Data Maturity Assessment - Question Analysis Guide

DATA LIFECYCLE DOMAIN GROUP

DATA COLLECTION SUBDOMAIN

Q1 - How does your organization typically identify what data needs to be collected?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Strategic maturity in data planning and the organization's approach to purposeful versus opportunistic data collection. This reveals whether data collection is driven by strategic objectives or simply availability and convenience.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We collect whatever data is easily available or required by regulations" Reactive, compliancedriven approach with no strategic data planning. Shows minimal organizational intentionality about data value.
- "We gather data that senior staff think might be useful" Authority-based data decisions without systematic analysis. Shows hierarchical decision-making but limited strategic framework.
- "We identify data needs based on current reporting and operational requirements" Operational focus with systematic current-state analysis. Shows organized approach but limited strategic vision.
- "We systematically assess what data would help us achieve our strategic objectives" Strategic alignment with systematic assessment methodology. Shows mature strategic thinking and purposeful data planning.
- "We continuously evaluate and optimize our data collection based on changing business
 needs" Dynamic, adaptive approach with continuous optimization. Shows sophisticated strategic
 data management and organizational learning.

Q2 - When your organization starts collecting data from a new source, what's your typical approach?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Implementation methodology maturity and quality orientation in establishing new data collection processes. This reveals whether the organization learns from experience and applies systematic approaches to new initiatives.

What Each Response Actually Means:

• "We begin collecting and figure out how to use it later" - Opportunistic, unplanned approach without clear purpose. Shows lack of systematic thinking and potential resource waste.

- "We start with basic collection and improve the process over time" Iterative improvement with learning orientation. Shows some systematic thinking but limited upfront planning.
- "We plan the collection process and test it before full implementation" Systematic planning with validation before scaling. Shows mature project management and risk mitigation thinking.
- "We design comprehensive collection procedures with quality controls from the start" Quality-first approach with comprehensive upfront design. Shows sophisticated process thinking and quality management maturity.
- "We implement sophisticated collection systems with real-time validation and feedback" Advanced technical implementation with continuous quality assurance. Shows exceptional technical and process sophistication.

Q3 - How does your organization ensure data is collected consistently across different departments or locations?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Organizational coordination capability and standardization maturity across distributed operations. This reveals whether the organization can maintain coherent practices at scale and across boundaries.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "Each department collects data in their own way" Decentralized approach without coordination or standards. Shows limited organizational integration and potential data inconsistency.
- "We provide basic guidelines but allow flexibility in implementation" Balanced approach with some standardization and local adaptation. Shows awareness of coordination needs but limited enforcement capability.
- "We have standard procedures that most departments follow" Systematic standardization with good compliance. Shows mature organizational discipline and coordination capability.
- "We enforce consistent collection standards across the organization" Strong organizational discipline with systematic enforcement. Shows advanced organizational coordination and quality management.
- "We use automated systems that ensure uniform collection regardless of location or person" Technology-enabled standardization with minimal human variation. Shows sophisticated technical infrastructure and process automation.

INFRASTRUCTURE SUBDOMAIN

Q4 - When your organization needs to combine data from different sources for analysis, what's the typical experience?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Technical integration capability and the ease with which the organization can perform cross-source analysis. This reveals infrastructure maturity and whether technical limitations constrain analytical ambitions.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We usually focus on one data source at a time since combining them is complicated" Technical limitations driving analytical constraints. Shows infrastructure barriers limiting organizational analytical capability.
- "We can combine data but it requires someone with technical skills and takes considerable
 effort" Manual integration capability with high resource requirements. Shows some technical
 capability but inefficient processes limiting analytical frequency.
- "It's possible to do but requires planning and dedicated time to get it right" Systematic integration capability with moderate resource requirements. Shows developing technical maturity enabling regular comprehensive analysis.
- "We have processes that make it relatively straightforward for people with the right training" Systematic integration with reasonable accessibility. Shows mature technical infrastructure enabling
 widespread analytical capability.
- "Our systems are designed so that combining data sources is routine and easy" Seamless integration enabling routine comprehensive analysis. Shows sophisticated technical infrastructure with user-friendly design.

