## **Analysis of semaphore implementations**

The following implementation is provided at <a href="https://sites.cs.ucsb.edu/~rich/class/cs170/notes/Semaphores/index.html">https://sites.cs.ucsb.edu/~rich/class/cs170/notes/Semaphores/index.html</a>:

void P(sema \*s){

s->value--;

pthread\_mutex\_lock(&s->lock);

```
while (s->value < 0){
    if (s->waiters < -1 * s->value){
      s->waiters++;
      pthread_cond_wait(&s->wait,&s->lock);
      s->waiters--;
    }else{
      break;
  }
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&(s->lock));
  return;
void V(sema *s){
  pthread_mutex_lock(&s->lock);
  s->value++;
  if (s->value <= 0){
   pthread_cond_signal(&s->wait);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&(s->lock));
s->waiters is initialized to 0 and is non-negative because for every decrement
operation there is a preceding increment operation. Thus, for any s->value >= 0
s->waiters < -1 * s->value is false. The following simplified implementation of P
will be considered.
void P(sema *s){
  pthread_mutex_lock(&s->lock);
  s->value--;
  while (s->waiters < -1 * s->value){
    s->waiters++;
    pthread_cond_wait(&s->wait,&s->lock);
    s->waiters--;
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&(s->lock));
Wlog, let s->value == -2 and s->waiters == 2. Thread A calls V, increments s-
>value to -1, and signals with pthread cond signal. Thread A continues running,
calls P, decrements s-value to -2, and is not pushed onto the queue of waiting
threads. Thread B is awakened by the signal from pthread_cond_signal called by
thread A, reacquires mutex, and decrements s->waiters to 1. Because s->value == -2
and s->waiters == 1, thread B does not exit the while loop, increments s->waiters
to 2 and is pushed back onto the queue of waiting threads. Thus, thread A
"received" its own signal, avoided waiting, and the awakened thread B was pushed
back onto the waiting queue of threads.
```

The above behavior reduces the role of the scheduler and can lead to thread starvation. The behavior is avoided by guaranteeing the queuing of each thread that

calls P (sem\_wait) when the value of a semaphore is <= 0 after mutex acquisition in P (sem\_wait), as provided in The Little Book of Semaphores by Allen B. Downey (Version 2.2.1):

```
void sem_wait(Semaphore *semaphore){
 mutex_lock(semaphore->mutex);
  semaphore->value--;
 if (semaphore->value < 0){</pre>
    do{
      cond_wait(semaphore->cond, semaphore->mutex);
    }while (semaphore->wakeups < 1);</pre>
    semaphore->wakeups--;
 mutex_unlock(semaphore->mutex);
void sem_signal(Semaphore *semaphore){
 mutex_lock(semaphore->mutex);
  semaphore->value++;
 if (semaphore->value <= 0){</pre>
    semaphore->wakeups++;
    cond_signal(semaphore->cond);
 mutex_unlock(semaphore->mutex);
```

The do...while loop guarantees the queuing of each thread that calls sem\_wait, when semaphore->value <= 0 after mutex acquisition in sem\_wait. semaphore->wakeups is necessary due to to the specification of pthread, and ensures that the number of signals from cond\_signal equals the number of awakened threads allowed to proceed.