This research follows the methodogical approach defined in ASEE7-16 (ASCG, 2017). There de was Dong wind velouties in this downward the document before for met contention The ASCET-16 considers design wind velocities for various mean recurrence intervels, depending on the risk category of the structures sollows: HRI = 700 years for risk category I and I 1900 years for the PC III, and 3000 Summary Acknowledgements Preface Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Edzer Pebesma, first, for all the contributions to the open Models of extreme values are used for designing against the effects of extreme events like earthquakes, winds, rainfall, floods of different types of physical processes, see Beirlant, source community, considering that main work in this thesis was done using his R packages. Goegebeur, Teugels. & Segers (2004), avoiding widespread destruction and loss of lives, see especially sf. stars and ystat, and second, for all high level knowledge transmitted through Haigh & Wahl (2019). This research presents a applied case of univariate extreme value the subjects Spatial Data Science with R and Analysis of Spatio-Temporal Data, which were analysis, explained in detail in Smith (2004), applied to wind velocities for infrastructure the motivation and basis to carry out the investigation. design consequently, the main interest are probable future are extreme wind events, that Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Juan C Reyes for his contribution in selecting the research structures need to be able to resist. topic, and great contributions in information, methodology and support. This work in its theoretical and methodological component was directed by ASCE7-16 Engi-I would like to thank to: peers (2018), considering that output products will be used to update the chapter B.6, wind forces, of the Colombian structure design norm, see Ministerio de Vivienda (2010), man-Prof. Dr. Edzer Pebesma, Prof. Dr. Juan C. Reyes, and Prof. Dr. Sara Ribero, for tained by the Colombian Association of Seismie Engineering - AIS by its Spenish acronym. supervising my work and spending their valuable time for discussions and feedback, it was ASCE7 46, defines four risk categories, which implies the use of different wind loads (repreally a huge advantage to have that support always available, and a pleasure to work med a wind extreme values for different mean recurrence intervals) for structures that beside you. Dr. Adam Pintar, for sharing its related POT-PP R Code, and for devoting belong to each category, 3000 years of MRI for risk IV, 1700 years for risk III, and 700 years much of his time to reviewing and commenting on my progress. Dr. Joaquín Huerta for risk II and I of the soon of the Guijarro, because he always was available to help and he was very friendly and receptive. Dr. Christoph Brox, because he was beside me in the difficult moments of the incident This research has a particularly new situation regarding to the input data, and it is that not and the surgery. European Union -'Erasmus Mundus Grant', because their funding allow only time series of field measurements from meteorological stations are used (IDEAM data me to fulfill this dream to go further with my academic and professionals dreams. Engineer source), but also post-processed information coming from the Integrated Surface Database Juan David Sandoval for its valuable contributions. My mother Ligia made possible all ISD (USA database based on IDEAM data source) see Smith, Lott, & Vose 20113, and my achievements, because she was always there with love, support, and valuable advice, I forecast reanalysis data from ERA5, see European Centre For Medium-Range Weather Foream grateful with all my heart. My daughter Nicolle Chaely for its love, support, and casts (2017). This condition demanded a comparison of the different data sources, in order to always pleasant company. Family members as Elsa Manrique, Barbara Avellaneda, and verify the feasibility in the use of ERA5 and ISD, with a previous process of standardization Kevin Martinez, because they were an important source of motivation and support. To of wind velocities (only for IDEAM and ISD), to reach the needed requirement of 3-s wind all the beautiful people that shared with me different activities at San Antonius Church gust speed, 10 meters anemometer height, and terrain open space condition, of Münster, with special mention of father Alejandro Serrano Palacios for support and At each station the acad method Peaks Over Threshold - Poisson Process, required to identify friendship, and choir friends. all the non-thunderstorm events in the non-hurricane dataset, through a process of deall the non-thunderstorm events in the most extreme clustering choose a suitable threshold level to leave for the analysis only the most extreme values available, and then, fit to the data a intensity function, using maximum likelihood to find optimal parameters with the best goodness of fit. With the fitted model, it was possible to calculate return levels for required mean return intervals. Here, a process of spatial interpolation was done using Kriging, what allowed to have three continuous maps for the whole study area. wind velocities This research presents an application of univariate extreme value analysis to estimate wind velocities for infrastructure decign.

(Smith · Por ejemplo: The author is thankful to for for for for for for is highly appreciated. The author thank is highly appreciated.

