Code Review Report

*Assessment 2 - CMP1903M Object Oriented Programming, Mathews Joy*

*(The code review process was done by cloning the code repositories from GitHub and submitting a pull request with changes to be made / sending an informal email with changes to be made)*

1. Who did you provide reviews for.

Mitchell Seng Student ID 25300387, Alex Wright Student ID 25186998

1. How many reviews you received, and who were they from.

Received reviews from : Mitchell Seng, Alex Wright

1. Were the reviews you received helpful/not helpful?

All of the review I had received where overall very helpful, each person that reviewed my code efficiently highlighted the positives and negatives to the code I had written and gave me a different way to view my code from others perspectives. Allowing me to further identify mistakes/errors I otherwise wouldn’t have seen.

1. What did you consider changing/modifying after the reviews?

A lot of my comments where positive, which I was pleased with. However one review had received was “the program will accept anything, maybe set it up so it only accepts yes or no only”. Although this comment was labelled as a ‘Nit’ (nit-picking) I thought this was a valid suggestion as it meant the user couldn’t enter something by accident, so I improved my code by making my code be more strict on what user inputs is accepted. Furthermore another helpful review I had was that some of my variables/commenting were misspelled, especially in my comments. So after further checking I went through all my comments again and made sure to fix these mistakes, so that other reading my code in the future don’t get confused on what I’m trying to explain through my commenting.