

033 Activity_Course 2 TikTok project lab

February 16, 2025

1 TikTok Project

Course 2 - Get Started with Python

Welcome to the TikTok Project!

You have just started as a data professional at TikTok.

The team is still in the early stages of the project. You have received notice that TikTok's leadership team has approved the project proposal. To gain clear insights to prepare for a claims classification model, TikTok's provided data must be examined to begin the process of exploratory data analysis (EDA).

A notebook was structured and prepared to help you in this project. Please complete the following questions.

2 Course 2 End-of-course project: Inspect and analyze data

In this activity, you will examine data provided and prepare it for analysis.

The purpose of this project is to investigate and understand the data provided. This activity will:

1. Acquaint you with the data
2. Compile summary information about the data
3. Begin the process of EDA and reveal insights contained in the data
4. Prepare you for more in-depth EDA, hypothesis testing, and statistical analysis

The goal is to construct a dataframe in Python, perform a cursory inspection of the provided dataset, and inform TikTok data team members of your findings. *This activity has three parts:*

Part 1: Understand the situation * How can you best prepare to understand and organize the provided TikTok information?

Part 2: Understand the data

- Create a pandas dataframe for data learning and future exploratory data analysis (EDA) and statistical activities
- Compile summary information about the data to inform next steps

Part 3: Understand the variables

- Use insights from your examination of the summary data to guide deeper investigation into variables

To complete the activity, follow the instructions and answer the questions below. Then, you will use your responses to these questions and the questions included in the Course 2 PACE Strategy Document to create an executive summary.

Be sure to complete this activity before moving on to Course 3. You can assess your work by comparing the results to a completed exemplar after completing the end-of-course project.

3 Identify data types and compile summary information

Throughout these project notebooks, you'll see references to the problem-solving framework PACE. The following notebook components are labeled with the respective PACE stage: Plan, Analyze, Construct, and Execute.

4 PACE stages

- [Plan] (#scrollTo=psz51YkZVwtN&line=3&uniquifier=1)
- [Analyze] (#scrollTo=mA7Mz_SnI8km&line=4&uniquifier=1)
- [Construct] (#scrollTo=Lca9c8XON8lc&line=2&uniquifier=1)
- [Execute] (#scrollTo=401PgchTPr4E&line=2&uniquifier=1)

4.1 PACE: Plan

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document and those below to craft your response:

4.1.1 Task 1. Understand the situation

- How can you best prepare to understand and organize the provided information?

Begin by exploring your dataset and consider reviewing the Data Dictionary.

==> reading the preliminary documentation of the project and map that information into the dataframe that we will build in this phase

4.2 PACE: Analyze

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document to reflect on the Analyze stage.

4.2.1 Task 2a. Imports and data loading

Start by importing the packages that you will need to load and explore the dataset. Make sure to use the following import statements: `* import pandas as pd`

- `import numpy as np`

```
[2]: # Import packages
import pandas as pd
```

```
import numpy as np
```

Then, load the dataset into a dataframe. Creating a dataframe will help you conduct data manipulation, exploratory data analysis (EDA), and statistical activities.

Note: As shown in this cell, the dataset has been automatically loaded in for you. You do not need to download the .csv file, or provide more code, in order to access the dataset and proceed with this lab. Please continue with this activity by completing the following instructions.

```
[3]: # Load dataset into dataframe
data = pd.read_csv("tiktok_dataset.csv")
```

4.2.2 Task 2b. Understand the data - Inspect the data

View and inspect summary information about the dataframe by **coding the following**:

1. `data.head(10)`
2. `data.info()`
3. `data.describe()`

Consider the following questions:

Question 1: When reviewing the first few rows of the dataframe, what do you observe about the data? What does each row represent?

Question 2: When reviewing the `data.info()` output, what do you notice about the different variables? Are there any null values? Are all of the variables numeric? Does anything else stand out?