Alex, creo que solo debena haber uno de cotos dos: Preface o' Abstract

Integrando

Son d redundantes

Table of Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Context and Background	1
		1.1.1 Sample Maxima	2
		1.1.2 Exceedances Over Threshold	2
		1.1.3 Poisson-GPD	2
	1.2	Problem Statement and Motvation	3
	1.3	Knowledge Gap	3
	1.4	Research Aim and Objectives	4
	1.5	Research Question	4
	1.6	Outline	5
_	_		
2	Data		7
	2.1	IDEAM	8
	2.2	ISD	10
	2.3	ERA5	12
	2.4	Data Download and Data Organization	13
3			
۰	3.1	Probability Conserve	14
	0.1	Probability Concepts	14
		3.1.1 Probability Density Function pdf	14
		Junitarive Distribution Function & cdf	15
		Tollit Function pnt	16
		- Lacard Filliciton of	17
	-	Collects for Extreme Analysis	18
			18
			19
	3.3	3.2.3 Compound Exceedance Probability Pn	19
	4	Extreme Value Analysis Overview 3.3.1 POT GPD	20
	3.4	Peaks Over Threshold D	É \
	•	Peaks Over Threshold Poisson Process POT-PP	22
	3.5	3.1. Threshold Selection Wind Loads Requirements	25
			25
4			
	4.1 I	Standardization	27
			29

		4.1.1	Anemonieter reight	
		4.1.2	Surface Roughness at Open Terrain (1988)	
		4.1.3	Averaging Time 3-s Gust	. ;
	4.2	Downs	scaling Support	. :
	4.3	Peaks	Over Threshold - Poisson Process (POT-PP)	. ;
		4.3.1	Declustering	. :
		4.3.2	Thresholding	. :
		4.3.3	Exclude No-Data Periods	. 3
		4.3.4	Fit Intensity Function	. 3
		4.3.5	Hazard Curve - Return Levels RL	. 3
			Two alternatives approaches for RL	. 3
	4.4	Spatial	Interpolation	. 3
	4.5	Integra	ation with Hurricane Data	. 3
_	_			
5	Res	ults an	nd Discussion	. 3
	5.1		Standardization and Downscaling Support	. 3
		5.1.1	Data Standardization	. 3
		5.1.2	Data Comparison	. 4
			DIAM VV AUT 2 - Quality Data Comparison	· ~
			IDGANIWW AUII IO - Worl Quality Data Comparison Pavaibible lin	\sim
	5.2	POT-P	PP for ISD Station 801120	. 4
		5.2.1	Raw Data, De-clustering, and Thresholding	. 4
		5.2.2	Fitted pdf and cdf, and Goodness of Fit	. 4
		5.2.3	Hazard Curve and Return Levels RL	. 4
		5.2.4	Comparison with POT-GPD and Common Extreme Value Distribution	ns 5
	5.3	Wind !	Maps	. 5
		5.3.1	Existing Hurricane Maps	. 5
		5.3.2	Non-Hurricane Maps	. 5
		5.3.3	Combined Maps	. 5
	5.4	Final L	Discussion and Future Work	. 5
c	Con	alusion	as	_
U	Con	ciusion	15	. 5
A	Rese	earch B	R. Code - Digital Files	. 5
В	Resi	ults - D	Digital Files	. 5'
\mathbf{C}	ERA	15 Data	a Download and Integration	6
			1 P. C. J.	_
D	The	sis Doc	cument R Code	64
10	Llac	. Mor.	ual	e
Ľ	Usei	wianu	иа	00
R	ferer	ices .		69

B. Wand Co.

List of Tables

	2.1 Datasets
	Z.Z. Varianies
	2.5 ISD Stations 8
	2.5 ISD Stations
	5.1 quality
1	5.2 non-quality
(2)	5.3 corrections factors
Market States	5.4 yearly statistics
43, 4	46
Wice of the state	40
of so go	50
	5.7 CommonEVD
13	
1 &	A.1 code
The South	B.1 digital files
136	12.0
\ &	B.3 randatastationidatatistics 58
$\langle 1 \rangle$	B.3 rawdatastationidstatistics
-	B.4 fittedmodelidpdf
	B.5 returnlevels
	B.6 era5maps
	Ber isdmaps
_ /	
_ <	C.1 pythonera5
Colore	
6	
2 ° `	
Men un	
1 4	
1 es	2-
Men Hencey's	931 \
\ >	Χ 🦫 \