Q5 - When your organization's data systems experience problems or slowdowns, what typically happens?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: System reliability and technical support effectiveness, which determines whether data systems are dependable foundations for organizational work or sources of operational disruption.

- "Problems happen fairly regularly and people work around them until they're fixed" Poor system reliability creating ongoing operational adaptation requirements. Shows inadequate technical infrastructure and support capability.
- "When issues occur, our IT team addresses them but it usually takes some time" Moderate reliability with slow resolution processes. Shows some technical support capability but inadequate

rapid response.

- "We have occasional technical issues that are generally resolved within a day or two" Good reliability with reasonable resolution timing. Shows adequate technical infrastructure and support processes.
- "Technical problems are rare and usually get fixed quickly when they do occur" High reliability
 with rapid resolution capability. Shows strong technical infrastructure and excellent support
 processes.
- "Our systems are very reliable with monitoring that prevents most issues before they happen"
 - Exceptional reliability with proactive management. Shows advanced technical infrastructure and sophisticated preventive maintenance.

Q6 - How does your organization typically handle growing data storage and processing needs?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Technical scalability planning and capacity management maturity, which determines whether infrastructure can grow with organizational data needs or becomes a constraint on development.

- "We deal with capacity issues as they come up, sometimes requiring urgent solutions" Reactive capacity management with crisis-driven responses. Shows limited technical planning and periodic operational constraints.
- "We monitor usage and upgrade when we start approaching our limits" Reactive capacity
 management with monitoring-triggered responses. Shows some technical awareness but limited
 proactive planning.
- "We plan capacity increases as part of our regular technology planning" Systematic capacity planning with regular technology management integration. Shows developing technical management maturity.
- "We proactively manage capacity with scheduled upgrades before we reach limits" Proactive capacity management with preventive planning. Shows mature technical infrastructure and planning processes.
- "We have scalable systems that automatically adjust to meet changing demands" Advanced scalable infrastructure with automated capacity management. Shows sophisticated technical capability and future-ready infrastructure.

Q7 - When staff notice errors or inconsistencies in your organization's data, what typically happens?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Data quality management maturity and whether error correction is systematic or dependent on individual initiative. This reveals organizational discipline around data accuracy and quality assurance processes.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "Staff mention it to their supervisor when they have time" Informal, convenience-based error reporting without systematic processes. Shows minimal organizational priority for data quality management.
- "People fix the obvious errors they come across during their regular work" Individual initiative
 without organizational coordination. Shows good staff engagement but limited systematic quality
 management.
- "There's an informal process where staff report issues to whoever manages that data" Emerging systematic approach with informal coordination. Shows developing quality awareness and basic organizational quality processes.
- "We have designated people who handle data quality issues when they're reported" Formal quality management with designated responsibilities. Shows systematic approach to quality control and organizational commitment to data reliability.
- "We have automated systems that flag potential errors for review" Advanced quality
 management with technological support and proactive error detection. Shows sophisticated quality
 assurance capability.

Q8 - When the same information appears in multiple systems across your organization, how consistent is it?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Data integration effectiveness and consistency management across organizational systems, which determines whether the organization has unified data management or conflicting information sources.

- "We expect some differences since each system serves different purposes" Acceptance of inconsistency with purpose-based justification. Shows limited integration ambition and potential tolerance for data conflicts.
- "The core information usually matches, though details might vary" Partial consistency with detail variations. Shows some integration success but limited comprehensive data consistency

management.

