 18 introduce state-dependent (q, u, and v) coupling between  $\dot{q}$  and both the mesh and embedded particles.

To solve for the complete set of coordinate time derivatives, including both control point momenta and particle momenta, with the eventual goal of their elimination requires solving:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{p}_{i} \\
\mathbf{p}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{p}_{u} \\
\mathbf{p}_{v}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{ii} & M_{ij} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
M_{ji} & M_{jj} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & m_{p}g_{uu} & m_{p}g_{uv} \\
0 & 0 & m_{p}g_{vu} & m_{p}g_{vv}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ m_{p} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \cdots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{u} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{v} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{u} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{v} & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{i} \\
\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{u} \\
\dot{v}
\end{pmatrix} . \tag{21}$$

The inverse of a slightly perturbed matrix  $A_0 + \delta A$  can be computed with the truncated series

$$(A_0 + \delta A)^{-1} = A_0^{-1} - A_0^{-1} \delta A A_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}[\delta A^2]$$
(22)

because

$$(A_0^{-1} - A_0^{-1}\delta A A_0^{-1}) \cdot (A_0 + \delta A) = I - A_0^{-1}\delta A A_0^{-1}\delta A$$
(23)

The kinetic energy T now has position-dependent cross-terms between the particle and membrane that contribute to the time derivative of the momenta.

# **4.4** Propagating particle momenta *p*

Particle momenta p are propagated using the force, e.g.,  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}$ . The kinetic energy now depends on u through  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial u}$  and  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial v}$ .

### 4.5 The effective mass matrix is banded; its inverse is short-ranged

#### 4.6 Normal modes

The mesh control points are "generalized" coordinates in the sense that they are not point centers of mass that directly feel force. Rather, they determine the mass positions through the subdivision-limit algorithm. Compared with the complexity of having each underlying lipid or its atoms independent entities, the use of a continuum mesh is a dramatic simplification. It is often convenient to add a further layer of generalization by using so-called normal modes that are linear transformations of the mesh:

$$Q_i = N_{ij}q_j, (24)$$

where Q is a normal mode and q is a mesh control point. Most frequently these modes are energetically decoupled at the level of the membrane elastic energy. Creation of the normal modes requires solving for  $N_{ij}$ , requiring an inverse of the transformation matrix from  $\{q_i\}$  to  $\{Q_i\}$ .

The use of normal modes for spheres and planes is invoked by specifying either the details of a single mode (mode\_x and mode\_y) or the lower and upper limits of modes to model (mode\_min and mode\_max). For a spherical mesh, it is necessary to include the input sphere yes to invoke spherical harmonics.

Timescales Langevin dynamics

# 4.7 Langevin dynamics

The generic Langevin equation<sup>2</sup> is

$$\dot{A}_i = \sum_j \{A_i, A_j\} \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}A_j} - \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}A_j} + \sum_j \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda_{ij}}{\mathrm{d}A_j} + \eta_i(t)$$
 (25)

where here  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  is the Poisson bracket,  $\lambda_i$  is the friction coefficient for (here, momentum) degree-of-freedom  $A_i$ , and  $\eta(t)$  is a random noise process with

$$\langle \eta_i(t)\eta_i(t')\rangle = 2\lambda_i k_B T \delta(t - t') \tag{26}$$

and zero mean. The units of the damping coefficients  $\lambda_i$  are  $\frac{\text{mass}}{\text{time}}$  for coupling between momentum coordinates. Note: when applying a frictional drag to the momentum, the  $\lambda$  value is denoted  $\gamma$  below. For a simple Hamiltonian like  $\frac{p^2}{2m}$  the friction can be applied directly to the momentum trivially, i.e.:

$$\dot{p} = \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}q} - \lambda_p \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}p} + \eta_p(t) \tag{27}$$

$$\dot{p} = -\lambda_p \frac{p}{m} + \eta_p(t) \tag{28}$$

However, for a more complicated kinetic energy we use the relation between p and  $\dot{q}$ , see Eq. 11, with  $\dot{q}$ , itself computed from p, taking the place of  $\frac{p}{m}$ .

# 5 Membrane and particle dynamics

#### 5.1 Local metric

The local metric of the membrane relates changes in a particle's surface coordinates u and v to changes in its three dimensional Cartesian vector.

#### 5.2 Irregular mesh points

An irregular mesh point has valence not equal to six. At an irregular mesh point the metric and some related properties (curvature) diverge. Note that the tangent plane does not. Nevertheless this is a challenge for dynamics because critical quantities  $(\dot{u}, \dot{v}, c(u, v))$  are changing rapidly with time. The solution currently adopted is to split timesteps as necessary, on a particle-by-particle basis, as they approach irregular vertices. Not all quantities are recomputed during the time splitting, only those that do not require interaction with other particles.

