

Creating an Evolution Simulator for Protein Low Complexity Regions and Attempting to Utilize it for an Approximate Bayesian Computation

Alexander Turco

December 5, 2022

Overview

Background Information

Simulating LCR Evolution

Applications to ABC-MCMC

Conclusions

Future Work

What are Low Complexity Regions?

Saccharomyces cerevisiae SRP40 Protein LCRs

>CAA82171.1(25-125) complexity=0.92 (15/1.90/2.20)

ss
sssssssdsssssesdsssssgsssssssssdesssesesede

>CAA82171.1(149-282) complexity=1.33 (15/1.90/2.20)

essssesssssgsssssesesgsesdsdsssssssssdssdsesdsqsssssssssdss
dsdsssssdsssdsssssssssssdssdsdsssdsssdsgsssdsssssdssdestssds
sdssdsdsgssse

>CAA82171.1(298-316) complexity=2.18 (15/1.90/2.20)

tpassnestpsasssssan

LCRs Present in Unique Ways

Homorepeats

Consecutive iterations of a single residue



Direpeats

Consecutive iterations of two ordered, different residues




Imperfect Repeats

Regions in which the repeat units are not the same



LCRs are Hypermutable




mam domain	Size (bp)	Amino Acid Substitutions	Amino Acid/ Total Substitutions
Unique	933	26	0.15
Repetitive	810	47	0.42


¹Newfeld, Smoller, and Yedvobnick, 1991

Proposed Mechanisms of LCR Evolution

1. *Polymerase Slippage/Slipped Strand Mismatching*



Polymerase Slips Forward



Polymerase Slips Backwards


² Levinson and Gutman, 1987; Sehn, 2015

Proposed Mechanisms of LCR Evolution


2. Unequal Recombination



Why Care about LCRs and their Evolution?



Huntington's Disease



Genetic Recombination



Neisseria meningitidis

What we Did in this Study

- ▶ Utilized C++ to build an evolution simulator which altered protein sequences via point mutations, insertions, and deletions
- ▶ Tested the simulator with various insertion/deletion rates and mutation rates
- ▶ Attempted to program an ABC-MCMC in C++ using the evolution simulator as an important step in the algorithm, in order to estimate parameters like mutation and indel rates.

LCR Simulator Overall Process

- 1 mutation rate = 0.14 indel rate = 0.14
Random Protein Sequence
GGAGGGAQ

LCR Simulator Overall Process

- 1 mutation rate = 0.14 indel rate = 0.14
Random Protein Sequence
GGAGGGAQ
- 2 Assign Exponential Deviates
mutation deviates = (0.83, 1.82, 2.35, 0.54, 0.98, 0.76, 1.53, 2.34)
indel deviates = (0.21, 1.21, 1.49, 0.86, 0.97, 1.13, 0.53, 0.35)
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = mutation rate
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = length of repeat * indel rate

LCR Simulator Overall Process

- 1 mutation rate = 0.14 indel rate = 0.14
Random Protein Sequence
GGAGGGAQ
- 2 Assign Exponential Deviates
mutation deviates = (0.83, 1.82, 2.35, 0.54, 0.98, 0.76, 1.53, 2.34)
indel deviates = (0.21, 1.21, 1.49, 0.86, 0.97, 1.13, 0.53, 0.35)
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = mutation rate
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = length of repeat * indel rate
- 3 Point Mutation, Insertion, or Deletion
Lowest value deviate = Residue that mutates fastest


LCR Simulator Overall Process

- 1 mutation rate = 0.14 indel rate = 0.14
Random Protein Sequence
GGAGGGAQ
- 2 Assign Exponential Deviates
mutation deviates = (0.83, 1.82, 2.35, 0.54, 0.98, 0.76, 1.53, 2.34)
indel deviates = (0.21, 1.21, 1.49, 0.86, 0.97, 1.13, 0.53, 0.35)
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = mutation rate
 $\exp(\beta)$, where β = length of repeat * indel rate
- 3 Point Mutation, Insertion, or Deletion
Lowest value deviate = Residue that mutates fastest
- 4 Upon point mutation, insertion, or deletion, scan the sequence again to see if the landscape of the sequence was affected, assign new deviates to affected amino acids only.

LCR Simulator Results


ii0.1

LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy



ii0.5


LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy



LCR Simulator Results 2


ii1

LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy




ii2

LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy




ii10

LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy




LCR Simulator Results 3


im0.01



im0.1




im0.5




LCR Simulator Results 4

im1 LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy




im2 LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy



im10

LCRInfo — Avg Entropy of LCRs — Number of LCRs — Sequence Entropy



Bayesian Statistics Overview

Bayesian Statistics: Model-based statistical inference

$$p(D|\theta) \tag{1}$$

Likelihood

Bayesian Statistics Overview

Bayesian Statistics: Model-based statistical inference

$$p(D|\theta) \tag{1}$$

Likelihood

$$p(\theta|D) \tag{2}$$


Posterior

Why use an ABC-MCMC

- ▶ The increasing complexity and magnitude of available data can make the likelihood difficult to calculate

$$p(D|\theta)$$

Why use an ABC-MCMC



- ▶ Calculation of the likelihood is replaced with a simulation step

MCMC for ABC

- 1 Propose a move from θ to θ' according to a transition kernel $q(\theta, \theta')$.
- 2 Generate simulated dataset D' using θ' and calculate S' .
- 3 If $\rho(S', S) \leq \epsilon$ continue to 4, otherwise remain at θ and go to 1.
- 4 Calculate

$$\alpha(\theta, \theta') = \min(1, \frac{\pi(\theta')q(\theta', \theta)}{\pi(\theta)q(\theta, \theta')})$$



- 5 Accept θ' with probability α , otherwise stay at θ .
- 6 Return to 1.

⁴ Marjoram et al., 2003

MCMC for ABC: Modified Algorithm

- 1 Propose a move from θ to θ' according to the normal distribution $N(0.0, 1.0)$
- 2 Create and mutate a random protein sequence using θ' to generate the simulated Dataset D' - do this 1000 times per newly proposed parameter value.
- 3 Calculate summary statistics for simulated dataset D' (average of all 1000 vectors of summary statistics)
- 4 If $d(S', S) < \text{previous_distance}$, go to next step, otherwise employ a one-sample t-test to assess the probability of accepting a larger distance. If the newly proposed distance is close to the previous distance, there is a higher chance we accept the value, otherwise we reject.
- 5 Accept θ'
- 6 Return to step 1


ABC MCMC Preliminary Results



Conclusions

Future Work

- ▶ Graphical representations of simulation iteration versus parameter values
- ▶ Implementation of weighted summary statistics in distance calculation
- ▶ Adjustment of values such as mean and standard deviation of the proposal distribution



Acknowledgements

- ▶ Dr. Brian Golding
- ▶ Sam Long
- ▶ Zachery Dickson
- ▶ Johanna Enright