- "Most data points are the same, with occasional discrepancies we investigate" Good
 consistency with systematic exception management. Shows effective data integration and developing
 quality management processes.
- "Our systems are well-integrated so data is typically consistent" Strong integration with high
 consistency achievement. Shows mature technical infrastructure and systematic data consistency
 management.
- "All our systems automatically sync to ensure data consistency" Advanced automated integration with consistency assurance. Shows sophisticated technical capability and mature organizational approach to unified data management.

Q9 - When new staff join your organization, how do they learn what different data fields mean and how to use them properly?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Knowledge management maturity and systematic approach to data literacy development, which determines whether data knowledge is institutionalized or dependent on tribal knowledge transfer.

- "They learn by observing how others use the data and asking questions" Informal knowledge transfer through observation and peer learning. Shows limited systematic documentation and potential knowledge inconsistency.
- "Their supervisor provides basic guidance during their orientation period" Personal mentoring approach with supervisor responsibility. Shows some systematic onboarding but limited standardization and documentation.
- "We have documentation available, though it's not always kept up to date" Beginning documentation efforts with maintenance challenges. Shows awareness of knowledge management needs but limited organizational discipline.
- "We provide comprehensive training materials that are regularly updated" Systematic documentation with maintenance processes. Shows mature knowledge management and organizational commitment to data literacy.
- "We have interactive systems that guide users through proper data entry and interpretation" Advanced documentation with technological support and user guidance. Shows sophisticated knowledge management and user experience design.

Q10 - When trying to understand why something happened in your organization, what's your typical approach?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Analytical depth and investigative methodology sophistication, which determines whether the organization can move beyond description to genuine understanding of causation and complex phenomena.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We ask the people involved what they think caused it" Human-centered investigation relying on participant knowledge. Shows respect for experience but limited systematic analytical capability.
- "We review our standard reports to see if there are any obvious patterns" Basic analytical approach using existing data sources. Shows beginning systematic thinking but limited analytical depth or custom investigation.
- "We pull data from several sources to get a more complete picture" Multi-source analytical approach with systematic data integration. Shows developing analytical sophistication and comprehensive investigation methodology.
- "We conduct interviews and data analysis to understand all the contributing factors" Mixedmethods approach combining qualitative and quantitative analysis. Shows sophisticated analytical thinking and comprehensive investigation capability.
- "We use statistical analysis to identify the most significant drivers" Advanced analytical approach with statistical rigor and scientific methodology. Shows mature analytical capability and sophisticated investigation techniques.

Q11 - When planning for the upcoming year, how does your organization typically approach potential future challenges?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Predictive analytical capability and scenario planning sophistication, which determines whether planning is reactive or proactive and whether the organization can anticipate and prepare for future conditions.

- "We rely on the experience and judgment of our senior staff" Experience-based planning
 without systematic analytical forecasting. Shows respect for institutional knowledge but limited
 predictive analytical capability.
- "We review what happened in previous years and assume similar patterns" Historical pattern analysis for planning with limited forward-looking analysis. Shows basic analytical thinking but minimal consideration of changing conditions.

- "We look at current trends and project them forward" Trend-based forecasting with systematic forward projection. Shows developing analytical capability and systematic approach to future planning.
- "We develop multiple scenarios based on different assumptions about the future" Scenario planning with multiple futures consideration and systematic assumption testing. Shows sophisticated planning methodology and advanced analytical thinking.
- "We use forecasting models to estimate probabilities of different outcomes" Advanced predictive analytics with probabilistic modeling and sophisticated forecasting. Shows mature analytical capability and scientific approach to uncertainty management.

