

Vector Quantile Regression

Guillaume Carlier (Dauphine)

Victor Chernozhukov (MIT)

Alfred Galichon (ScPo)

Sciences Po,
June 5, 2014

Contents

1	Classical quantile regression, old and new	7
1.1	Reminders and notations	7
1.2	Quantile regression: specified case	9
1.3	Quantile regression: quasi-specified case .	10
2	Vector quantile and Conditional Vector Quantile	12
2.1	Revisiting the notion of quantile	12
2.2	Vector Quantiles	16
2.3	Conditional Vector Quantiles	18

3	Vector Quantile Regression	20
3.1	The LP problems	20
3.2	Connection with classical QR	22
3.3	Computation	24

Introduction

Let Y be a dependent variable (e.g., the random losses of a bank), and X a vector of regressors (e.g. the state of the economy). **Quantile regression** theoretically allows to represent the dependence of Y with respect to X by

$$Y = X^{\top} \beta(U)$$

where, if the model is correctly specified

$$U \sim Unif([0, 1]), \quad U \text{ and } X \text{ independent.}$$

A regression procedure (based on linear programming) allows to estimate β .

This model is very useful and convenient as it allows to represent the full conditional distribution – i.e. every conditional quantiles – of Y given X ; in contrast with OLS Regression which represents only the conditional mean $E[Y|X]$.

In other words, the values of $U \in [0, 1]$ are interpreted as “scenarios” ranging from $u = 1$ (most pessimistic) and $u = 0$ (most optimistic); if one is able to simulate X , $X^\top \beta(u)$ will be the value of losses in scenario u , as predicted by X .

If $F_{Y|X}$ is the conditional cdf of Y given X and $Q_{Y|X} = F_{Y|X}^{-1}$ is the corresponding conditional quantile, then if the model is specified,

$$F_{Y|X=x}^{-1}(u) = x^\top \beta(u).$$

However, Quantile Regression is restrictive in 2 respects:

- Specification of the model requires that U be independent of X – or equivalently, that the conditional quantiles of Y given X be linear with respect to X , which is a very strong assumption. When this is not the case, $u \rightarrow x^\top \beta(u)$ is not necessarily increasing (“quantile crossing problem”), and U such that $Y = X^\top \beta(U)$ is not necessarily defined.

- Y is assumed to be univariate. Obviously there is no natural definition of the quantile in the multivariate case, but it would be of great interest to accomodate for a multivariate dependent variable.

We shall deal with these two concerns. First, let's recall the basics of Quantile Regression.

1 Classical quantile regression, old and new

1.1 Reminders and notations

Quantile: $Q_Y(t) = F_Y^{-1}(t)$

Conditional quantile: $Q_{Y|X=x}(t) = F_{Y|X}^{-1}(t|x)$

Specification of quantile regression:

$$Q_{Y|X=x}(t) = x^\top \beta(t);$$

Throughout, include a constant regressor $x_1 = 1$.

Estimation:

$$\beta(t) \leftarrow \min_{\beta} E \left[(Y - X^{\top} \beta)^+ \right] + (1 - t) \bar{x}^{\top} \beta,$$

where $\bar{x} := E[X]$.

By FOC, $E[X \mathbf{1}\{Y \geq X^{\top} \beta\}] = (1 - t) \bar{x}$; yields $\beta^{QR}(t)$.

Linear programming formulation. Problem

$$\min_{\beta_t} \mathbb{E}[(Y - X^{\top} \beta_t)^+] + (1 - t) \bar{x}^{\top} \beta_t,$$

has a Linear Programming formulation as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{P \geq 0, \beta} \quad & \mathbb{E}[P] + (1 - t) \bar{x}^{\top} \beta_t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Y - X^{\top} \beta \leq P \quad [V_t] \end{aligned}$$

whose dual is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{V_t \geq 0} \quad & \mathbb{E}[V_t Y] \\ & V_t \leq 1 \quad [P] \\ & \mathbb{E}[V_t X] = (1 - t) \bar{x} \quad [\beta_t] \end{aligned}$$

Note (complementary slackness) that $V_t = \mathbf{1}\{Y \geq X^{\top} \beta_t\}$.

