

# PP2: Syntax Analysis

*Date Due:* **March 7th, 2013 11:00**

## 1 Goal

In this programming project, you will extend your Decaf compiler to handle the syntax analysis phase, the second task of the front-end, by using *bison* to create a parser. The parser will read Decaf source programs and construct a parse tree. If no syntax errors were found, your compiler will print the completed parse tree at the end of parsing. At this stage, you aren't responsible for verifying semantic rules, just the syntactic structure. The purpose of this project is to familiarize you with the tools and give you experience in solving typical difficulties that arise when using them to generate a parser.

There are two challenges to this assignment: the first is all about yacc/bison: taking your LALR knowledge, coming up to speed on how the tools work, and generating a Decaf parser. The second challenge will come in familiarizing yourself with our provided code for building a parse tree. It's just a starting skeleton to get you going, your job will be to fully flesh it out over the course of the semester. Our sense is that in the long run you'll be glad to have had our help, but you'll have to first invest the time to come up to speed on someone else's code, so be prepared for that in this project.

## 2 Syntactical Structure of Decaf

The reference grammar given in the Decaf language handout defines the official grammar specification you must parse. The language supports global variables and functions, classes and interfaces, variables of various types including arrays and objects, arithmetic and boolean expressions, constructs such as if, while, etc. First, read the grammar specification carefully. Although the grammar is fairly large, most of it is not tricky to parse.

In addition to the language constructs already specified, you will also extend the grammar to support C-style post-increment and decrement expressions along with switch statements.

## 3 Starter files

Starting files for this project are available through the csce434 news groups. As always, be sure to read through the files we give you to understand what we have provided. The starting pp2 project contains the following files (the boldface entries are the ones you will definitely modify, you may modify others as needed):

Makefile	builds project
main.cc	main() and some helper functions
scanner.h	declares scanner functions and types
<b>scanner.l</b>	our lex scanner for Decaf
parser.h	declares parser functions and types
<b>parser.y</b>	skeleton of a yacc parser for Decaf
ast.h/.cc	interface/implementation of base AST node class
ast_type.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST type classes
ast_decl.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST declaration classes
ast_expr.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST expression classes
ast_stmt.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST statement classes
errors.h/.cc	error-reporting class to use in your compiler
list.h	simple list template class
location.h	utilities for handling locations, yylloc/yyltype
utility.h/.cc	interface/implementation of various utility functions
samples/	directory of test input files

Copy the entire directory to your home directory. Use *make* to build the project. The makefile provided will produce a parser called *dcc*. It reads input from stdin, writes output to stdout, and writes errors to stderr. You can use standard UNIX file redirection to read from a file and/or save the output to a file:

```
% dcc < samples/program.decaf >& program.outanderr
```

The *scanner.l* file is our lex specification for a Decaf scanner (with integrated comment handling and no preprocessor to keep things clean). Feel free to look through the code to learn how we did things, it's always interesting to see how different people solve the same problem. You can use our scanner or replace it with your own.

## 4 Using bison

- The given *parser.y* input file contains a very incomplete skeleton which you must complete to accept the correct grammar. Your first task is to add the rules for each of the Decaf grammar features. You do not need the actions to build the parse tree yet, it may help to first concentrate on getting the rules written and conflicts resolved before you add actions.
- Running yacc on an incorrect or ambiguous grammar will report shift/reduce errors, useless rules, and reduce/reduce errors. To understand the conflicts being reported, scan the generated *y.output* file that identifies where the difficulties lie. Take care to investigate the underlying conflict and what is needed to resolve it rather than adding precedence rules like mad until the conflict goes away.
- Your parser should accept the grammar as given in the Decaf specification document, but you can rearrange the productions as needed to resolve conflicts. Some conflicts (if-then-else, overlap in function versus prototype) can be resolved in a multitude of ways (re-writing the productions, setting precedence, etc.) and you are free to take

whatever approach appeals to you. All that you need to ensure is that you end up with an equivalent grammar.

- All conflicts and errors should be eliminated, i.e. you should not make use of bison's automatic resolution of conflicts or use *%expect* to mask them. Note: you might see messages in *y.output* like: "Conflict in state X between rule Y and token Z resolved as reduce." This is fine – it just means that your precedence directives were used to resolve a conflict.