Q12 - How does your organization typically investigate whether your programs are working effectively?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Program evaluation rigor and outcome measurement sophistication, which determines whether the organization can distinguish between activity and impact and prove rather than assume effectiveness.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We collect feedback from participants and staff involved in the programs" Stakeholder feedback approach with qualitative evaluation focus. Shows attention to participant voice but limited systematic measurement or impact analysis.
- "We track participation numbers and basic satisfaction ratings" Activity and satisfaction
 measurement with basic metrics focus. Shows systematic tracking but limited outcome focus or
 effectiveness evaluation capability.
- "We measure specific outcomes and compare them to our original goals" Outcome-focused evaluation with goal comparison and systematic effectiveness measurement. Shows mature evaluation thinking and goal-oriented assessment methodology.
- "We compare our results to similar organizations or control groups" Comparative evaluation methodology with external benchmarking or experimental design. Shows sophisticated evaluation thinking and scientific approach to effectiveness measurement.
- "We conduct rigorous evaluations that can definitively attribute results to our programs" Attribution-focused evaluation with causal analysis and rigorous impact measurement. Shows advanced evaluation capability and scientific approach to proving program effectiveness.

Q13 - When comparing your organization's performance to others, what approach do you typically take?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: External orientation and competitive intelligence sophistication, which determines whether the organization operates in isolation or actively learns from the broader environment and competitive landscape.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We focus primarily on our own goals and don't regularly compare to others" Internal focus without external benchmarking or competitive analysis. Shows mission clarity but limited competitive intelligence and external learning.
- "We look at published industry reports when they're available" Passive benchmarking using
 readily available information. Shows some external awareness but limited systematic competitive
 analysis or proactive intelligence gathering.
- "We participate in benchmarking surveys and review the results" Active participation in structured benchmarking with systematic peer comparison. Shows external engagement and commitment to comparative learning.
- "We actively seek out data from peer organizations for comparison" Proactive benchmarking with peer engagement and systematic competitive intelligence. Shows sophisticated external learning and strategic competitive analysis.
- "We conduct systematic competitive analysis using multiple data sources" Comprehensive competitive intelligence with multi-source analysis and systematic strategic intelligence. Shows advanced strategic analysis and sophisticated competitive intelligence capability.

APPLICATION SUBDOMAIN

Q14 - When your organization identifies a significant data insight, what typically happens next?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Implementation effectiveness and organizational capability to convert analytical discoveries into concrete operational changes, which determines whether data analysis creates value through action or remains academic exercise.

- "We share the findings in our regular reporting and include them in future presentations" Communication-focused response without action orientation. Shows good information sharing but limited implementation capability or action orientation.
- "We present the insight to leadership and wait for their guidance on how to proceed" Hierarchical approach with authority-dependent action planning. Shows respect for organizational structure but limited organizational empowerment for data-driven action.

- "We document the insight and add it to our list of potential improvement areas" Systematic capture with planning orientation but delayed action implementation. Shows organizational memory and systematic thinking but limited urgency or implementation capability.
- "We immediately begin exploring what changes this insight suggests we should make" Action-oriented response with immediate engagement and change exploration. Shows strong
 implementation culture and organizational capability to convert insights to action.
- "We assign someone to develop an action plan with specific timelines and resources" Systematic, resourced approach to insight implementation with accountability and project
 management. Shows mature organizational processes and strong commitment to evidence-based
 action.

Q15 - When a program shows poor performance in your data, how does your organization typically respond?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Problem-solving methodology and organizational response to negative performance data, which reveals whether data drives improvement or creates defensive reactions that limit organizational learning.

- "We look for external factors that might explain the poor performance" Defensive response seeking explanations outside organizational control. Shows limited ownership and potential avoidance of difficult organizational changes.
- "We ask the program manager to provide context and their perspective on the numbers" Stakeholder consultation approach seeking additional context and perspective. Shows respect for program knowledge and systematic information gathering before action.
- "We double-check our data collection methods to make sure the numbers are accurate" Quality-focused response ensuring data reliability before action. Shows analytical rigor and
 systematic approach to data validation.
- "We analyze the data more deeply to understand what's driving the poor performance" Root cause analysis approach using systematic data investigation. Shows sophisticated analytical thinking and commitment to understanding before acting.
- "We immediately start testing different approaches to improve the results" Rapid experimentation response with action-oriented improvement focus. Shows strong action orientation and systematic continuous improvement culture.