List of Figures

2.1	IDEAM Stations. Colombia	9
2.2	IDEAM Station - Time Series	10
2.3	ISD Stations. Colombia and surroundings	11
2.4	ISD Station - Time Series	12
2.5	ERA5 Cells and Stations (cells centers). 49 cols by 69 rows. Cell size 0.25	
	decimal degrees (aprox 28 km in Colombia). Station IDs from 1 (lon=-79,	
\	lat=12.5) to 3381 (lon=-67, lat=-4.5)	13
		1.5
3.1	Gumbel pdf	15
3.2	Gumbel pdf - dgumbel function	15
3.3	Gumbel cdf	16
3.4	Gumbel ppf	17
3.5	Gumbel hf	18
3.6	Sorted Winds by Magnitude - wind simulation database	18
3.7	Compound Probability	20
3.8	Domain off the Poisson Process - PP	23
3.9	Volume under surfaces represents the mean of PP	24
3.10	Maximum speeds averaged over t (sec), to hourly mean speed. Note: curve	
	values taken visually from the original (use original curve for calculations!) .	26
1.1	Iterative process in methodology	05
1.2	Methodology	27
1.3	Methodology Angmometer height 10 m	28
1.4	Anemometer height - 10 m .	29
1.5	Wind rose with wind percentages in eight directions, for a generic station.	30
	Digital imagery for 'Vanguardia' ISD station (USAF:802340), located in 'Villavicencia' signature with furnity and the station (USAF:802340).	
	'Villavicencio' airport. with four (south, north, east, and west) 45 degree	
1.6	sectors highlighted. Radius of the circular zone is 800 meters	31
0	Roughness values: 0.03 for open space (left), 0.1 for closed space (center), and	
	areas where Lettau equation is needed because roughness is different.	
.7		31
. 1		
	perpendicular area. Source Triana (2019)	31

4.8	De-clustering in PP. Two thunderstorm clusters are shown. Separation be-		
	tween adjacent observations inside the clusters are always equal or less the		
	six hours. Distance between the last event in the first cluster and the first		
	event in the second cluster is larger than six hours. Only red samples are used		
	to fit the PP, but in addition a POT (thresholding) process is peeded	20	
4.9	POT - Thresholding	33	
4.10	POT - Thresholding	34	
4.11	POT - PP intensity function fitting process.	34	
4.12	POT - PP fitting process	35	
4.13	Integration Hurricane and Non-Hurricane Data	36	
		38	
5.1	IDEAM VV_AUT_2 - Quality Data Comparison	41	
5.2	Quality Data Comparison. High similarity between sources	42	
5.3	IDEAM VV AUT 10 - Non Quality Data Comparison Two different terms		
	of downscaling support: 'Good' and 'Very Good'	43	
5.4	Non Quality Data Comparison. Time Series Graphic for 'Very Good' Down-		
	scaling Support	44	
5.5	Non Quality Data Comparison: Scatter plots for 'Very Good' Downscaling		
	Support	44	
5.6	Location of ISD station 801120	45	
5.7	Non-Thunderstorm Time Series for ISD station 801120. Left: Raw Data		
	Right: De-clustered Data	47	
5.8	POT - Thresholding	47	
5.9	Graphic Diagnosis Of Goodness of Fit. Station 801120	48	
5.10	Hazard Curve. Station 801120	49	
5.11	Ingeniar Hurricane Wind Maps	51	
5.12	ISD Non-Hurricane Wind Maps	51	
5.13	ERA5 Non-Hurricane Wind Maps.	52	
5.14	ISD Hurricane & Non-Hurricane Wind Maps.	52	
5.15	ERA5 Hurricane & Non-Hurricane Wind Maps.	53	
		-0	

Abstract

For the input non-hurricane, non tornadic data in each available station of the study area. this research calculate extreme winds or return levels for three different mean recurrence intervals MRM, 700, 1700, and 3000 years with a change of being equaled or exceeded only one time in the corresponding MRI period. Then, continuous maps of wind extreme velocities are interpolated to cover the study area, which are combined with existing wind extreme hurricane studies, to be used as input loads for infrastructure design.

The development of this research focused in non-hurricane datal covers three main areas, downscaling support, temporal analysis, and spatial analysis, and includes in the card an integration process with existing results of hurricane studies, which all tegether, allow to generate extreme winds maps with different mean recurrence intervals (MRIs) for the design of structures of different risk categories, namely, less risky/important structures for short MRIs (700 and 1700 years), and highly important structures for the longest MRI of 3000

Due to the specific characteristics of the study ares where there is X lack of historical wind measurements (HDEAM data source), it became necessary to look for alternative data sources, ISD (model, based on IDEAM), and ERA5 (forecast data), which resulted in Eq. downscaling issue and that was confronted from a graphic comparison of all sources by matching stations, in the search of adequate support for the use of complementary data. The result of the comparison showed little similarity between the different sources. Prior to the comparison process, ISD and IDEAM data sources were standardized to represent 3-second wind gust, 10 meters anemometer height, and terrain open space roughness.