### 6 Timescales

### 6.1 Langevin dynamics

Newton's equation of motion is:

$$m\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} = \boldsymbol{f} \tag{29}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The form of this equation was adapted from the English language Wikipedia page for the Langevin Equation on March 27th, 2019.

Timescales Brownian dynamics

where v and f are time dependent quantities. With the **Langevin dynamics** of Eq. 25, a frictional drag and stochastic force,  $\tilde{f}$ , are introduced. This is frequently justified as arising from implied collisions with solvent, itself in thermal equilibrium with a bath. The modified equations are

$$m\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} = -\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{\mu} + \boldsymbol{f} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{f}} \tag{30}$$

With a constant applied force, the velocity is  $f\mu$ . The factor mu, the ratio of this velocity (termed the drift velocity) to an applied constant force, is called the mobility. It is the inverse of the friction coefficient  $\gamma$  (equivalently, the momentum  $\lambda$  in Eq. 25). Consider modeling a particle diffusing with Langevin dynamics. In the absence of any forces, f, the diffusion constant is

$$D = \mu k_B T \tag{31}$$

To simulate a lipidic diffusion constant, e.g.,  $10^{-6} {\rm cm^2/s}$ , requires specifying  $\mu$  appropriately. Note that in Eq.  $\ref{eq:cm^2/s}$  the velocity is reduced by a fraction equal to  $\frac{-\Delta t}{m\mu}$ , where  $\Delta t$  is the simulation timestep. This fraction must be much less than one or the particle will experience uncontrolled feedback and therefore improper integration.

### 6.2 Brownian dynamics

If the potential a particle experiences is smooth, it will be under an approximately constant force for the duration of a sufficiently short time step. Under constant force, the velocity obeys

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{\gamma}{m}v + f/m \tag{32}$$

The solution to this simple differential equation is

$$v(t) = v_0 \exp(-\frac{\gamma}{m}t) + \frac{f}{\gamma m} \tag{33}$$

That is, the velocity decays with characteristic timescale  $\tau=\frac{m}{\gamma}$ . If timesteps on the order of (or larger than)  $\tau$  are desired, the velocity obeys Eq. 33 and this can be incorporated into the equations of motion. During the integration period the velocity will decorrelate completely from its initial value. Rather than propagating the velocity, consider an approximate dynamics that models the frictional slowing of the particles. With velocity removed, the equations are *displacement-based*, that is, they attempt to model a particle's motion under friction, with thermal agitation, in the presence of external forces. This is **Brownian dynamics**. Here the equation of motion is

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}} = \frac{D}{k_B T} \boldsymbol{f} + \sqrt{2D} \eta(t) \tag{34}$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is a random process defined by

$$\langle \eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = \delta(t - t') \tag{35}$$

that is produced *in silico* by a sequence of uncorrelated random numbers with zero mean and unit standard deviation.

Because the momentum is dissipated in less than a time step in Brownian dynamics, it is not a relevant quantity for propagation. Instead, the random forces applied over the time step, perturbed by the constant force, lead to a shift in the particle coordinates that is uncorrelated with previous steps (with the exception of the influence of the force). The result is diffusive dynamics.

For a basic particle simulation, this is handled simply; the particle has a diffusion constant D and its coordinates are only coupled to other particles by the potential energy. In a continuum membrane simulation, the particles of the membrane are interconnected through the generalized coordinates: the

mesh control points. The Langevin equations must be transformed to be compatible with eliminating the momentum. From:

$$\dot{p}_{i} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} - p_{i}\bar{\gamma}_{i} + \sqrt{2\gamma_{i}k_{B}T}\eta_{i}(t)$$

$$\dot{q}_{j} = \sum_{j} M_{ji}^{-1}p_{i}$$
(36)

to

$$\dot{q}_{j} = -M_{ji}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_{i}^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} + M_{ji}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_{i}^{-1} \sqrt{2\gamma_{i} k_{B} T} \eta_{i}(t)$$
(37)

where  $\eta_i(t)$  are independent random processes, and  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the per-mass coupling constant with units time<sup>-1</sup>. The surface mass matrix appears in Eq. 37.

### 6.2.1 Brownian dynamics with collective variables

A variable with a quadratic potential, under Brownian dynamics, has simple kinetics:

$$\dot{q} = -\gamma^{-1}kq + \sqrt{2\gamma^{-1}k_BT}\eta\tag{38}$$

Ignoring the random force that has no systematic effect yields:

$$q(t) \approx q_0 \exp{-\gamma^{-1}kt} \tag{39}$$

Consider a membrane undulation of a Helfrich bilayer. The energy of a particular mode

$$h(x,y) = h_q \sin(xq_x + yq_y) \tag{40}$$

is

$$E = \frac{1}{4}k_c h_q^2 A q^4 \tag{41}$$

The restoring force on  $h_q$  is thus  $\frac{1}{2}k_ch_qAq^4$ .