Q16 - How does your organization typically handle situations where data contradicts established practices?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Learning agility and organizational openness to evidence-based change, especially when data challenges existing assumptions, traditions, or investments, which determines adaptive capacity.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We discuss whether the data might be missing important context about why we do things
 this way" Thoughtful response considering data limitations while protecting existing practices.
 Shows analytical thinking but potential resistance to evidence-based change.
- "We acknowledge the data but note that our practices have worked well for years" Experience-weighted response that respects historical success while recognizing data insights. Shows organizational memory but limited openness to data-driven innovation.
- "We ask our most experienced staff to review the data and share their thoughts" Expert consultation approach balancing data evidence with institutional knowledge. Shows respect for expertise and systematic consideration of conflicting information.
- "We dig deeper into the data to understand if this contradiction represents a real opportunity"
 - Analytical exploration of data-practice conflicts with opportunity-seeking orientation. Shows sophisticated data-driven thinking and openness to evidence-based improvement.
- "We treat this as a prompt to experiment with new approaches while monitoring results" Experimental response using data conflicts as innovation opportunities with systematic learning. Shows advanced learning culture and systematic approach to evidence-based change.

STRATEGY SUBDOMAIN

Q17 - When budget discussions arise, what information does your organization typically reference first?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Strategic decision-making sophistication and the role of data analysis in resource allocation decisions, which reveals whether budgeting is based on evidence of effectiveness or other factors.

What Each Response Actually Means:

"We start with last year's budget and adjust based on known changes" - Incremental budgeting
approach with historical baseline and minimal analytical foundation. Shows organizational stability
but limited strategic analysis or performance-based allocation.

- "We review our financial reports and discuss program manager requests" Financial review with stakeholder input but limited performance integration. Shows systematic financial analysis and participatory decision-making but minimal effectiveness analysis.
- "We look at which programs have the highest participation or activity levels" Activity-based resource allocation with engagement focus. Shows attention to utilization metrics but limited outcome focus or effectiveness analysis.
- "We analyze cost per outcome and effectiveness data across different programs" Effectiveness-based resource allocation with comparative analysis and outcome focus. Shows sophisticated strategic analysis and evidence-based resource optimization.
- "We use comprehensive financial modeling that includes projections and scenario analysis" Advanced strategic analytics with forecasting and scenario planning. Shows mature strategic planning capability and sophisticated analytical resource allocation.

Q18 - How does your organization typically make decisions about entering new markets or program areas?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Strategic analysis rigor for major expansion decisions and whether strategic choices are based on systematic analysis or other factors like intuition or opportunity.

- "We pursue opportunities that align with our mission and leadership's vision" Vision and mission-driven expansion without systematic analytical foundation. Shows clear organizational purpose but limited strategic analysis or evidence-based planning.
- "We research the basic market size and consider our organizational capacity" Basic feasibility analysis with internal assessment and market sizing. Shows systematic thinking about expansion but limited analytical sophistication or risk assessment.
- "We analyze demographic data and assess competitive landscape" Market analysis approach with demographic research and competitive intelligence. Shows developing strategic analytical capability and systematic market assessment.
- "We conduct comprehensive market research including stakeholder needs assessment" Comprehensive market research with stakeholder analysis and systematic needs assessment. Shows sophisticated strategic analysis and systematic approach to market opportunities.
- "We develop detailed business cases with financial projections and risk analysis" Advanced strategic planning with financial modeling and comprehensive risk assessment. Shows mature strategic analytical capability and sophisticated expansion decision-making.

