Contextual bandits

David S. Rosenberg

NYU: CDS

March 12, 2021

Contents

The contextual bandit problem

2 Policies

The contextual bandit problem

The contextual bandit

A contextual bandit problem proceeds in rounds of the following steps:

- Observe input/context x.
- Take action a.
- **3** Receive reward $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Action a may depends on x and previously observed (x, a, r) triples.

Example: news article recommendation

- Consider a news website.
- Every day there are 10 top stories.
- We want to highlight one for each user.
 - Choice should be **personalized**.
- What is the action?
 - Selecting one of the top 10 stories
- What is the context?
- What is the reward?

The context

- What is the context?
 - Information about the user, if any (e.g. demographics)
 - Geographic location
 - User identifier (we can learn latent features, collaborative filtering style)
- Can we use things about an individual that may change over the rounds of a bandit?
 - possibly as a result of our actions?
 - e.g. recent reading history; e.g. articles (from previous rounds) shared with friends
- No this would take us out of the contextual bandit framework.
- Reinforcement learning (RL) is a more general framework that allows for this.
 - i.i.d. contexts are replaced by "states" that may evolve over time.
- We'll give a brief introduction to RL in a later module.

The reward

- What can we use as a reward signal?
- Click (Y/N)
- Spent more than 30 seconds on article page (Y/N)
- More complicated function of time spent reading article
- Was article shared or favorited? (Y/N)
- Figuring out the right reward signal is nontrivial.
 - Requires domain understanding.
 - May need tweaking over time.

Context / reward examples

- User 325. $x = \{\text{Likes sports articles}\}\$.
- Actions / rewards
 - Action 1: "Tom Brady retirement" Reward: 10
 - Action 2: "Player has meltdown after argument" Reward: 2
 - Action 3: "Government considers ban for actor using drugs" Reward: 3
- User 823. $x = \{Likes human-interest stories\}$
- Actions / rewards
 - Action 1: "Tom Brady retirement" Reward: 1
 - Action 2: "Player has meltdown after argument" Reward: 5
 - Action 3: "Government considers ban for actor using drugs" Reward: 0

DS-GA 3001: Tools and Techniques for ML The contextual bandit problem

Context / reward examples

Context / reward examples
User 325. x = (Likes sports articles). Action: / rewards Action: 1: Tion Boaly retirement: Reward: 10 Action: 2: Player has retiridous after argament Reward: 2 Action 3: Comment considerable and few actions drawn? Reward: 3
User 823. x = (Likes huma-interest stories) Actions / rewards Actions / rewards Action : 'Tome Brady retirement' Reward: 1 Action 2: 'Player has residence after argument' Reward: 5 Action 3: 'Covenment consider has for action using drags' Reward: 0

- In the terminology of our discussion of causal inference, the reward for each action is a potential outcome.
- We only get to observe the reward corresponding to the action we took (or "treatment" given, in the causal inference terminology).
- Terminology note: Some authors refer to the outcomes we don't observe as "counterfactual" (e.g. [MW15, Ch. 2]).
- Other authors use "counterfactual" to refer to all the potential outcomes that can happen (e.g. [HR20, p. 4]. And one of these counterfactuals, the observed outcome, is also "factual".
- Some authors are careful to avoid the word "counterfactual" because of this ambiguity.
- Just be aware of the different usages it doesn't matter that much.

The rewards

Conditioned on a context $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

- a reward is generated for each possible action $a \in \mathcal{A} = \{1, ..., k\}$.
- These *k* rewards are represented by **reward vector**

$$R = (R(1), \ldots, R(k)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$
.

• We only observe one entry: R(A), where A is the action we play.

Probabilistic model for contextual bandit

- Context and reward vector are related:
 - The same action will get different rewards in different contexts.

Stochastic contextual k-armed bandit model

- Context and reward vector $(X, R) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ drawn jointly from P.
- Context and reward pairs are i.i.d. over time:

$$(X, R), (X_1, R_1), \dots, (X_t, R_t)$$
 i.i.d. $\sim P$.

Action selection

- Action at round t is A_t .
- At beginning of round t, the history, or previous observation sequence is

$$\mathcal{D}_t = \Big((X_1, A_1, R_1(A_1)), \dots, (X_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, R_{t-1}(A_{t-1})) \Big).$$

- At round t, action A_t may depend on context X_t and history \mathcal{D}_t .
- Note that we cannot say $A_t \perp \!\!\! \perp R_t \text{why}$?
- Because A_t depends on X_t , and R_t depends on X_t .
 - Information about R_t can propagate to A_t through X_t .

Action and reward are conditionally independent given context

We can say that $A_t \perp \!\!\! \perp R_t \mid X_t$ for each t.

DS-GA 3001: Tools and Techniques for ML —The contextual bandit problem

Le contextual bandit problem

Action selection

Action of a A_i .

Action of a A_i beginning of sund a_i to bilitary, or provious observation sequence is $2x_i = ((M_i, M_i, R(i_i), ..., (M_i, L_i, L_i, L_i, L_i, L_i, L_i)))$.

