

CELLS AT WAR: THE PLAYFULNESS OF GAME-BASED LEARNING

BIANCA FLAIM, ALEXANDER TURCO AND ROSA DA SILVA

March 5, 2023

¹ Department of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

Contents

Abstract	3
Literature Review/Proposal	4
Gamification of Learning	4
What Happens to Play Beyond the Formative Years?	4
Do Games Help or Hurt Learning: The Debate Over Game-Based Learning	5
The Benefits of Using Video Games at the Post-secondary Level	5
Materials and Methods	6
Study Setting and Context	6
Video Game Design/Creation	7
Study Participants	7
Survey Design: Qualitative Analysis of Student Feedback	7
Results	7
Discussion	7
Future Directions	7
References	8

Abstract

After many years of trying to adapt to educational innovations of the 21st Century, educators will soon be looking into the not too distant future and considering what modern learning in post-secondary education will look like in the 22nd Century. Technological advances will continue to transform the landscape of the classroom and the use of digital games, although a source of controversy and debate, will prove to be highly effective instructional tools that can promote problem solving skills and critical thinking. Their use has already started to change the structure of the class in the elementary classroom as they serve to energize and engage students in their learning and improve learning, creativity and imagination. The traditional construct of the classroom has started to evolve, embracing a new way in which knowledge, skills and attitudes can be acquired. Cells at War is a collection of scientific video games developed through interdisciplinary efforts that reinforces the notion that video games are highly effective tools used to enhance instructional methods and engage students in the Biology classroom.

Literature Review/Proposal

Gamification of Learning

Although the use of technology has become prevalent in schools across Canada, using game-based learning as an instructional approach is still in its infant stages. Educators are reticent to embrace digital technology and gaming as the new norm in the classroom due to insufficient technical competence, as well as challenges they face in selecting appropriate games (Jääskä and Aaltonen 2022; Molin 2017). In addition, educators are rarely involved in the development of these games, making it difficult to facilitate suitable learning in the classroom (Molin 2017).

Kindergarten classrooms in Ontario are bustling places of activity, reflective of active inquiry through play. Exploration and provocations drive their curiosity while simultaneously developing their oral language and critical thinking skills (Ontario Ministry of Education 2016). We believe that play is paramount for children in their formative years and educational theorists like Vygotsky affirm this. He was firm in his belief that play is the leading line of development during preschool years (Vygotsky 1967). Unfortunately, in many classrooms, educators continue to feel more comfortable engaging in antiquated and traditional methods of instruction that consist of a didactic approach to learning. Some educators may be fearful that incorporating game-based learning into the curriculum will undermine their authority in the classroom (Jääskä and Aaltonen 2022; Chee et al. 2014; Jong 2016). The need to challenge current educational practices is paramount as it appears some educators are currently teaching students from the perspective of their own past rather than the realities of the students' future.

What Happens to Play Beyond the Formative Years?

The need to engage and motivate learners does not cease to be a need in a student's educational career. However, what does become a priority in higher academic years are measurable student outcomes (Ball 2012; Shore 2010; Leather et al. 2021). Tests and quizzes take precedence over the formative process of learning. Assessment of learning (tests and quizzes) dominates an educator's instructional practices which in turn diminishes the value of the experiential learning process (assessment as learning). Assessment methods seem to be based on antiquated teaching practices, which have been influenced by our Victorian educational heritage that is rooted in a persistent view of fear of play (Wood 2013). This rigidity in instructional norms appears to have taken hold in our classrooms which diminishes the value and importance of games in the classroom. Reich (2020) argues that schools are complex and conservative institutions that have little incentive to adopt or test novel approaches.

It can be argued that this fear of play is also due in large part to limited resources and training being provided to educators (Fishman et al. 2014). Teachers require technological and pedagogical supports to develop their understanding of game based learning in the classroom. The expectation therefore to incorporate new and innovative teaching methodologies in the classroom is unrealistic without providing teachers with the appropriate training needed to do so. Incorporating game based learning in

teacher practice is impacted by a lack of professional development opportunities that can systematically guide them in using games for teaching, learning, and assessment (Fishman et al. 2014; Ruggiero 2013; Foster and Shah 2020). In order to develop teacher proficiency in game-based learning, further professional development is required in the form of pedagogical training in order to understand how to develop and utilize game-based learning practices.

Do Games Help or Hurt Learning: The Debate Over Game-Based Learning

The debate of whether game based learning helps or hinders learning is ongoing. What comes into question in opposition to game based learning is that it serves to act as a source of entertainment and amusement rather than for educative purposes (Lister 2015; Mayer and Johnson 2010). Many individuals associate play with children, and therefore believe that play is trivial and unimportant (Prensky 2001). It appears one does not learn to play, but rather plays to learn. Play is where a spark is ignited and the source in which curiosity is peaked. It is not silly, trivial or irrelevant but has a biological and evolutionary function which has a strong connection to learning (Prensky 2001). It is, as Danny Hillis, founder of Thinking Machines and a former Disney Fellow has stated something every single culture does (Prensky 2001).

