

9c. Objects Allocating Memory

[Martin Alfaro](#)

PhD in Economics

INTRODUCTION

In [the previous section](#), we introduced the fundamentals of memory allocations, noting that objects can be stored on either the heap or the stack. Furthermore, we introduced typical terminology in programming and Julia, where **allocations exclusively refer to those on the heap**. This convention underlies the common expression that an object "allocates" when it's stored on the heap.

The distinction isn't merely to economize on words. Rather, it reflects a fundamental performance implication: heap allocations are the ones significantly impacting efficiency. They involve a more complex management process than the stack, which can introduce significant computational overhead.

The intimate relationship between performance and heap allocations is even reflected in Julia's built-in benchmarking tools. Macros like `@time` and `@btime` report the total runtime of an operation, along with the heap allocations involved.

Considering the importance of memory allocations on the heap, the current section categorizes objects into those that allocate and those that don't.

NUMBERS, TUPLES, NAMED TUPLES, AND RANGES DON'T ALLOCATE

We start by focusing on objects that don't allocate memory. They include:

- Numbers
- Tuples
- Named Tuples
- Ranges

As these objects don't allocate, neither does their creation, access, or manipulation. This is demonstrated below.

```
function foo()  
    x = 1; y = 2  
  
    x + y  
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()  
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    tup = (1,2,3)

    tup[1] + tup[2] * tup[3]
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    nt = (a=1, b=2, c=3)

    nt.a + nt.b * nt.c
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

```
function foo()
    rang = 1:3

    rang[1] + rang[2] * rang[3]
end
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
0.800 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

ARRAYS AND THEIR SLICES DO ALLOCATE MEMORY

Arrays are among the most common objects allocating memory. Such allocation occurs not only when an array is explicitly created and assigned to a variable. It also occurs whenever a computation produces a new array as its result. The following example illustrates this point.

```
foo() = [1,2,3]
```

```
julia> @btime foo()
13.714 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

[Slicing](#) is another operation that creates arrays and therefore incurs memory allocations. This arises from the default behavior of slicing, which returns a new copy rather than a view of the original object. The sole exception is when a single element is accessed, in which case no new allocation takes place.

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[1:2]           # ONE allocation, since ranges don't allocate (but 'x[1:2]'
                           itself does)

julia> @btime foo($x)
16.116 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[[1,2]]         # TWO allocations (one for '[1,2]' and another for
                           'x[[1,2]]' itself)

julia> @btime foo($x)
31.759 ns (2 allocations: 160 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]

foo(x) = x[1] * x[2] + x[3]

julia> @btime foo($x)
1.400 ns (0 allocations: 0 bytes)
```

Array comprehensions and broadcasting are additional operations that lead to array creation. Notably, broadcasting triggers memory allocation when intermediate results are generated internally, even if they aren't explicitly returned. This behavior is demonstrated in the tab "Broadcasting 2" below.

```
foo() = [a for a in 1:3]

julia> @btime foo()
13.514 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]
foo(x) = x .* x

julia> @btime foo($x)
15.916 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```

```
x      = [1,2,3]
foo(x) = sum(x .* x)      # 1 allocation from temporary vector 'x .* x'

julia> @btime foo($x)
21.242 ns (1 allocation: 80 bytes)
```