Q19 - When stakeholders ask about your organization's impact and effectiveness, how do you typically respond?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Impact demonstration capability and stakeholder communication sophistication, which determines whether the organization can prove its value through evidence or relies on narratives and assertions.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We share success stories and testimonials from people we've served" Narrative-based impact communication with anecdotal evidence focus. Shows attention to human impact and compelling storytelling but limited systematic measurement.
- "We provide activity numbers showing how many people we've reached" Output-focused reporting with activity metrics and reach demonstration. Shows systematic tracking and transparency about scope but limited outcome focus.
- "We present outcome data showing the changes we've achieved" Outcome-focused reporting with change measurement and results demonstration. Shows systematic impact measurement and accountability through evidence-based reporting.
- "We offer comprehensive reports showing our impact compared to goals and benchmarks" Goal and benchmark-based accountability with comparative analysis and systematic reporting. Shows sophisticated accountability framework and strategic approach to impact demonstration.

GOVERNANCE & PROTECTION DOMAIN GROUP

SECURITY SUBDOMAIN

Q20 - How does your organization typically handle requests for access to sensitive data?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Access control sophistication and security risk management maturity, which determines whether sensitive data is protected through systematic risk assessment or informal trust relationships.

- "People generally ask their manager who decides based on job responsibilities" Managerial discretion approach with basic authority-based access control. Shows organizational hierarchy respect but limited security analysis or systematic risk assessment.
- "There's a standard form to complete that goes through an approval process" Formal request process with procedural controls and systematic approval workflow. Shows organized approach to access management but limited security sophistication.
- "Requests go through IT who check with the data owner before granting access" Technical and ownership validation with stakeholder consultation and technical review. Shows developing security thinking with business and technical validation.
- "We have formal procedures that include security reviews for sensitive data access" Securityfocused approval process with risk assessment and systematic security evaluation. Shows mature security thinking and comprehensive access risk management.
- "Our systems automatically manage access based on roles with continuous monitoring" Advanced automated access management with role-based controls and ongoing oversight. Shows sophisticated security infrastructure and mature organizational approach to access control.

Q21 - When staff leave your organization, what happens to their access to data systems?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Security lifecycle management reliability and operational security discipline, which determines whether access control processes work consistently under operational pressure and staff transitions.

- "HR notifies IT who removes access when they get around to it" Informal coordination with variable timing and limited security urgency. Shows basic process awareness but inadequate security discipline and potential vulnerability windows.
- "IT receives a list of departing staff and removes access within a week or two" Systematic notification with routine timing but extended access periods. Shows developing security operations but limited urgency and potential security gaps.
- "Access removal is part of the standard departure checklist" Integrated HR and security process
 with systematic execution and coordinated workflow. Shows mature operational security and
 systematic approach to access lifecycle management.
- "IT automatically receives notification and removes access within 24 hours" Rapid systematic
 access revocation with automated notification and quick response. Shows strong operational security
 discipline and systematic risk management.

"Systems automatically disable access immediately when someone's employment ends" Advanced automated security with immediate response and technology-enabled access control.
Shows sophisticated security infrastructure and mature organizational approach to access management.

Q22 - If your organization's data systems were compromised, how confident are you in your ability to recover?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Disaster recovery preparedness and business continuity capability, which determines organizational resilience and ability to maintain operations under adverse conditions.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We have basic backups but haven't tested the recovery process recently" Basic disaster preparedness with limited validation and uncertain recovery capability. Shows some risk awareness but potential gaps between theory and practice.
- "Our IT team is confident they could restore most data, though it might take some time" Confidence-based recovery assessment with acknowledged timing challenges and partial capability.
 Shows some technical capability but limited systematic validation.
- "We have documented backup procedures and test them periodically" Systematic backup
 processes with validation testing and documented procedures. Shows mature risk management and
 systematic approach to disaster preparedness.
- "We regularly test our backup systems and have proven recovery capabilities" Proven disaster recovery capability with systematic testing and demonstrated effectiveness. Shows advanced risk management and validated organizational resilience.
- "We have multiple backup systems with automated recovery that minimizes downtime" Advanced business continuity with automated recovery and minimal operational disruption. Shows sophisticated risk management and mature organizational approach to operational resilience.

