General Goals Hoare Logic & Isabelle Case Study Structured Proofs Concluding Remarks

Proving Correctness of Imperative Programs in Higher Order Logic

Alfio Martini alfio.martini@gmail.com

5th Workshop-School on Theoretical Computer Science Passo Fundo - RS - Brasil

October 9-11, 2019



Contents

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- 4 Structured Proofs
- Concluding Remarks

Outline

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- 4 Structured Proofs
- **6** Concluding Remarks

General Goals

General Goals

- Correctness Proofs of Sequential Imperative Programs in Isabelle/HOL
- Proof Exploration using Scripts
- Readable and Structured Proofs using Isar
- Discussion of Insertion Sort

Outline

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- 4 Structured Proofs
- **6** Concluding Remarks

Hoare Tiples (Partial Correctness Assertions)

$$\{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$$

IF THE PROGRAM c STARTS EXECUTING IN A STATE WHERE THE ASSERTION P IS TRUE, THEN IF c TERMINATES, IT DOES SO IN A STATE WHERE THE ASSERTION Q HOLDS.

Hoare Triple - Power Algorithm

$$\{a = A \land b = B \land B \ge 0\}$$

 $i := 0; p := 1;$ while $i < b$ do $p := p * a; i := i + 1$ od $\{p = A^B\}$

Hoare Proof Rules

Power Algorithm - Proof Draft

```
\begin{array}{ll} w \triangleq \underline{\text{while}} \ i < b \ \underline{\text{do}} \ body \ \underline{\text{od}} \\ init \triangleq i := 0; p := 1 & body \triangleq p := p * a; i := i + 1; \\ Pre \triangleq a = A \land b = B \land B \geq 0 & Pos \triangleq p = A^B \\ bw \triangleq i < b & INV \triangleq p = a^i \land i \leq b \land a = A \land b = B \end{array}
```

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \ \ 2 \\ \hline \vdash \{INV \land bw\} \ body \ \{INV\} \\ \hline \vdash \{INV\} \ w \ \{INV \land \neg bw\} \\ \hline \vdash \{Pre\} \ init \ \{INV\} \\ \hline \vdash \{Pre\} \ init; w \ \{Pos\} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where $\boxed{3}$ corresponds to the proof tree of the judgment $\vdash INV \land \neg bw \rightarrow Pos$.

Hoare Logic in Theorem Provers

Hoare Logic Automation

- Formalization of PL Syntax
- Formalization of PL Semantics
- Formalization of Proof Rules (theorems w.r.t. the PL semantics)
- Computation of Verification Conditions (VC's)
- Proof of VC's using interactive or automatic theorem provers.

Verification Condition Generator (VCG)

REDUCE PROVABILITY IN HOARE LOGIC TO PROVABILITY IN THE SPECIFICATION LANGUAGE.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} vc(\{P\} \ \underline{\textbf{skip}} \ \{Q\}) & = & \{P \to Q\} \\ vc(\{P\} \ x := a \ \{Q\}) & = & \{P \to Q[x/a]\} \\ vc(\{P\} \ c_0; x := a \ \{Q\}) & = & vc(\{P\} \ c_0 \ \{Q[x/a]\}) \\ vc(\{P\} \ c_0; \{D\} \ c_1 \ \{Q\}) & = & vc(\{P\} \ c_0 \ \{D\}) \cup vc(\{D\} \ c_1 \ \{Q\}) \\ & & (c_1 \ \text{not an assignment}) \\ vc(\{P\} \ \underline{\textbf{if}} \ b \ \underline{\textbf{then}} \ c_0 \ \underline{\textbf{else}} \ c_1; \underline{\textbf{fi}} \ \{Q\}) & = & vc(\{P \land b\} \ c_0 \ \{Q\}) \\ & & \cup vc(\{P \land \neg b\} \ c_1 \ \{Q\}) \\ vc(\{P\} \ \underline{\textbf{while}} \ b \ \underline{\textbf{do}} \ \{D\}c \ \{Q\}) & = & vc(\{D \land b\} \ c \ \{D\}) \\ & & \cup \{P \to D\} \cup \{D \land \neg b \to Q\} \\ \end{array}
```

Why Using Verification Condition Generator?

- No knowledge of Hoare logic is required by the person or machine that attempts to prove the generated verification conditions.
- Most systems for the verification of imperative programs are based on VCG's
- JML, KEY TOOL, DAPHNY, HAHA, FRAMA-C, SPARKADA, ETC.
- BOOGIE, WHYML (intermediate languages)

Proof Script

