

9c. Objects Allocating Memory

[Martin Alfaro](#)

PhD in Economics

INTRODUCTION

In [the previous section](#), we introduced the fundamentals of memory allocations, highlighting that objects can be stored on either the heap or the stack. Furthermore, we introduced typical terminology in programming and Julia, where **allocations exclusively refer to those on the heap**. This convention gives rise to the common expression that an object "allocates" when it's stored on the heap.

The distinction isn't merely to economize on words. Rather, it reflects a fundamental reality of software performance: heap allocations are the ones that typically matter. They involve a more complex management process than the stack, which can introduce significant computational overhead.

The intimate relationship between performance and heap allocations is even reflected in Julia's built-in benchmarking tools. Macros like `@time` and `@btime` don't just report the total runtime of an operation, but also provide a detailed account of the heap allocations involved.

Considering this, the current section categorizes objects into those that allocate and those that don't.

NUMBERS, TUPLES, NAMED TUPLES, AND RANGES DON'T ALLOCATE

We start by focusing on objects that don't allocate memory. They include:

- Numbers
- Tuples
- Named Tuples
- Ranges

As these objects don't allocate, neither does creating, accessing, and operating on them. This is demonstrated below.

```
function foo()  
    x = 1; y = 2  
  
    x + y  
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()  
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    tup = (1,2,3)

    tup[1] + tup[2] * tup[3]
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    nt = (a=1, b=2, c=3)

    nt.a + nt.b * nt.c
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    rang = 1:3

    rang[1] + rang[2] * rang[3]
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

ARRAYS AND SLICES DO ALLOCATE MEMORY

Among the most common objects that allocate memory are arrays. This allocation doesn't just happen when we explicitly create an array and assign it to a variable. It also occurs whenever a computation generates a new array as its result. The following example illustrates this point.

```
foo() = [1,2,3]
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
13.714 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

Slicing is another operation that creates arrays and therefore allocates. This is due to the default behavior of slicing, which returns a new copy rather than a view of the original object. The sole exception is when a single element is accessed, in which case no new allocation occurs.

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[1:2]                # ONE allocation, since ranges don't allocate (but 'x[1:2]'
                                itself does)

julia> @btime foo($x)
16.116 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[[1,2]]              # TWO allocations (one for '[1,2]' and another for
                                'x[[1,2]]' itself)

julia> @btime foo($x)
31.759 ns (2 allocations: 160 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[1] * x[2] + x[3]

julia> @btime foo($x)
1.400 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

Array comprehensions and broadcasting are other operations that lead to array creation. Notably, broadcasting triggers memory allocation when intermediate results are generated internally, even if they aren't explicitly returned. This specific case is demonstrated in the tab "Broadcasting 2" below.

```
foo() = [a for a in 1:3]

julia> @btime foo()
13.514 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]
foo(x) = x .* x

julia> @btime foo($x)
15.916 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]
foo(x) = sum(x .* x)           # 1 allocation from temporary vector 'x .* x'

julia> @btime foo($x)
21.242 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```