RESPONSIBLE SUBDOMAIN

Q23 - When collecting personal information from clients or customers, how does your organization ensure they understand how their data will be used?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Privacy protection sophistication and ethical approach to personal data collection, which determines whether consent is meaningful or merely a legal formality.

- "We assume people understand when they sign up for our services" Implied consent approach without explicit privacy communication or transparency. Shows minimal privacy awareness and potential gaps in informed consent practices.
- "We provide basic information about data use in our standard forms" Standard privacy communication through documentation with basic transparency. Shows developing privacy awareness but limited systematic approach to meaningful consent.
- "We have privacy notices that we make sure most people receive" Systematic privacy communication with distribution attention and organized transparency. Shows mature privacy practices and organizational commitment to informed consent.
- "We ensure everyone gets clear, easy-to-understand information about data use" Comprehensive privacy communication with accessibility focus and universal coverage. Shows advanced privacy practices and strong commitment to meaningful informed consent.
- "We use detailed consent processes that let people choose exactly how their data is used" Advanced privacy protection with granular consent management and individual control. Shows sophisticated privacy capability and exemplary approach to individual privacy rights.

Q24 - How does your organization stay current with regulations that affect your data practices?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Regulatory compliance management sophistication and systematic approach to legal risk management in a rapidly changing regulatory environment.

- "We address regulations when issues come up or we're notified of changes" Reactive compliance management with crisis-driven attention and minimal proactive monitoring. Shows limited regulatory awareness and high legal risk exposure.
- "We periodically review relevant regulations as part of our planning process" Scheduled compliance review with planning integration but limited systematic monitoring. Shows some regulatory awareness but potential gaps in coverage and timing.
- "We monitor key regulations but might occasionally miss updates" Active compliance monitoring with acknowledged coverage limitations and systematic attention. Shows developing compliance capability but potential blind spots.
- "We have systematic processes to track and implement regulatory changes" Comprehensive compliance management with systematic implementation and proactive monitoring. Shows mature regulatory awareness and systematic legal risk management.

"We proactively monitor regulatory developments and often exceed requirements" - Advanced
compliance approach exceeding minimum requirements with proactive monitoring. Shows
sophisticated regulatory capability and strategic approach to compliance excellence.

Q25 - When considering new uses for existing data, how does your organization evaluate whether those uses are appropriate?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Ethical decision-making framework sophistication and systematic approach to evaluating data use appropriateness beyond original collection purposes.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "We proceed if the new use seems reasonable and would benefit our mission" Missionalignment approach without systematic ethical framework or stakeholder consideration. Shows purpose-driven thinking but limited ethical sophistication.
- "We check our legal and policy requirements before proceeding" Compliance-focused evaluation with legal validation but limited broader ethical consideration. Shows basic risk awareness but minimal stakeholder impact assessment.
- "We consider how clients and stakeholders might feel about the new use" Stakeholder-impact approach with relationship and trust consideration. Shows developing ethical framework and systematic attention to stakeholder perspectives.
- "We have formal processes to review ethical implications of new data uses" Systematic ethical evaluation with formal procedures and comprehensive assessment. Shows mature ethical framework and organizational commitment to responsible innovation.
- "We use comprehensive ethical frameworks with stakeholder input and ongoing oversight" Advanced ethical evaluation with stakeholder engagement and continuous monitoring. Shows sophisticated ethical capability and exemplary approach to responsible data innovation.

ORGANIZATIONAL ENABLERS DOMAIN GROUP

LEADERSHIP SUBDOMAIN

Q26 - How actively does senior leadership champion data-driven decision making throughout the organization?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Leadership commitment intensity and behavioral modeling of data-driven approaches, which determines whether data-driven culture is supported from the top or exists despite leadership indifference.