Arguing the merits behind the development and creation of digital games for use in post-secondary classrooms can appear effortless. However, what cannot be overlooked at times is that educators spend a great deal of time planning and executing engaging lessons which can become time consuming and onerous. McKeachie and Svinicki (2013) argue that the biggest barrier to the use of games therefore is logistic. Although games can be fun and engaging, we know that our classrooms today are comprised of diverse students with varying needs and a one size fits all approach does not work.

The Benefits of Using Video Games at the Post-secondary Level

Rules, goals and objectives, outcomes, competition, interaction, and story are what Mark Prensky refers to as the six key structural elements of games (Prensky 2001). These powerful factors are what set games apart from other engaging activities. Traditional forms of educational media lack what video games provide, a high degree of interactivity (Coller and Scott 2009). Video games challenge individuals to work within specific parameters and respond to situations that occur in the game (Coller and Scott 2009). The way in which individuals respond to challenges presented in video games allows them to think critically, strategize, and work collaboratively in an interactive and engaging manner.

Video games are visually appealing, stimulating, and heighten our senses in many ways. We become addicted to the hormone boost our brains receive when we achieve results (Tomáška 2022). They allow us to learn through doing and creating. Like play, upon beginning a video game, one is completely immersed into a whole new world without boundaries (Coller and Scott 2009). Each player is engaged in a creative process where they are free to discover the limitless possibilities contained

within the game. In a school setting, we are often taught there is one solution or right answer or way of doing something. Video games challenge this by giving players multiple entry points and pathways to consider.

The growing evidence of the effectiveness of video games in the classroom is emerging, and the value of game based learning is evident throughout various disciplines. In 2005, Northern Illinois University began teaching an undergraduate course in Numerical Methods using a video game called “NIU-Torcs” (Coller and Scott 2009). Students were observed spending twice the average amount of time on coursework than other subject areas (Coller and Scott 2009). Other games are being developed for nursing education which focus on developing medication calculation skills. This is an area of global concern perhaps due to test anxiety and low mathematical self-efficacy in nursing students (Foss et al. 2013). Further, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, educators were compelled to find alternative ways to supplement hands-on learning experiences in an online setting. In a study completed at McMaster University, virtual reality (VR) headsets were used to engage in a 3D laboratory simulation which allowed for open-ended investigations in a range of STEM fields such as chemistry, biology, and physics (Tsirulnikov et al. 2023). Tsirulnikov et al. (2023) noted higher levels of engagement and motivation through these VR simulations. Game based learning not only provides students with infinite possibilities for discovery but sustains student interest, builds confidence, and helps to improve cognition and learning outcomes (Divjak and Tomić 2011).

The long-term positive effects of Game-Based Learning will continue to be researched and studied. Although empirical evidence is slowly emerging but still limited, studies and research to date are proving that Game-Based Learning has the potential to transform post secondary classrooms. A learning environment in which students are able to take risks, explore, discover, fail and try again is achieved through a collaborative and interactive process between student and teacher.

Materials and Methods

Study Setting and Context

Results from this study were obtained utilizing a first-year cellular and molecular biology course (BIO1A03) at McMaster University (Ontario, Canada). BIO1A03 provides first year students with a strong cell and molecular biology foundation that is required for most upper level courses in the Faculty of Science at McMaster. Enrollment in BIO1A03 tends to be high, with approximately 700 students taking the course during both the fall (September-December) and winter (January-April) semesters. Due to the lengthy process of video game design, we were limited to collecting data solely from students enrolled in the winter semester for this study.

It is worthwhile to note the current course format of BIO1A03. Prior to 2014, BIO1A03 followed a traditional, didactic format, which consisted of three 50 minute in-person lectures per week, accompanied by a bi-weekly, three-hour laboratory session (Tahir et al. 2022). In 2013, BIO1A03 was converted into a Blended Learning course format, which included independent, self-paced learning through prerecorded modules, and face-to-face learning in the classroom with a heavier focus on

student collaboration and engagement (Tahir et al. [2022](#)). Course content previously delivered during lecture was condensed into two weekly modules which students were required to complete prior to attending in-person lectures. In-person lectures were restructured and minimized to two per week. One of these sessions consisted of a review lecture, where students could clarify concepts they found difficult to understand, while the other focused on applying theoretical concepts that students had learned to a real world concept. In a study highlighting the impacts of blended learning in BIO1A03 at McMaster, Tahir et al. ([2022](#)) noted that the majority of students surveyed after taking the course felt that these novel blended learning approaches enabled them to improve general knowledge and understanding of core concepts in cellular and molecular biology.

