# STAT C206B: Probability and Convexity

Alex Fu

Spring 2024

This set of course notes is based on Professor Steve Evans' lectures for STAT C206B: Probability and Convexity at UC Berkeley in the spring semester of 2024. All mistakes are my own.

# **Contents**

| 1 | Dirichlet Processes |                                     |    |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----|
|   | 1.1                 | Introduction                        | 5  |
|   | 1.2                 | Dirichlet distributions             | 5  |
|   | 1.3                 | Construction of Dirichlet processes | 7  |
|   | Proofs              |                                     |    |
|   | A.1                 | Chapter 1: Dirichlet Processes      | 11 |

4 CONTENTS

## Chapter 1

### **Dirichlet Processes**

#### 1.1 Introduction

We start with the notion of a convex set in a linear space. Recall that a **convex combination** (in a real vector space) is a linear combination of vectors whose coefficients are nonnegative and sum to 1. We say that a set is **convex** if it is closed under taking convex combinations. A standard example of a convex set is the set of all convex combinations of a given set of vectors *S*, which is called the **convex hull** of *S* and denoted by hull(*S*).

Let *K* be a compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 1.1.1.** An **extreme point** of *K* is a point in *K* that cannot be written as a nontrivial convex combination of other points.

**Fact 1.1.2.** Any point in *K* can be represented by a convex combination of the extreme points in *K*.

This representation is not necessarily unique. However, it is unique whenever K is a **simplex**. (For example, take K to be an interval in dimension d = 1, a triangle in dimension 2, a tetrahedron in dimension 3, and so on.)

Question 1.1.3. How does this extend to infinite dimensions? (And what does this have to do with probability?)

One straightforward observation is that convex combinations are sums whose weights form discrete probability distributions, but we will want something a bit more exciting than that. The idea of **convex representations** shows up a lot in probability; we will look at many examples of this phenomenon.

#### 1.2 Dirichlet distributions

The first example that we will consider is the *Dirichlet distribution* on the convex set of all probability distributions on a finite set.

**Notation 1.2.1.** Let  $\Delta^n$  denote the *n*-dimensional *unit simplex*,  $\{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., n, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \le 1\}$ . Let  $A^n \subset \Delta^n$  denote the *probability simplex* or *standard simplex* in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , namely

$$A^n := \text{hull}(\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}) = \left\{ \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1 \right\},$$

where  $\vec{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$  denotes the *i*th coordinate vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for each i = 1, ..., n.

**Notation 1.2.2.** Let [n] denote the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

With this notation, we can equivalently consider the set of all probability distributions on [n] to be  $A^n$ . It follows that a distribution on  $A^n$  determines a *random probability measure* on [n].

**Definition 1.2.3.** Given  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we say that a  $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ -valued random variable X has the **gamma distribution** with *shape parameter*  $\alpha$  and *scale parameter*  $\beta$  if it has probability density

$$\frac{\beta^{\alpha} x^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\beta x}, \quad \forall x \ge 0,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the usual gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Lemma 1.2.4.** Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be independent random variables, where each  $X_i$  has distribution  $\Gamma(\alpha_i, \beta)$ . Then  $X_1 + ... + X_n$  has distribution  $\Gamma(\alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n, \beta)$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we will be leaving many proofs to the appendix, including routine checks, solutions to exercises, and proofs that we do not deem relevant or necessary for the reader to know.

**Definition 1.2.5.** Given  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1} > 0$ , we say that the random vector  $(V_1, ..., V_{n+1})$  has the **Dirichlet distribution** with parameters  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1})$  if  $V_1 + \cdots + V_{n+1} = 1$  and the random vector  $(V_1, ..., V_n)$  has probability density

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\cdots\Gamma(\alpha_n)}v_1^{\alpha_1-1}\cdots v_n^{\alpha_n-1}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^n v_i\right)^{\alpha_{n+1}-1}\cdot \mathbb{1}\{(v_1,\ldots,v_n)\in\Delta^n\}.$$

This definition is motivated by the following equivalent but more constructive definition:

**Definition 1.2.6.** Let  $X_1, ..., X_{n+1}$  be independent random variables such that  $X_i \sim \Gamma(\alpha_i, \beta)$  for each i = 1, ..., n+1. Let  $S_i = X_1 + \cdots + X_i$  and  $V_i = X_i / S_{n+1}$  for each  $1 \le i \le n+1$ . Then  $(V_1, ..., V_{n+1})$  and  $S_{n+1}$  are independent, and we say that  $(V_1, ..., V_{n+1})$  has the **Dirichlet distribution** with parameters  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1})$ . In this case, we write

$$(V_1, \ldots, V_{n+1}) \sim \text{Dir}_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1})}.$$

Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of scale parameter  $\beta$ . For convenience, we will often take  $\beta = 1$ . Also, we may allow some but not all of the  $\alpha_i$  to be 0, where we adopt the convention that a random variable with distribution  $\Gamma(0, \beta)$  is identically 0.

*Remark* 1.2.7. It is somewhat of a miracle that the normalized vector  $(V_1, ..., V_{n+1})$  is independent of the normalizer  $S_{n+1}$ . This property in fact characterizes the gamma distribution; see Lukacs' proportion-sum independence theorem [1, 2]. (Also see [3] for a related characterization of the Dirichlet distribution.)