- "Leaders primarily rely on their experience and strategic vision for major decisions" Traditional leadership approach with limited data integration and experience-based decision-making.
 Shows respect for leadership wisdom but minimal data-driven modeling.
- "Leaders occasionally reference data when it supports their preferred direction" Selective data use for confirmation rather than decision foundation. Shows opportunistic data relationship and limited systematic evidence-based leadership.
- "Leaders regularly seek out data to inform their decision-making process" Active data-seeking behavior with systematic information gathering. Shows developing leadership commitment to evidence and emerging organizational expectations.
- "Leaders consistently expect data to support most significant organizational decisions" Systematic expectation for data-supported arguments with organizational culture development. Shows mature leadership approach and strong organizational culture change.
- "Leaders actively model data-driven thinking and require it from their teams" Exemplary leadership behavior with explicit requirements and systematic modeling. Shows exceptional leadership commitment and comprehensive organizational culture transformation.

Q27 - When data reveals uncomfortable truths, how constructively does your organization's leadership respond and adapt?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Leadership learning agility and openness to evidence-based change when data conflicts with preferences, investments, or assumptions, which determines organizational capacity for data-driven adaptation.

- "They focus on understanding why the data might not tell the complete story" Analytical skepticism with data limitation consideration but potential defensive response. Shows thoughtful leadership but possible resistance to uncomfortable insights.
- "They acknowledge the data but prefer to move slowly on major changes" Risk-averse leadership with cautious change management and deliberate adaptation. Shows balanced leadership approach but potential missed opportunities for rapid improvement.
- "They take the data seriously while considering other factors and context" Balanced evidence evaluation with contextual consideration and systematic decision-making. Shows mature leadership thinking and sophisticated approach to evidence-based decisions.
- "They use the data to systematically review and adjust their approach" Data-responsive leadership with systematic adaptation and evidence-based change management. Shows advanced leadership maturity and strong organizational learning capability.

• "They embrace challenging data as opportunities to improve and innovate" - Learning-oriented leadership treating unexpected data as innovation catalyst and improvement opportunity. Shows exceptional leadership maturity and sophisticated organizational learning culture.

Q28 - When investing in new technology or capabilities, how does your leadership prioritize data-related improvements?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Strategic commitment to data capabilities as demonstrated through resource allocation decisions, which reveals whether leadership sees data as strategic investment or operational expense.

What Each Response Actually Means:

- "Data improvements are considered along with many other competing priorities" Balanced consideration without special data priority or strategic differentiation. Shows awareness but limited strategic commitment to data capability development.
- "Data improvements get attention when they clearly support operational needs" Operationalbenefit driven data investment with practical focus. Shows some strategic thinking but limited independent recognition of data strategic value.
- "Data capabilities are regularly discussed in technology planning conversations" Systematic data consideration in technology planning with regular strategic attention. Shows developing strategic commitment and organizational recognition of data importance.
- "Data improvements are recognized as important and receive dedicated resources" Strategic data investment approach with dedicated resource allocation and priority recognition. Shows mature leadership commitment and organizational culture valuing data excellence.
- "Data capabilities are viewed as strategic assets deserving priority investment" Strategic asset approach with priority resource allocation and exceptional commitment. Shows sophisticated leadership understanding and organizational culture committed to data-driven excellence.

Q29 - When implementing data-driven changes, how effectively does leadership manage organizational resistance and change?

What This Question Is Really Measuring: Change management capability and leadership effectiveness in managing organizational resistance to data-driven transformation, which determines implementation success rates.

- "Changes are announced and staff are expected to adapt over time" Top-down approach with limited change management support and expectation-based adaptation. Shows traditional leadership but minimal systematic change management.
- "Leaders provide basic communication about why changes are needed" Communicationfocused approach with explanation but limited support for adaptation. Shows awareness of change management needs but basic implementation capability.
- "Leadership addresses concerns and provides support during transitions" Supportive approach with concern response and transition assistance. Shows developing change management capability and leadership attention to implementation challenges.
- **"Leaders