

MARKING CRITERIA	UNSATISFACTORY (F) 0-50%	PASS (P) 50-64%	CREDIT (CR) 65%-74%	DISTINCTION (DN) 75%-84%	HIGH DISTINCTION (HD) 85%-100%
Feature Engineering (5%)	Poor analysis with missing/obvious gaps in coding and scrip sequence	Surface analysis supported generally by patchy coding and scrip sequence, some results	Mostly clear and succinct description of a generally appropriate code and script sequence. Mostly clear focus on relevant code blocks. Relevant results	Clear and succinct description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned	Very clear, succinct and compelling description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Very clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned and described
Model Design (5%)	Poor analysis with missing/obvious gaps in coding and scrip sequence	Surface analysis supported generally by patchy coding and scrip sequence, some results	Mostly clear and succinct description of a generally appropriate code and script sequence. Mostly clear focus on relevant code blocks. Relevant results	Clear and succinct description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned	Very clear, succinct and compelling description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Very clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned and described
Model Implementation (5%)	Poor analysis with missing/obvious gaps in coding and scrip sequence	Surface analysis supported generally by patchy coding and scrip sequence, some results	Mostly clear and succinct description of a generally appropriate code and script sequence. Mostly clear focus on relevant code blocks. Relevant results	Clear and succinct description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned	Very clear, succinct and compelling description of an appropriate code and script sequence. Very clear focus on relevant details and relevant code blocks. Relevant results returned and described
Final Report (25%)	Limited articulation of how the Final Report tools are applied to the factual details of the proposal. Multiple errors in interpretation of tech frameworks and their application. Inconsistent and unconvincing arguments	Some articulation of how the Final Report tools are applied to the factual details of the proposal Somewhat insightful application of a range of tech frameworks but there may be a few errors in interpretation Somewhat consistent, clear and supported arguments but there may be limitations in parts	Generally clear and accurate articulation of how the Final Report tools are applied to the factual details of the proposal Generally insightful application of a range of tech framework Generally consistent, clear and well- supported arguments	Clear and accurate articulation of how the Final Report tools are applied to the factual details of the proposal. Insightful application of a range of FinTech frameworks. Consistent, clear and convincing arguments	Very clear, accurate and lucid articulation of how Final Report tools are applied to the factual details of the proposal Very insightful application of a range of tech frameworks Very consistent, clear and highly convincing arguments