1.2 Quantile regression: specified case

Specified case means that $Q_{Y|X=x}(t) = x^\top \beta(t)$. As a result,

$$t \rightarrow x^\top \beta(t)$$

is increasing for all x in the support, and one can invert $x^\top \beta(t)$ in t for fixed x , that is, there exists $t(x, y)$ such that

$$x^\top \beta(t(x, y)) = y.$$

Let $U = t(X, Y)$. Then $Y = X^\top \beta(U)$, and note that $U = t(X, Y) = F_{Y|X}(Y|X)$, thus the distribution of U conditional on $X = x$ is uniform. Hence, $U \sim U([0, 1])$ and (U, X) independent. Therefore QR is specified in an only if one can write

$$Y = X^\top \beta(U)$$

$$U \sim U([0, 1]) \text{ and } (U, X) \text{ independent}$$

1.3 Quantile regression: quasi-specified case

Assume $t \rightarrow x^\top \beta^{QR}(t)$ is increasing and continuous for any x in the support. Then, as before, one can invert $x^\top \beta^{QR}(t)$ in t for fixed x , that is, there exists $t(x, y)$ such that

$$x^\top \beta^{QR}(t(x, y)) = y.$$

Letting $U = t(X, Y)$, one gets $Y = X^\top \beta(U)$. We have no longer $t(x, y) = F_{Y|X}(y|x)$; but we have the FOC satisfied by β^{QR}

$$E \left[X 1 \left\{ Y \geq X^\top \beta^{QR}(t) \right\} \right] = (1 - t) \bar{x}$$

Replacing Y by $X^\top \beta^{QR}(U)$, one has

$$E \left[X 1 \left\{ X^\top \beta^{QR}(U) \geq X^\top \beta^{QR}(t) \right\} \right] = (1 - t) \bar{x}$$

but $1 \left\{ X^\top \beta^{QR}(U) \geq X^\top \beta^{QR}(t) \right\} = 1 \left\{ U \geq t \right\}$, so

$$E \left[X 1 \left\{ U \geq t \right\} \right] = (1 - t) \bar{x}$$

therefore, $U \sim U([0, 1])$ and X mean-independent (MI) from U , that is $E[X|U] = \bar{x}$. Therefore QR is quasi-specified in an only if one can write

$$Y = X^T \beta(U)$$

$$U \sim U([0, 1]) \text{ and } E[X|U] = \bar{x}$$

$$t \rightarrow x^T \beta^{QR}(t) \text{ nondecr.}$$

2 Vector quantile and Conditional Vector Quantile

2.1 Revisiting the notion of quantile

Assume in this section that there is no covariate X . Start with the well known fact that for a real random variable $Y \sim \nu$, F_Y its c.d.f.,

$$U = F_Y(Y)$$

is distributed as μ , where μ is the $\mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ distribution. Hence, the quantile function $Q_Y = F_Y^{-1}$ satisfies the following axioms:

(A1) For any Y , there is a unique $U \sim \mu$ such that

$$Y = Q(U)$$

(A2) Q is monotone (nondecreasing).

By the **rearrangement inequality** (Hardy-Littlewood), for any $\tilde{U} \sim \mu$, one has

$$\mathbb{E} [|U - Y|^2] \leq \mathbb{E} [|\tilde{U} - Y|^2] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} [UY] \geq \mathbb{E} [\tilde{U}Y]$$

Geometrically, this means that U is the projection of Y on the set of random variables with distribution μ ; or that U and Y are maximally correlated among all pairs (\tilde{U}, \tilde{Y}) such that \tilde{U} has the same distribution as U and \tilde{Y} has the same distribution of Y .

Hence the variational problem for the single quantile is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \mathbb{E}[UY] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U \sim \mu \end{aligned}$$

and this is an optimal transportation (Monge-Kantorovich) problem. Its dual can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \int \varphi d\mu + \int \psi d\nu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \varphi(u) + \psi(y) \geq uy \end{aligned}$$

whose minimizers φ and ψ are known to exist, to be convex, and to satisfy

$$\psi(y) = \sup_u \{uy - \varphi(u)\}.$$

By complementary slackness, the solutions (U, Y) to the primal problem, and (φ, ψ) to the dual problem are related by

$$Y = \varphi'(U)$$

that is, $\varphi'(u) = Q_Y(u)$ the quantile function of Y . We see that the quantile function is obtained as (the derivative of) the minimizer of the dual variational problem.

This notion generalizes into a notion of Vector Quantile.

2.2 Vector Quantiles

By analogy with the 1D case, when $d \geq 2$ and $\mu = U([0, 1]^d)$, it is natural to consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbb{E} [\|U - Y\|^2] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U \sim \mu \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbb{E} [U^\top Y] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U \sim \mu \end{aligned}$$

whose dual is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \int \varphi d\mu + \int \psi d\nu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \varphi(u) + \psi(y) \geq u^\top y \end{aligned}$$

whose minimizers φ and ψ are known to exist, to be convex, and to satisfy

$$\psi(y) = \sup_u \{u^\top y - \varphi(u)\}.$$

By complementary slackness, the solutions (U, Y) to the primal problem, and (φ, ψ) to the dual problem are related by

$$Y = \nabla \varphi(U)$$

that is, $\nabla \varphi(u) = Q_Y(u)$ the **vector quantile** of Y .

The Vector Quantile is the only map such that:

(A'1) For any Y , there is a unique $U \sim \mu$ such that

$$Y = Q(U)$$

(A'2) Q is monotone (=gradient of a convex function).

