

# CSC352 HW7

Alex Zhang

March 2023

## Question 1

1. The relative error for  $\mathbf{Q}$  is 2.
2. The relative error for  $\mathbf{R}$  is 1.036.
3. The relative error for  $\mathbf{Q} * \mathbf{R}$  is  $1.1194e - 15$ .

I'm surprised with the first two relative errors. For  $\mathbf{Q}$ 's relative error, it should be 0 ideally, but I got 2, which shows there is a difference between true  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and calculated  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For  $\mathbf{R}$ , I think it still should be 0 for  $\|0\|_p = 0$ . Base on the two relative errors, I think for HouseHolder QR,  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  are not accurate. However, the relative error for  $\mathbf{Q} * \mathbf{R}$  is really small so their product is accurate. Based on this small relative error, we can also conclude that QR factorization using HouseHolder is stable.

## Question 2

1. For QR factorization with HouseHolder, the distance is  $8.6905e - 16$ .
2. For QR factorization with modified Gram-Schmidt, the distance is 1.

The distance using HouseHolder is very small and therefore reasonable. However, the result for using mgs is quiet big. One reason is when doing Gram-Schmidt process, calculating matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  involves multiplication and normalization. This process will make  $\mathbf{Q}$  not be strictly orthonormal matrix, and the result will be affected then.

Changing the value  $\eta$ , orthongonality value of  $\mathbf{Q}$  computed by mgs will still be 1. The distance of  $\mathbf{Q}$  through HouseHolder will sometimes only vary  $10^{-16}$ .

## Question 3

Given that  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are  $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we write a pseudocode function called LB:

```
function X = LB(L,B)
    for i = 1: length(B)
        for j = 1: length(B)
            x(i,j) = (B(i,j) - sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L(j,k)*x(i,k))/L(j,j)
        end
    end
end fucntion
```

It eccentially adds a outer loop that helps store calculated  $\mathbf{x}$  vector in each column.