At itself a_i such a_i and separation context X_i and binary X_i .

Note that a_i can A_i are A_i and A_i

• Note that $A \perp\!\!\!\perp R \mid X$ is the exact counterpart to the "ignorability" assumption in causal inference: $(Y(0),Y(1)) \perp\!\!\!\perp W \mid X$. The reward vector $R=(R(1),\ldots,R(k)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ corresponds to the potential outcome vector $(Y(0),Y(1)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The action $A \in \mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the treatment indicator $W \in \{0,1\}$, and the covariate $X \in \mathcal{X}$ has the same interpretation in each setting.

Stochastic k-armed contextual bandit

Stochastic k-armed contextual bandit

Environment samples context and rewards vector jointly, iid, for each round:

$$(X,R),(X_1,R_1),\ldots,(X_T,R_T)\in \mathfrak{X}\times\mathbb{R}^k$$
 i.i.d. from P ,

where $R_t = (R_t(1), \ldots, R_t(k)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

- ② For t = 1, ..., T,
 - **1** Our algorithm **selects action**/arm $A_t \in \{1, ..., k\}$ based on X_t and history

$$\mathcal{D}_t = \Big((X_1, A_1, R_1(A_1)), \dots, (X_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, R_{t-1}(A_{t-1})) \Big).$$

- ② Our algorithm receives reward $R_t(A_t)$.
- We never observe $R_t(a)$ for $a \neq A_t$.
- This is called **stochastic** because the rewards are selected randomly.

DS-GA 3001: Tools and Techniques for ML The contextual bandit problem

└─Stochastic *k*-armed contextual bandit

Stochastic k-armed contestand bandit Stochastic k-armed contestand bandit $(K,R)(K,R_0),...(K,p,p_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^k$ i.i.d. from P, where $R_+(R(R),R(R)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. For $\ell = -1, -1, -1$, $\ell = -1,$

- It might look cleaner to say that at the beginning of every round, the environment generates $(X_t, R_t) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ from P. But we want to be very clear that $(X_1, R_1), \ldots, (X_T, R_T)$ are
 - 1. generated i.i.d. and are
 - 2. generated before any of the actions $A_1, \ldots, A_{\mathcal{T}}$ are generated.

Policies

Policies

- Policies give some structure to action selection.
- A policy at round t
 - gives a conditional distribution over the action A_t to be taken
 - conditioned on the current context X_t and the history \mathfrak{D}_t .
- We'll denote the policy at round t as $\pi_t(\cdot \mid X_t, \mathcal{D}_t)$.
- Choosing an action according to policy π_t means we choose A_t randomly s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}(A_t = a) = \pi(a \mid X_t, \mathfrak{D}_t).$$

Optimal policy

Suppose we knew the function

$$r(a, x) = \mathbb{E}[R \mid A = a, X = x],$$

which gives the expected reward for any action a and context x.

• Then optimal policy would be

$$\pi_t(a \mid X_t, \mathcal{D}_t) = \mathbb{1}\left[a = \underset{a}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} r(a, X_t)\right].$$

Example: "direct method"

• We don't know r(a,x), but we can use \mathcal{D}_t as training data:

$$\left(\underbrace{(X_1,A_1)}_{\text{input}},\underbrace{R_1(A_1)}_{\text{response}}\right),\ldots,\left(\underbrace{(X_{t-1},A_{t-1})}_{\text{input}},\underbrace{R_{t-1}(A_{t-1})}_{\text{response}}\right).$$

- Approximating r(a,x) is a regression problem!
- Let $\hat{r}_t(x, a) = \text{TrainingAlgorithm}(\mathcal{D}_t)$.
- The policy for the direct method is defined as

$$\pi_t(a \mid X_t, \mathcal{D}_t) := \mathbb{1} \left[a = \arg\max_{a} \hat{r}_t(x, a) \right].$$

• This is a pure exploitation method.

Some other approaches

- ε -greedy is an obvious extension of the direct method.
- Thompson sampling: prior is over models $\hat{r}_t(x, a)$
 - equivalently, prior is over model parameters
- Policy gradient: directly optimizing over the policy to improve expected reward
 - we'll return to this in a few weeks as a warm-up for REINFORCE.

References

Resources

- The term contextual bandit was introduced in [LZ07], but the idea has been around much longer.
- A nice history of contextual bandits is given in [TM17], which cites a 1979 paper as the first appearance of contextual bandits.

References I

- [HR20] Miguel A. Hernán and James M. Robins, *Causal inference: What if*, Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2020, https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/miguel-hernan/causal-inference-book/.
- [LZ07] John Langford and Tong Zhang, The epoch-greedy algorithm for contextual multi-armed bandits, Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (Red Hook, NY, USA), NIPS'07, Curran Associates Inc., 2007, pp. 817–824.
- [MW15] Stephen L. Morgan and Christopher Winship, *Counterfactuals and causal inference*, 2 ed., Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [TM17] Ambuj Tewari and Susan A. Murphy, From ads to interventions: Contextual bandits in mobile health, Mobile Health, pp. 495–517, Springer International Publishing, 2017.