To set the relaxation time of the mode to a particular value of  $\tau$ , for example

$$\tau_m = \frac{4\eta}{k_c q^3},\tag{42}$$

requires specifying  $\gamma_i$  such that the expected relaxation time  $m^{-1}\gamma^{-1}k$  matches  $\tau^{-1}$ . This is only possible when the mass matrix  $M_{ij}$  of the modes is diagonal, that is, when the modes are uncoupled and so can have independently set timescales.

#### 6.3 Membrane relaxation timescales

# 7 Creating all-atom structures from the continuum model

All-atom (and molecular coarse-grained) structures (hereafter, "molecular structure") can be built from the continuum model by including the create command to the hd program. The molecular structure is created following any requested dynamics, that is, if nsteps is greater than zero. Because any errors will halt the progress of molecular structure creation, it is recommended to load a restart file following dynamics.

The bilayer will be created from the input patchPDB PDB file of a square patch of lipid bilayer. The CRYST1 directive is necessary in the PDB file; if it is not present an error will terminate production. Optionally a protein-structure file (PSF) may be included for the PDB (with patchPSF), which will supercede the atom, residue and segment names if the PDB file format is too limiting.

Invoking create\_flip yes will flip the input bilayer so that the positive z bilayer will follow along the negative normal.

### 8 Pressure/tension control

Changes in the periodic boundary dimension are controlled using a vector of parameters  $\alpha$  that scales the coordinates from the original PBCs:

$$\mathbf{r}' = \{r_x \alpha_x, r_y \alpha_y, r_z \alpha_z\} \tag{43}$$

Naturally the periodic cell dimensions are changed by the same values of  $\alpha$ .

Changing the value of  $\alpha_x$  changes the shape of the underlying mesh, as well as the positions of all attached particles. Not only is the potential energy changed, but the kinetic energy as well.

The kinetic energy T is computed as

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} p_i \dot{q}_i \tag{44}$$

where  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are the conjugate momentum and coordinate of degree-of-freedom i, which might be a single Cartesian (e.g. x) or surface coordinate (e.g. u). The quantity  $\dot{q}_i$  is computed as  $\hat{M}^{-1} \cdot p$ . The matrix  $\hat{M}$  is the same for each Cartesian dimension. It is computed initially with  $\alpha = \{1,1,1\}$ , scales as  $\alpha^2$ , as it is the outer product of  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial q_i}$  with itself. Changing  $\alpha$  thus changes T for the system and so T must be considered when computing the probability p of a Monte Carlo move attempt in  $\alpha$ :

$$p = \exp{-\beta(V_{\text{new}} - V_{\text{old}} + T_{\text{new}} - T_{\text{old}})}$$
(45)

where  $\beta$  is the inverse temperature, V is the potential energy, and subscripts label the quantities before and after the attempted move.

# 9 Hydrodynamics with stochastic rotational dynamics (SRD)

The stochastic rotational dynamics (SRD) method is activated with input command do\_srd=yes.

## 9.1 SRD particle/mesh collisions

Collisions between the SRD particle and the mesh are modeled at the lengthscale of the mesh spacing. On a *very* short timescale, a collision would change the momentum of only the individual mass point at the collision, after which the dynamics would be propagated outward, exciting various modes and eventually pushing the entire mass in the direction of the momentum exchange. In this implementation, the collision changes the momentum of only the nearest control point site. This assumption is consistent with the overall coarse-graining scheme in which very high frequency modes are not modeled. More accurate schemes could be imagined in which the trajectory following the collision more closely approximates the collision of a higher resolution mesh. However, since the SRD solvent particle and its collision are themselves coarse-grained representations of finely detailed solvents, it may not be worth the effort to more closely represent what is itself not truly physical.

The dynamics of a collision between two elastic particles conserves two quantities expressed as equations below: In Eq. 46, the momentum directed along the axis normal to the collision interface, and in Eq. 47, the overall kinetic energy:

$$\Delta p_{\rm SRD} + \Delta p_i = 0 \tag{46}$$

$$\frac{|\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}} + \Delta \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}}|^2}{2m_{\text{SRD}}} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{p}_i + \Delta \boldsymbol{p}_i) \cdot \sum_j M_{ij}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}_j + \Delta \boldsymbol{p}_j \delta_{ij}) = \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}}|^2}{2m_{\text{SRD}}} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{p}_i \cdot \sum_j M_{ij}^{-1}\boldsymbol{p}_j = 0$$
 (47)

