CSC301 HW10

Alex Zhang

April 2023

Question 1

Question 2

Since we proved that SAT $\rightarrow$ 3SAT in class, and both of them are NP-complete. If we can prove that 3SAT $\rightarrow$ EXACT 4SAT, then SAT $\rightarrow$ EXACT 4SAT, which indicates that EXACT 4SAT is also NP-complete.

Define f

Case 1 Clause length 1

For the clause of length 1 a_1, we need to add three new "auxiliary" variables. For clause with length 1, we define f to be:

$$a_1 = (a_1 \vee y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3) \wedge (a_1 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee y_2 \vee y_3) \wedge (a_1 \vee y_1 \vee \bar{y_2} \vee y_3) \wedge (a_1 \vee y_1 \vee y_2 \vee \bar{y_3})$$
$$\wedge (a_1 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2} \vee y_3) \wedge (a_1 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee y_2 \vee \bar{y_3}) \wedge (a_1 \vee y_1 \vee \bar{y_2} \vee \bar{y_3}) \wedge (a_1 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2} \vee \bar{y_3})$$

Case 2 Clause length 2
In this case we need to add two more "auxiliary" variables, and we define f as:

$$(a_1 \vee a_2) = (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee y_1 \vee \bar{y_2}) \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee y_2) \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2})$$

Case 3 Clause length 3
We just need one more "auxiliary" variable. The f now will be:

$$(a_1 \vee a_2 \vee a_3) = (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee a_3 \vee y_1) \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2 \vee a_3 \vee \bar{y_1})$$

Above all, f will be in polynomial time since creating new auxiliary variables takes $O(m)$.

Define h

h is the true assignment for EXACT 4SAT to solutions to 3SAT. Define h to ignore the truth assignemnt of auxiliary variables, keeping the truth assignment of the origonal variables. h is poly-time, since we are just chops off at most 3 bits vector.

h(S) satisfies I

Suppose not, then there are three cases.

Case 1 Clause with length 1 is false
Then the false cluase can be transformed into:

$$a_k = (y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3) \wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee y_2 \vee y_3) \wedge (y_1 \vee \bar{y_2} \vee y_3) \wedge (y_1 \vee y_2 \vee \bar{y_3})$$

$$\wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2} \vee y_3) \wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee y_2 \vee \bar{y_3}) \wedge (y_1 \vee \bar{y_2} \vee \bar{y_3}) \wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2} \vee \bar{y_3})$$

In this case, all three "auxiliary" variables need to be true. However, this will make the last clause to be false which leads to a contradiction.

Case 2 Clause with length 2 is false
Then the false cluase can be transformed into:

$$(a_k \vee a_{k+1}) = (y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (y_1 \vee \bar{y_2}) \wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee y_2) \wedge (\bar{y_1} \vee \bar{y_2})$$

Based on this string, we have to make both y_1 and y_2 to be true but this will still make the last clause be false. A contradiction happens.

Case 3 Clause with length 3 is false
Then the false clause can be simplified into:

$$(a_k \vee a_{k+1} \vee a_{k+2}) = (y_1) \wedge (\bar{y_1})$$

and this implies that y_1 needs to be true, but this will lead a contradiction which $\bar{y_1}$ cannot.

I satisfies so that f(I)

Suppose the origonal string is satisfied, then every clause regradless of length need to be true.

Case 1 Clause with length 1
Based on the f in previous statement, it is clear that if a_k is true, f will also be true since a_k is in every clasue.

Case 2 Clause with length 2
Since $(a_k \vee a_{k+1})$ is true, adding two more auxiliary variables will also be true in each clause. Therefore length 2 will be true.

Case 3 Clause with length 3
Since $(a_k \vee a_{k+1} \vee a_{k+2})$ is true, adding an extra auxiliary variable will also be true without considering its boolean value. The transformation will be true is the original stirng is true.

We can conclude that 3SAT $\rightarrow$ EXACT 4SAT. Based on the fact that SAT $\rightarrow$ 3SAT, we can use reduction compose to show that

$$\text{SAT} \rightarrow \text{EXACT 4SAT}$$

So EXACT 4SAT is NP-complete. ■

Question 3