2.3 Conditional Vector Quantiles

It is natural to consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \mathbb{E}[UY] \\
 s.t. \quad & U \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1]) \\
 & (X, Y) \sim \nu \\
 & (X, U) \text{ independent.}
 \end{aligned}$$

However, this program rewrites

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \int \mathbb{E}[UY|X = x] d\nu_X(x) \quad (1) \\
 s.t. \quad & Y|X = x \sim \nu(\cdot|x) \quad \forall x \\
 & U|X = x \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1]) \quad \forall x
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus the solution is

$$U = F_{Y|X}(Y),$$

thus

$$Y = F_{Y|X}^{-1}(U).$$

This notion generalizes into a notion of Conditional Vector Quantile. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \mathbb{E}[U \cdot Y] \\ \text{s.t. } & U \sim \mu \\ & (X, Y) \sim \nu \\ & (X, U) \text{ independent.} \end{aligned}$$

whose dual is

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\varphi, \psi} \int \varphi d\mu + \int \psi d\nu \\ \text{s.t. } & \varphi(x, u) + \psi(x, y) \geq u^\top y \end{aligned}$$

thus by first order conditions in the constraint of the dual, if (U, X, Y) is a solution of the primal and (φ, ψ) is a solution of the dual, then

$$Y = \nabla_u \varphi(X, U)$$

thus $\nabla_u \varphi(x, u) = Q_{Y|X=x}(u)$ is the conditional vector quantile of Y conditional on x and u . Of course, φ has no reason to be linear in u .

3 Vector Quantile Regression

3.1 The LP problems

The previous discussions suggests that if we should hope to have linearity with respect to X , we should look $U \sim \mu$ such that X is *mean-independent* (instead of independent) from U , that is $\mathbb{E}[X|U] = \bar{x}$. Among these choices, it is natural to look for U with maximum correlation with Y . This leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \mathbb{E}[U^\top Y] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U \sim \mu, \quad (X, Y) \sim \nu \\ & \mathbb{E}[X|U] = \bar{x} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which has dual

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{b, \psi} \bar{x}' \int b d\mu + \int \psi d\nu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x^\top b(u) + \psi(x, y) \geq u^\top y \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The constraint in the dual rewrites as

$$\psi(x, y) = \sup_u \{u^\top y - x^\top b(u)\}$$

hence, by first order conditions (under differentiability of b),

$$Y = X^\top \beta^{VQR}(U)$$

where

$$\beta^{VQR}(u) = Db(u).$$

3.2 Connection with classical QR

When $d = 1$, what is the connection with classical QR?

1. Unsurprisingly, when the model is quasi-specified (and hence, when it is correctly specified),

$$\beta^{VQR} = \beta^{QR}.$$

By complementary slackness, $V_t = \mathbf{1}\{Y \geq X^\top \beta(t)\}$.

2. There is much more. Recall the dual formulation of classical Quantile Regression

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{V_t \geq 0} \quad & \mathbb{E}[V_t Y] \\ & V_t \leq 1 \quad [P] \\ & \mathbb{E}[V_t X] = (1 - t) \bar{x} \quad [\beta_t] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, under quasispecification $t \rightarrow x^\top \beta(t)$ is nondecreasing, thus $t \rightarrow V_t$ is nonincreasing. However, $t \rightarrow V_t$

has no reason to be nonincreasing in general. We can thus form the augmented problem, including this constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{V_t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[V_t Y] \\ & V_t \leq 1 \quad [P] \\ & \mathbb{E}[V_t X] = (1 - t) \bar{x} \quad [\beta_t] \\ & V_t \leq V_s, \quad t \geq s \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that this problem is now fully equivalent to VQR, with

$$V_t = \mathbf{1} \{U \geq t\},$$

and the Lagrange multiplier of $\mathbb{E}[V_t X] = (1 - t) \bar{x}$ is β_t^{VQR} .

3.3 Computation

Sample (X_i, Y_i) of size n . Discretize U into m sample points. Let p be the number of regressors. Program is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\pi \geq 0} & Tr(U^T \pi Y) \\ \mathbf{1}_m^T \pi &= \nu^T [\psi^T] \\ \pi X &= \mu \bar{x} [b] \end{aligned}$$

where X is $n \times p$, Y is $n \times d$, ν is $n \times 1$ such that $\nu_i = 1/n$; U is $m \times d$, μ is $m \times 1$; π is $m \times n$.

To run this optimization problem, need to vectorize matrices. Very easy using Kronecker products. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(U^T \pi Y) &= vec(I_d)^T (Y \otimes U)^T vec(\pi) \\ vec(\mathbf{1}_m^T \pi) &= (I_n \otimes \mathbf{1}_m^T) vec(\pi) \\ vec(\pi X) &= (X^T \otimes I_m) vec(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

Program is implemented in Matlab; optimization phase is done using state-of-the-art LP solver (Gurobi).