Video Game Design/Creation

The conversion to a blended learning approach in BIO1A03 allowed for a seamless transition to online-learning in 2019 when the COVID-19 pandemic began. During this time, the BIO1A03 instructional team began exploring other novel pedagogical approaches which could help improve student learning. The idea of using digital games to improve education has been around for quite some time, however the controversy surrounding video games in this context is still apparent.

In 2021, the BIO1A03 instructional team partnered up with the George Brown College School of Design, and received support from CEWIL Canada (Co-operative Education and Work-Integrated Learning Canada), formerly known as CAFCE (Canadian Association for Co-operative Education), to create and develop an educational biological video game that could be used in BIO1A03.

Study Participants

Survey Design: Qualitative Analysis of Student Feedback

Results

Discussion

Future Directions

References

- Ball S J (2012). *Politics and policy making in education: Explorations in sociology*. Routledge.
- Chee Y S, Mehrotra S, and Ong J C (2014). Facilitating dialog in the game-based learning classroom: Teacher challenges reconstructing professional identity.
- Coller B D and Scott M J (2009). Effectiveness of using a video game to teach a course in mechanical engineering. *Computers & Education* 53(3), 900–912.
- Divjak B and Tomić D (2011). The impact of game-based learning on the achievement of learning goals and motivation for learning mathematics-literature review. *Journal of information and organizational sciences* 35(1), 15–30.
- Fishman B, Riconscente M, Snider R, Tsai T, and Plass J (2014). Empowering educators: Supporting student progress in the classroom with digital games. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan. Retrieved from <http://gamesandlearning.umich.edu/agames>.
- Foss B, Mordt P, Oftedal B F, Løkken A, et al. (2013). Medication calculation: the potential role of digital game-based learning in nurse education. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing* 31(12), 589–593.
- Foster A and Shah M (2020). Principles for advancing game-based learning in teacher education. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education* 36(2), 84–95.
- Jääskä E and Aaltonen K (2022). Teachers' experiences of using game-based learning methods in project management higher education. *Project Leadership and Society* 3, 100041.
- Jong M S (2016). Teachers' concerns about adopting constructivist online game-based learning in formal curriculum teaching: The VISOLE experience. *British Journal of Educational Technology* 47(4), 601–617.
- Leather M, Harper N, and Obee P (2021). A pedagogy of play: Reasons to be playful in postsecondary education. *Journal of Experiential Education* 44(3), 208–226.
- Lister M (2015). Gamification: The effect on student motivation and performance at the post-secondary level. *Issues and Trends in Educational Technology* 3(2).
- Mayer R E and Johnson C I (2010). Adding instructional features that promote learning in a game-like environment. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* 42(3), 241–265.
- McKeachie W and Svinicki M (2013). *McKeachie's teaching tips*. Cengage Learning.
- Molin G (2017). The role of the teacher in game-based learning: A review and outlook. *Serious Games and Edutainment Applications: Volume II*, 649–674.
- Ontario Ministry of Education (2016). *The Kindergarten Program*.
- Prensky M (2001). Fun, play and games: What makes games engaging. *Digital game-based learning* 5(1), 5–31.
- Reich J (2020). *Failure to disrupt: Why technology alone can't transform education*. Harvard University Press.
- Ruggiero D (2013). Video games in the classroom: The teacher point of view. In: *Games for Learning workshop of the Foundations of Digital Games conference, Chania, Greece*.

- Shore C (2010). Beyond the multiversity: Neoliberalism and the rise of the schizophrenic university. *Social Anthropology/Anthropologie Sociale* 18(1), 15–29.
- Tahir I, Van Mierlo V, Radauskas V, Yeung W, Tracey A, and Silva R da (2022). Blended learning in a biology classroom: Pre-pandemic insights for post-pandemic instructional strategies. *FEBS Open bio* 12(7), 1286.
- Tomáška L (2022). Let's bring games into university classrooms: Specifically adapted games could greatly enhance teaching in higher education. *EMBO reports* 23(11), e55730.
- Tsirulnikov D, Suart C, Abdullah R, Vulcu F, and Mullarkey C E (2023). Game on: Immersive virtual laboratory simulation improves student learning outcomes & motivation. *FEBS Open Bio*.
- Vygotsky L S (1967). Play and its role in the mental development of the child. *Soviet psychology* 5(3), 6–18.
- Wood E A (2013). *Play, Learning and the Early Childhood Curriculum*: SAGE Publications. *Play, Learning and the Early Childhood Curriculum*, 1–208.