

Your Name:	Alex Veit	Date:	6/17/2013
Group Name:	Group 2	Project & Sprint: (e.g. 'Project 1, Sprint 1')	Project 1, Sprint 2

**\*\*\* YOU MUST USE MICROSOFT WORD 2010 OR LATER TO EDIT AND SAVE THIS DOCUMENT! \*\*\***

**Submission Instructions:** You must upload a PDF of this form . Name your file according to the following scheme:

LASTNAME-2013-MM-DD-PeerReview.pdf

where "LASTNAME" is your last name, "MM" is the TWO-DIGIT month, and "DD" is the TWO-DIGIT day.

**Form Instructions:** You must evaluate each group member – INCLUDING YOURSELF – in the space provided below. First, fill in the names of yourself and your team members; then assign a rating for each category on a 5-point scale (0 being the worst, 5 being absolutely perfect). **NOTE: No team member is ever 'perfect'. Simply assigning all 5s or scores that add up to 35 or higher are unlikely and must be justified or they will be viewed poorly.**

	Yourself	Member Two	Member Three	Member Four
First Name:	Alex	Damon	Paul	Karl
Last Name:	Veit	Heard	Amtoime	Loic

### **Personal Metrics**

	Rating (zero to five)	Rating (zero to five)	Rating (zero to five)	Rating (zero to five)
Attitude toward project and achieving a good result	3	3	1	3
Quality of work contributed by each team member	2	1	1	3
Attendance at meetings	5	4	4	5
Communication during meetings	4	3	1	4
Communication outside of meetings	2	1	1	3
Efforts to share work and contribute as "part of the team"	2	2	1	3
Ability to meet deadlines	3	1	1	3
Meeting the team's expectations	4	3	2	3
Total	25 (40 max)	18 (40 max)	12 (40 max)	27 (40 max)

### **Contribution Metrics**

Suppose you have 97 units of something desirable to distribute across your team in proportion to their overall contribution and effort. How would you distribute those 97 points for each of the following categories? The sum over all of the team members FOR EACH CATEGORY will be 97%; with no fractions. In other words, each ROW must add up to 97.

**NOTE: No team members ever contribute equally to a project. Simply assigning 32 – 32 – 33 to make it look even and avoid favoritism is not acceptable and will be viewed poorly – that means YOUR peer review score will be penalized for poor feedback.**

	Some portion of 97	Some portion of 97	Some portion of 97	Some portion of 97
Overall Contribution (0 points left to distribute)	28	30	7	32
Design & Planning (0 points left to distribute)	17	35	10	35
Development & Coding (0 points left to distribute)	35	25	0	37
System Admin. & Tech Support (0 points left to distribute)	30	30	7	30
Documentation & Paperwork (0 points left to distribute)	60	17	0	20

## **Descriptive Comments**

Answer each of the following in **complete sentences**. Your responses should be at least **several sentences in length**. You must provide **DESCRIPTIVE and QUALITATIVE** comments on each member's part in the team's work (including yourself!). Failure to provide meaningful and specific feedback, or simply giving "pro forma" praise such as "did a good job" will be viewed poorly.

<b>Major Contributions</b> <i>What did each member contribute to the project for this cycle?</i>	
Alex Veit	nothing other than assisting Karl with php semantic
Damon Heard	nothing
Paul Amtoime	nothing
Karl Loic	Wrote the code for file sharing, and types of users, e.g., student or professor
<b>Strengths &amp; Weaknesses</b> <i>What were each member's major strength(s) and weakness(es) for this cycle?</i>	
Alex Veit	Great programmer but too focused on development projects at work, not devoting much time to school project
Damon Heard	need more data
Paul Amtoime	need more data
Karl Loic	fast learner, focused, goal driven
<b>Attitude &amp; Teamwork</b> <i>Describe each member's attitude, personality, and ability to work in a team.</i>	
Alex Veit	Kept positive and present in meetings but lost all hope that our team can accomplish anything worthy or even meaningful
Damon Heard	funny individual, willing to do research and learn
Paul Amtoime	need more data
Karl Loic	probably the only person that can see a light at the end of the tunnel