Question 3: When reviewing the `data.describe()` output, what do you notice about the distributions of each variable? Are there any questionable values? Does it seem that there are outlier values?

```
[4]: # Display and examine the first ten rows of the dataframe
# Display full string values
pd.set_option('display.max_colwidth', None)
data.head(10)
# data2 = data[['video_transcription_text']]
# data2.head(10)
```

```
[4]:
```

	#	claim_status	video_id	video_duration_sec	\
0	1	claim	7017666017	59	
1	2	claim	4014381136	32	
2	3	claim	9859838091	31	
3	4	claim	1866847991	25	
4	5	claim	7105231098	19	
5	6	claim	8972200955	35	
6	7	claim	4958886992	16	
7	8	claim	2270982263	41	
8	9	claim	5235769692	50	
9	10	claim	4660861094	45	

	video_transcription_text \
0	someone shared with me that drone deliveries are already happening and will become common by 2025
1	someone shared with me that there are more microorganisms in one teaspoon of soil than people on the planet
2	someone shared with me that american industrialist andrew carnegie had a net worth of \$475 million usd, worth over \$300 billion usd today
3	someone shared with me that the metro of st. petersburg, with an average depth of hundred meters, is the deepest metro in the world
4	someone shared with me that the number of businesses allowing employees to bring pets to the workplace has grown by 6% worldwide
5	someone shared with me that gross domestic product (gdp) is the best financial indicator of a country's overall trade potential
6	someone shared with me that elvis presley has sold more records than the music band the beatles
7	someone shared with me that the best selling single of all time is "white christmas" by bing crosby
8	someone shared with me that about half of the world's population can access the web via a mobile device
9	someone shared with me that it would take a 50 petabyte drive to store every written work ever created

	verified_status	author_ban_status	video_view_count	video_like_count \
0	not verified	under review	343296.0	19425.0
1	not verified	active	140877.0	77355.0
2	not verified	active	902185.0	97690.0
3	not verified	active	437506.0	239954.0
4	not verified	active	56167.0	34987.0
5	not verified	under review	336647.0	175546.0
6	not verified	active	750345.0	486192.0
7	not verified	active	547532.0	1072.0
8	not verified	active	24819.0	10160.0
9	verified	active	931587.0	171051.0

	video_share_count	video_download_count	video_comment_count
0	241.0	1.0	0.0
1	19034.0	1161.0	684.0
2	2858.0	833.0	329.0
3	34812.0	1234.0	584.0
4	4110.0	547.0	152.0
5	62303.0	4293.0	1857.0
6	193911.0	8616.0	5446.0
7	50.0	22.0	11.0
8	1050.0	53.0	27.0
9	67739.0	4104.0	2540.0

```
[21]: # Get summary info
data.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 19382 entries, 0 to 19381
Data columns (total 12 columns):
#   Column                                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   #                                     19382 non-null  int64
1   claim_status                         19084 non-null  object
2   video_id                             19382 non-null  int64
3   video_duration_sec                   19382 non-null  int64
4   video_transcription_text             19084 non-null  object
5   verified_status                      19382 non-null  object
6   author_ban_status                   19382 non-null  object
7   video_view_count                     19084 non-null  float64
8   video_like_count                     19084 non-null  float64
9   video_share_count                    19084 non-null  float64
10  video_download_count                 19084 non-null  float64
11  video_comment_count                  19084 non-null  float64
dtypes: float64(5), int64(3), object(4)
memory usage: 1.8+ MB
```

```
[22]: # Get summary statistics
data.describe()
```

```
[22]:
```

	#	video_id	video_duration_sec	video_view_count	\
count	19382.000000	1.938200e+04	19382.000000	19084.000000	
mean	9691.500000	5.627454e+09	32.421732	254708.558688	
std	5595.245794	2.536440e+09	16.229967	322893.280814	
min	1.000000	1.234959e+09	5.000000	20.000000	
25%	4846.250000	3.430417e+09	18.000000	4942.500000	
50%	9691.500000	5.618664e+09	32.000000	9954.500000	
75%	14536.750000	7.843960e+09	47.000000	504327.000000	
max	19382.000000	9.999873e+09	60.000000	999817.000000	

	video_like_count	video_share_count	video_download_count	\
count	19084.000000	19084.000000	19084.000000	
mean	84304.636030	16735.248323	1049.429627	
std	133420.546814	32036.174350	2004.299894	
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	
25%	810.750000	115.000000	7.000000	
50%	3403.500000	717.000000	46.000000	
75%	125020.000000	18222.000000	1156.250000	
max	657830.000000	256130.000000	14994.000000	

	video_comment_count
count	19084.000000

mean	349.312146
std	799.638865
min	0.000000
25%	1.000000
50%	9.000000
75%	292.000000
max	9599.000000