Here  $p_{\rm SRD}$  is the SRD particle momentum,  $p_i$  is the momentum of the collision vertex,  $m_{\rm SRD}$  is the mass of the SRD particle, and  $M_{ij}^{-1}$  is the mesh effective mass inverse matrix. There are two solutions to this

constraint: a trivial solution in which the particles pass through each other, and the desired solution in which finite momentum is transferred between the particles. The equation is solved by choosing scalar parameters  $\alpha_{\rm SRD}$  and  $\alpha_i$  with  $\Delta \boldsymbol{p}_{\rm SRD} = \alpha_{\rm SRD} \boldsymbol{n}_{\rm collision}$  and  $\Delta \boldsymbol{p}_i = \alpha_{\rm i} \boldsymbol{n}_{\rm collision}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{n}_{\rm collision}$  is the collision axis. The equations reduce to:

$$\alpha_{\rm SRD} + \alpha_i = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$2\alpha_{\text{SRD}}m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{\text{collision}}) + \alpha_{\text{SRD}}^2m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1} + 2\alpha_i\sum_{j}M_{ij}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p}_j\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{\text{collision}}) + \alpha_i^2M_{ii}^{-1} = 0$$
 (49)

Substituting in  $\alpha_{SRD} = -\alpha_i$  yields for Eq. 49:

$$2\alpha_{i} \left(\sum_{j} M_{ij}^{-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{j} - m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\text{collision}} + \alpha_{i}^{2} \left(m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1} + M_{ii}^{-1}\right) = 0$$

$$\alpha_{i} = 2 \frac{\left(m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{SRD}} - \sum_{j} M_{ij}^{-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{j}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\text{collision}}}{m_{\text{SRD}}^{-1} + M_{ii}^{-1}}$$
(50)

# 10 Input options

System setup options				
mesh	add			
	Dynamics options			
do_ld gamma_langevin do_bd	do_bd_particles time_step nouter	ninner lipid_mc_period		
	Reaction-diffusion option	ons		
do₋rd	rxn_diffusion			
	Miscellaneous options	S		
disable_mesh				
All-a	tom/coarse-grained molecular con	struction options		
create_all_atom	do₋rim	patchPDB		

System setup options

# 10.1 System construction options

Option: mesh

Default: planar.mesh

Specifies the file name of the mesh to use for the simulation.

Input options Dynamics options

Option: add Default: N/A

Adds particles/complexes to the membrane.

Syntax: add <complex\_name> nbound <nbound> <inside/outside>

### 10.2 Dynamics options

Option: do\_ld
Default: off

Activates the Langevin dynamical thermostat to propagate both surfaces and particles.

Option: gamma\_langevin
Default: 10 AKMA time

Sets the value of  $\gamma$ , the coupling constant that controls the rate of collisions with the virtual solvent.

The units are in AKMA time.

Option: do\_bd Default: off

Activates Brownian dynamics to propagate membrane and particles.

Option: do\_bd\_particles

Default: off

Activates Brownian dynamics only for particles (rather than the membrane).

Option: time\_step

Default: one nanosecond

Time step used to propagate dynamics. Too large a time step in the system will lead to positive feedback of high forces and large motions, crashing the system. Appropriate timesteps will conserve energy (when not employing a thermostat). Too small timesteps will waste computational resources.

The default will rarely be appropriate.

Option: nouter Default: 10000

Number of "outer" steps of dynamics. Trajectory information is written every nouter steps. The total number of time steps is nouter  $\times$  ninner.

Option: ninner Default: 10000

Number of "inner" steps of dynamics. Each outer loop of dynamics loops over this ninner time steps.

The total number of time steps is nouter  $\times$  ninner.

Option: lipid\_mc\_period

Default: 10000

Number of "inner" steps of dynamics. Each outer loop of dynamics loops over this ninner time steps.

The total number of time steps is nouter  $\times$  ninner.

# 10.3 Reaction diffusion options

Option: do\_rd
Default: off

Activates reaction/diffusion methodology.

**Option:** rxn\_diffusion

Default: none

Input file for reaction diffusion. Currently the format is:

reactant\_name1 site\_type1 reactant\_name2 site\_type2 k\_on(vol/s) k\_off(/s) binding\_radius(Angs)

productName(or generic instructions)

# 10.4 Miscellaneous options

Option: disable\_mesh

Default: off

Disables all propagation of mesh coordinates.

## 10.5 All-atom/coarse-grain molecular construction options

Option: create\_all\_atom

Default: no

Following any requested dynamics, creates an all-atom (or coarse-grained) molecular structure of the system. This option requires a number of other options.

Option: do\_rim
Default: no

Creates a "hemi-fusion" diaphragm style rim when rendering an all-atom structure. The rim must be centered at  $\{x=0,y=0,z=0\}$ .

Option: patchpdb Default: none

Specifies the PDB to use for the bilayer during molecular construction.

# 11 Bibliography

# References

[1] Feng Feng and William S. Klug. Finite element modeling of lipid bilayer membranes. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 220(1):394–408, 2006.