## 5 Building the parse tree

- There are several files of support code (the generic list class, and the five ast files with various parse tree node classes). Before you get started on building the parse tree, read through these carefully. The code should be fairly self-explanatory. Each node has the ability to print itself and, where appropriate, manage its parent and lexical location (these will be of use in the later projects). Consider the starting code yours to modify and adapt in any way you like.
- We included limited comments to give an overview of the functionality in our provided classes but if you find that you need to know more details, don't be shy about opening up the *.cc* file and reading through the implementation to figure it out. You can learn a lot by just tracing through the code, but if you can't seem to make sense of it on your own, you can send us email or come to office hours.
- You can add actions to the rule as you go or wait until all rules are debugged and then go back and add actions. The action for each rule will be to construct the section of the parse tree corresponding to the rule reduced for use in later reductions. For example, when reducing a variable declaration, you will combine the Type and Identifier nodes into a VarDecl node, to be gathered in a list of declarations within a class or statement block at a later reduction.
- Be sure you understand how to use symbol locations and attributes in yacc/bison: accessing locations using @n, getting/setting attributes using \$ notation, setting up the attributes union, how attribute types are set, the attribute stack, *yylval* and *yylloc*, so on. There is information on how to do these things (and much more) in the on-line references.
- Keep on your toes when assigning and using results passed from other productions in yacc. If the action of a production forgets to assign \$\$ or inappropriately relies on the default result (\$\$ = \$1), you usually don't get warnings or errors, instead you are rewarded with entertaining runtime nastiness from using an unassigned variable.
- We expect you to match our output on the reference grammar, so be sure to look at our output and make good use of *diff -w*. At the end of parsing, if no syntax errors have been reported, the entire parse tree is printed using an in-order walk. Our parse classes are all configured to properly print themselves in the expected format, so there is

nothing new you need to do here. If you have wired up the tree in the correct way, the printed version should match ours, line for line.

## 6 Beyond the reference grammar

Once you have the full grammar from the Decaf spec operational, you have two creative tasks to finish off your syntax analysis:

1) *Postfix expressions*. Add your own version of the post-increment and decrement expressions:

```
i++;  
if (i == arr[j]--)
```

Both of these are unary operators at the same precedence level as unary minus and logical not. You only need to support the postfix form, not the prefix. An increment or decrement can be applied to any assignable location (i.e. could appear on the left side of an assignment statement). You will need to modify the scanner and parser, and add new parse tree nodes for this new construct.

2) *Switch statements*. Add your own production(s) for parsing a C-style switch statement.

```
switch(num) {  
    case 1: i = 1;  
    case 2: i = 10; break;  
    default: Print("hello");  
}
```

The expression in the switch is allowed to be any expression (as part of later semantic analysis, we could verify that it is of integer type). The case labels must be compile-time integer constants. It is required that there is at least one non-default case statement in any switch statement and if there is a default case it must be listed last. A case contains a sequence of statements, possibly empty. If empty, control just flows through to the next case. You will need to modify the scanner and parser, and add new parse tree nodes for this new construct.

## 7 Testing

In the starting project, there is a *samples* directory containing various input files and matching *.out* files which represent the expected output that you should match. *diff* is your friend here!

Although we've said it before, we'll say it again, *the provided test files do not test every possible case!* Examine the test files and think about what cases aren't covered. Make up lots of test cases of your own. Run your parser on various incorrect files to make sure it finds the errors. What formations look like valid programs but aren't? What sequences

might confuse your processing of expressions or class definitions? How well does your error recovery strategy stand up to abuse?

Remember that syntax analysis is only responsible for verifying that the sequence of tokens form a valid sentence given the definition of the Decaf grammar. Given that our grammar is somewhat “loose”, some apparently nonsensical constructions will parse correctly and, of course, we are not yet doing any of the work for verify semantic validity (type-checking, declare before use, etc.). The following program is valid according to the grammar, but is obviously not semantically valid. It should parse correctly.

```
string binky()
{
    neverdefined b;

    if (1.5 * "Stanford")
        b / 4;
}
```

## 8 Grading

This project is worth 60 points and points will be allocated for correctness. We will run your program through the given test files from the samples directory as well as other tests of our own, using `diff -w` to compare your output to that of our solution.

## 9 Deliverables

Electronically submit your entire project through email. You should submit a `tar.gz` of the project directory (don't forget to remove object files and executables).