A key property of the Dirichlet distribution is the following:

**Lemma 1.2.8** (Aggregation). Suppose that V has the Dirichlet distribution with parameters  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1})$ . For some  $1 \le r \le n$ , let  $W_i = V_i$  for every  $1 \le i \le r$ , and let  $W_{r+1} = V_{r+1} + \cdots + V_{n+1}$ . Then W has the Dirichlet distribution with parameters  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r, \beta_{r+1})$ , where  $\beta_{r+1} = \alpha_{r+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{n+1}$ .

Iteratively applying this result, we see that "clumping together" entries in a Dirichlet random vector gives another Dirichlet random vector, whose parameters are given by "clumping together" the original parameters in the same manner. That is, if  $\phi: [n+1] \to [m+1]$  is a surjective function and we put  $U_j = \sum_{i:\phi(i)=j} V_i$  for every  $1 \le j \le m+1$ , then U has the Dirichlet distribution with parameters  $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{m+1})$  where  $\gamma_j = \sum_{i:\phi(i)=j} \alpha_i$ .

It is also worth noting that the Dirichlet distribution (with n+1 parameters) is the multivariate generalization of the beta distribution:

**Definition 1.2.9.** Given  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  > 0, we say that a random variable X has the **beta distribution** with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  if it takes values in [0,1] and has probability density function

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}.$$

In this case, we write  $X \sim B(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Note that the first component of a random vector with distribution  $Dir_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  has distribution  $B(\alpha,\beta)$ . More generally, the marginal distributions of a Dirichlet random vector are beta distributions:

**Lemma 1.2.10.** *If* 
$$(V_1, ..., V_{n+1}) \sim \text{Dir}_{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1})}$$
, then  $V_i \sim \text{B}(\alpha_i, (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha_j) - \alpha_i)$  for each  $i = 1, ..., n+1$ .

Informally, we remark that the aggregation property and the marginal distributions of the Dirichlet distribution make it in some sense "self-similar", like the multivariate Gaussian distribution.

In the next section, we will generalize Dirichlet distributions to the infinite-dimensional case. Such *Dirichlet processes* or **Dirichlet measures** form a class of distributions of random probability measures on a general measurable space  $(\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)$ . These distributions have applications in statistics, for example, in Bayesian nonparametrics.

### 1.3 Construction of Dirichlet processes

Let us first establish some preliminary notation:

**Definition 1.3.1** (Bayesian nonparametrics). Suppose X is a random variable, representing "data" taking values in a measurable space  $(\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)$ . Let the unknown distribution of X be P. Then P is the *parameter* in the nonparametric problem, and it takes values in  $\mathcal{P}$ , the collection of all probability measures on  $(\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{P}$  that is generated by sets of the form

$${P \in \mathcal{P} \mid P(A) < r}, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma, \quad \forall r \in [0, 1].$$

Then  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{C})$  is a measurable space. A probability measure v on  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{C})$  can be used as a *prior distribution* for P. The Bayesian solution is to compute the *posterior distribution*  $v^X$  of P given X, and use it for decision making.

We also define a *measurable partition* of  $\mathcal{X}$  to be a partition of  $\mathcal{X}$  into measurable subsets.

**Definition 1.3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of nonzero finite measures on  $(\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)$ , and let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ . We say that a probability distribution v on  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{C})$  is a **Dirichlet measure** with parameter  $\alpha$  if for every measurable partition  $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  into finitely many subsets, we have

(1.3.3) 
$$(P(B_1), ..., P(B_k)) \sim \text{Dir}_{(\alpha(B_1), ..., \alpha(B_k))}$$

under v (i.e., if  $P \sim v$ ). In this case, we denote v by  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ .

TODO: To be continued ...

# **Bibliography**

- [1] Eugene Lukacs. A characterization of the gamma distribution. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 26(2):319–324, 1955.
- [2] James E. Mosimann. On the compound multinomial distribution, the multivariate  $\beta$ -distribution, and correlations among proportions. *Biometrika*, 49(1–2):65–82, 1962.
- [3] Ian R. James and James E. Mosimann. A new characterization of the Dirichlet distribution through neutrality. *The Annals of Statistics*, 8(1):183–189, 1980.
- [4] Thomas S. Ferguson. A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1:209–230, 1973
- [5] Jayaram Sethuraman. A constructive definition of Dirichlet priors. Statistica Sinica, 4(2):639-650, 1994.
- [6] David Blackwell and James B. MacQueen. Ferguson distributions via Pólya urn schemes. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1(2):353–355, 1973.

10 BIBLIOGRAPHY

## Appendix A

## **Proofs**

### A.1 Chapter 1: Dirichlet Processes

*Proof of Lemma 1.2.4.* It suffices to prove the case of n = 2, after which the result follows by induction on n. So, let  $X_1 \sim \Gamma(\alpha_1, \beta)$  and  $X_2 \sim \Gamma(\alpha_2, \beta)$  be independent random variables. The probability density function of their sum is

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \frac{\beta^{\alpha_1}\beta^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_0^x t^{\alpha_1-1}(x-t)^{\alpha_2-1}e^{-\beta t}e^{-\beta(x-t)}\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{\beta^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)}e^{-\beta x} \int_0^1 (ux)^{\alpha_1-1}((1-u)x)^{\alpha_2-1}x\,\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{\beta^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)}e^{-\beta x}x^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha_1-1}(1-u)^{\alpha_2-1}\,\mathrm{d}u. \end{split}$$

From the definition of the beta distribution, we find that the last integral evaluates to  $\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)/\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)$ . Thus, the probability density function of  $X_1+X_2$  simplifies to

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} e^{-\beta x},$$

which is precisely the probability density function of a random variable with distribution  $\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta)$ .

TODO: To be continued ...