The method of temporal analysis used to calculate the return levels at each station, from the historical wind time series, is the Peaks Over Threshold POT, using a non-homogeneous, bi-dimensional Poisson Process PP, recommended by Engineers (2017), and developed and implemented in Pintar Simiu, Lombardo, & Levitan (2015), considering from a maximum likelihood adjustment, the model with the best goodness of fit. Main components of metters are de-clustering, thresholding, intensity function fitting, hazard curve, and return levels calculation.

Non-hurricane maps where created for data sources ISD and ERA5, using Kriging as spatial interpolation method, and after the integration with hurricane studies, the results for ERA5 showed the most reliable final maps, despite limitations in input data. Due to the limitation in the classification of storm and non-storm data, ISD final map showed very very high wind values, which are quite unlikely.

estan oregenitades de la siguiante forma: Primeros, parrefos: El objetuo es convencer al lector de la importancia del problema general de (secuono) investigación mediante estadisticas, experiencias previos, impactos documentados jeta. Parrafos informatios: El objetivo es presentar toda la literatura desponible sobre el claramente sus vacios de investigacións. Les Usualmente se induyen entre 15 y 30 reterencias utilizando un estilo uniticado y aprobado por algun estandar Oltimos parratos: En respuesta a los vacios de investigación identificados previamente, este ultimo parrato (secciónis) (sección) convence al tector de la relevancia del estudio y su impacto. Aqui se resaltan los aportes y la novedago de la investigación. List of Acronyms Chapter 1 la la ciencia y estado de conocimiento Introduction AIS Seismic Engineering Association ASCE American Society of Civil Engineers ASCE7-16 ASCE/SEI Design Loads Standard cdf Cumulative Distribution Function EDA **Exploratory Data Analysis** This research aims to create non-hurricane non-tornadic maps of extreme wind speeds, for **ECMWF** European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts three specific recurrence intervals (700, 1700, and 3000 years) covering the study area (Colom-ERA5 ECMWF climate reanalysis dataset bian territory. These maps will be combined with existing hurricane wind speed studies, to EVD Extreme Value Distribution (GEVD. GEV) be used as input loads due to wind for infrastructure design. **GEVD** Generalized Extreme Value Distribution (EVD, GEV) For each station with wind speeds time series in the input data, following Pintar et al. GEV Generalized Extreme Value Distribution (GEVD, EVD) GPD Generalized Pareto Distribution (2015), extreme wind speeds corresponding to each recurrence interval are calculated using hf Hazard Function a Peaks Over Threshold Poisson Process extreme value model, onwards POT-PP, then wind IDEAM Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies velocities with the same recurrence interval are spatially interpolated to generate continuous **IDW** Inverse Distance Weighted maps for the whole study area. ISD Integrated Surface Database A wind speed linked to a mean recurrence interval - MRI of N-years (N-years return value or MRI Mean Return Interval or Return Period return period) is interpreted as the highest probable wind speed along the period of N-years, NSR Seismic Resistant Norm see Engineers (2017). The annual probability of equal or exceed that wind speed is 1/N. The NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration annual exceedance probability for all velocity values in 700-years output map will be 1/700. NetCDF Network Common Data Form for the 1700-years map will be 1/1700, and 1/3000 for the 3000-years final map. NCEI NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information calculated - considering P_{ϵ} Annual Exceedance Probability pdf Probability Distribution Function 1.1 Context and Background Compound Exceedance Probability To design a specific structure, the horizontal forces wind and carringuage, pray an arrival role. For the study area, Colombia, initially, the wind force was considered as a fixed velocity role. For the study area, Colombia, initially, the wind force was considered as a fixed velocity with a return period of 50 years was included in the official POT Peaks Over Threshold ppf Percent Point Function (Quantile) PP Poisson Process Peaks Over Threshold - Generalized Pareto Distribution POT-GPD design standard then, an additional map with return period of 700 year was included POT-PP Peaks Over Threshold - Poisson Process Ministerio de Vivienda (2010). Return Level RLIn the context of this study, extreme wind analysis is concerned with statistical methods RMSE Root Mean Squared Error applied to very high values of wind as random variable in a stochastic process, to allow SEI Structural Engineering Institute SQL Structured Query Language statistical inference from historical data namely, assess from the ordered sample of wind Minuscula WGS84 World Geodetic System 1984 velocities, the probability of wind events that are more extreme than the ones previously observed and included in the mentioned input sample Classical reference in this matter is kilo; Coles (2001) where a detailed study is done about classical extreme value theory and models muyuscula Drapinizor en una es Kelvin oración nueva bacuon en general trane probleman

revision a cosos

En mi opinion. 1.1.1 a 1.1.3 debena cotar en el copitolo 3. Creo que es demasiado específico para estar en una introducción Ver mi opinion de la información que bebería contener la intro en la pagina anterior.