```
lemma imp_pot:
"VARS (a::int) (b::nat) (p::int) (i::nat)
{a=A ∧ b=B}
i := 0; p := 1;
WHILE i < b
    INV { p = a^i ∧ i ≤ b ∧ a=A ∧ b = B}
    D0 p := p * a;i:=i+1 0D
{p = A^B}
apply (vcg)
apply (auto)
done</pre>
```

Proof Scripts - Features

- Imperative language
- List of apply commands that manipulate the proof state.
- Arguments are usually **proof methods** or **proof rules**.
- One has to play the proof in Isabelle in order to understand the sequence of state changes in the proof state.
- Very useful for proof exploration.

Power Algorithm - Verification Conditions

- Invariant is true on the initial state
- Invariant is preserved by the loop
- Invariant establishes the postcondition

Outline

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- 4 Structured Proofs
- **6** Concluding Remarks

Insertion Sort Triple

Function Definitions

```
fun ins::"'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "ins x [] = [x]"
  "ins x (v # vs) =
      (if x < y then (x \# y \# ys) else y\#ins \times ys)"
fun iSort::"('a::linorder) list ⇒ 'a list" where
"iSort [] = []" |
"iSort (x # xs) = ins x (iSort xs)
fun le::"('a::linorder) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool" where
"le x [] = True" [
"le x (y # ys) = (x \le y \land le x ys)"
fun isorted::"('a::linorder) list ⇒ bool" where
"isorted [] = True" |
"isorted (x # xs) = (le x xs ∧ isorted xs)"
fun count:: "'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ int" where
"count x [] = 0" |
"count x (y # ys) =(if x=y then 1 + count x ys else count x ys)"
```

Verification Conditions

Essential Lemmas

```
lemma le_ins: "le x (ins a xs) = (x ≤ a ∧ le x xs)"
lemma le_mon:"x≤y ⇒ le y xs ⇒ le x xs"
lemma ins_sorted: "isorted (ins a xs) = isorted xs"
lemma is_sorted: "isorted(iSort xs)"
lemma ins_count:
    "count x (ins k xs) = (if x = k then 1 + count x xs else count x xs)"
lemma count_sum:"count x (xs @ ys) = count x xs + count x ys"
lemma count_iSort: "length(iSort xs) = length xs"
lemma count_iSort: "count x (iSort xs) = count x xs"
lemma ins len:"length (ins k xs) = 1 + length xs"
```

Proof Script

```
apply (vcg)
apply (auto simp add:is_perm_def) — < 1 >
    apply (simp add: ins_sorted) — < 2 >
    apply (simp add: ins_len) — < 3 >
    apply (smt count.simps(2) count_sum hd_Cons_tl ins_count) — < 4 >
done
```

Outline

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- Structured Proofs
- **6** Concluding Remarks

Isar Proof Language

- Structured Proofs
- Declarative Language
- Atomic and Compound Proofs
 - fix variables
 - assume assumptions
 - **show** proposition
 - have is used to establish intermediate facts
 - show is used to establish current or main goal

Insertion Sort - Isar Draft

```
proof (vcg)
  fix xs ys
  assume ass: "(isorted ys \land is perm X (ys @ xs)) \land xs \neq []"
  show "isorted (ins (hd xs) ys)
        ∧ is perm X ((ins (hd xs) ys) @ tl xs)"
   proof (rule coniI)
      show "isorted (ins (hd xs) ys)" sorry
   next
      have pg1:"length X = length ((ins (hd xs) ys) @ tl xs)"
          sorry
      sorry
      from pg1 pg2 show "is perm X (ins (hd xs) ys @ tl xs)" sorry
  qed
qed (auto simp add:is perm def)
```

Insertion Sort - Isar Proof

```
proof (rule coniI)
   from ass have "isorted ys" by simp
   from this show "isorted (ins (hd xs) ys)" by (simp add:ins sorted)
next
  from ass have 1:"is perm X (ys @ xs)" and 2:"xs ≠ []" by auto
  from 2 have hdtl: "xs = hd xs # tl xs" by simp
  from 1 have 3:"∀ x. count x X = count x (ys @ xs)" by (simp add:is perm def)
  have pg1:"length X = length ((ins (hd xs) ys) @ tl xs)"
     proof -
         from 1 have 4: "length X = length (xs @ ys)" by (simp add:is perm def)
         also have "...= length xs + length ys" by simp
         also have "... = 1 + length vs + length xs - 1" by simp
         also have "... = length (ins (hd xs) ys) + length (tl xs)"
                          by (simp add: "2" ins len)
         also have "... = length ((ins (hd xs) ys) @ tl xs)" by simp
         finally show ?thesis by simp
     ged
```

Outline

- General Goals
- 2 Hoare Logic & Isabelle
- Case Study
- Structured Proofs
- Concluding Remarks

Concluding Remarks

Conclusion

- Isabele provides a flexible set of tools for reasoning with about imperative programs in Hoare Logic.
- Proof exploration based on proof scripts and automation.
- Proof documentation based on structured proofs.
- Structured proofs help to control proof complexity and to convey clear reasoning.
- Final proof text can be presented in several levels. of detail, depending on the target audience and user experience.
- Proofs are often hard.
- Interactive Proving and Counter Model generation are essential.