Pproximation.

1.1. Context and Background

In general, and threshold models.

There are four main approaches to deal with extreme value analysis (Smith (2004): (a) sample maxima associated to a Generalized Extreme Value Distribution - GEV (traditional method) (b) exceedances over threshold associated to a Generalized Pareto Distribution, onwards POT-GPD (c) the Poisson-GPD, an homogeneous Poisson process for the number of exceedances, and a GPD for the excess values, and (d) the exceedances over threshold associated to a non-homogeneous non-stationary bi-dimensional Poisson process a Point process approach also known as POT-PB Main details will be discussed here for each method, but as the last one is recommended in Assection and more indeed explanation will be provided in POT Poisson Process. There is a whole section with the details about the background of this research, see Theoretical Framework

1.1.1 Sample Maxima

To work with random variables of sample maximum values, the used probability distribution function pdf is the GEV -

gue significa? Perference a H_2 $\left\{-\left(1+\xi \frac{y-\mu}{G}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}\right\}$

(y+=max(y,0)) where μ is the location parameter, $\sqrt[p]{>}$ 0 is a scale parameter, and ξ is a shape parameter. GEV can be seen as the integration in the se distribution (limit $\xi \to 0$). Fréchet distribution ($\xi > 0$), and Weibull distribution ($\xi < 0$)

1.1.2 Exceedances Over Threshold

If the researcher needs to work only with extreme values above an specific threshold, Pickands (1971) showed that the GEV has a GPD approximation where shape \(\xi \) parameter in previous equation is the same parameter for next equation for GPD.

 $G(y(\sigma,\xi) = 1 - (1 + \xi \xi)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}, \qquad \text{generalized Pareto destroy arisen}$ Seismic Engarisen

1 2 F

ASCE 7-16 F

1.1.3 Poisson-GPD

If a rescale of the variable indexes above the threshold is performed, then the exceedances over threshold approach can be seen as a point process, namely, an homogeneous Poisson Process where:

- 1. The number of exceedances above the threshold has a Poisson distribution with mean
- 2. The excess values follow a GPD with $N \geq 1$

1.2. Problem Statement and Motvation

Its cumulative distribution function cdf is:

 $F(y) = \exp\left\{-\lambda \left(1 + \xi \frac{y - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}\right\},$

Problem Statement and Motvation

Wind forces are important for infrastructure design, comarazamy (2005). For a civil engineer, designer of different types of structures, main forces to consider when designing a structure, for instance a bridge or a building, are a) dead load due to the weight of the structure, and b) live load due to earthquake and wind. For the study area, a d countril the structure design standard has defined in great detail, all aspects related to seismic forces, and dead forces, but lack of detail in wind forces, ectually, current map is 20 years outdated, and is not appropriate for all types of structures, because it only includes two return periods. Additionally, it is well known that in recent years there have been accelerated changes in the climate of the planet, including issues related to winds aspect that is reflected in frequent failures of structures due to wind forces, see Council (1994), as is stated in Rezapour & Baldock (2014), wind forces are able to completely destroy different types of infrastructures, reason why last five decades the way to assess wind loads in structural design has had remarkable changes, see Roberts (2012).

A complete study of extreme wind forces, need to address separately scientific approaches, hurricane and non-hurricane data to allow a hnal research product as the integration of the results in both fronts are Engineers (2017). In the study area. hurricane winds are only present inland in the Caribbean Sea, therefore, only affects directly 😘 'San Andres y Providencia' island - one (1) of thirty-three (33) states. In 1102 of 1103 municipalities (more than 99%), the usue of non-hurricane winds is the only one relevant and in addition, this lacks recent studies and research, however, all municipalities located near to the northern onshore border may be impacted by side effects of hurricanes.

As a note of clarification on the motivation to carry out the research, the author of this thesis is a civil engineer, from Colombia (the study area), and has developed previous research work with Universidad de los Andes', related to geoinformatics, and analysis and evaluation of natural risks. Due to the proximity of the University with the Colombian Association of Seismic Engineering - AIS, the opportunity to contribute to the update of the standard has

Knowledge Gap

Nowadays, methodologies to deal with the inference of extreme wind maps are quite mature and advanced, and many of them already implemented and ready for use reason, why the main contribution this research is not related to the theoretical foundations of the methods themselves, but to application of the method in a particular case in developing countries, where the lack of data plays a decisive role in achieving the results, see ADB (2014). Thereby,