Question 1: When reviewing the first few rows of the dataframe, what do you observe about the data? What does each row represent? Each Row represents a claim made on a particular Tik Tok video, it contains metadata information about the video and the text transcription of the video

Question 2: When reviewing the `data.info()` output, what do you notice about the different variables? Are there any null values? Are all of the variables numeric? Does anything else stand out? they are non null variables and mostly numeric either float or integer, except for the string values for `claim_status`, `video_transcription_text`, `verified_status` and `author_ban_status`. It stands out that video ID field may need some manipulation to express and work with it in different notation than float with e

Question 3: When reviewing the `data.describe()` output, what do you notice about the distributions of each variable? Are there any questionable values? Does it seem that there are outlier values? There are two cases where the mean is significantly higher than the median: `video_view_count` and `video_like_count` there are outlier values in this two fields that need attention.

4.2.3 Task 2c. Understand the data - Investigate the variables

In this phase, you will begin to investigate the variables more closely to better understand them.

You know from the project proposal that the ultimate objective is to use machine learning to classify videos as either claims or opinions. A good first step towards understanding the data might therefore be examining the `claim_status` variable. Begin by determining how many videos there are for each different claim status.

```
[23]: # What are the different values for claim status and how many of each are in
      ↪ the data?
value_counts = data['claim_status'].value_counts()
print(value_counts)
```

```
claim      9608
opinion    9476
Name: claim_status, dtype: int64
```

Question: What do you notice about the values shown? It is almost 50/50 between claims and opinions, with claims slightly on top of opinions 9608 vs 9476

Next, examine the engagement trends associated with each different claim status.

Start by using Boolean masking to filter the data according to claim status, then calculate the mean and median view counts for each claim status.

```
[24]: # What is the average view count of videos with "claim" status?
mask = data['claim_status'] == 'claim'
average_view_count = data[mask]['video_view_count'].mean()
median_view_count = data[mask]['video_view_count'].median()
print (average_view_count)
print (median_view_count)
```

```
501029.4527477102
501555.0
```

```
[25]: # What is the average view count of videos with "opinion" status?
mask = data['claim_status'] == 'opinion'
average_view_count = data[mask]['video_view_count'].mean()
median_view_count = data[mask]['video_view_count'].median()
print (average_view_count)
print (median_view_count)
```

```
4956.43224989447
4953.0
```

Question: What do you notice about the mean and media within each claim category? the values are very similar between mean and median for each category

Now, examine trends associated with the ban status of the author.

Use `groupby()` to calculate how many videos there are for each combination of categories of claim status and author ban status.

```
[31]: # Get counts for each group combination of claim status and author ban status
data2 = data.groupby(['claim_status', 'author_ban_status']).size().
        ↪reset_index(name='video_count')
print(data2)
```

	claim_status	author_ban_status	video_count
0	claim	active	6566
1	claim	banned	1439
2	claim	under review	1603
3	opinion	active	8817
4	opinion	banned	196
5	opinion	under review	463

Question: What do you notice about the number of claims videos with banned authors? Why might this relationship occur?

There are many more claim videos with banned authors than there are opinion videos with banned authors. This could mean a number of things, including the possibilities that:

Claim videos are more strictly policed than opinion videos Authors must comply with a stricter set of rules if they post a claim than if they post an opinion

Finally, while you can use this data to draw conclusions about banned/active authors, you cannot draw conclusions about banned videos. There's no way of determining whether a particular video

caused the ban, and banned authors could have posted videos that complied with the terms of service.

Continue investigating engagement levels, now focusing on `author_ban_status`.

Calculate the median video share count of each author ban status.

```
[34]: data3 = data.groupby(['author_ban_status'])['video_share_count'].median()
      print(data3)
```

```
author_ban_status
active          437.0
banned         14468.0
under review    9444.0
Name: video_share_count, dtype: float64
```

```
[4]: data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).agg(
      {'video_view_count': ['mean', 'median'],
       'video_like_count': ['mean', 'median'],
       'video_share_count': ['mean', 'median']})
```

```
[4]:
```

	video_view_count		video_like_count		
	mean	median	mean	median	
author_ban_status					
active	215927.039524	8616.0	71036.533836	2222.0	
banned	445845.439144	448201.0	153017.236697	105573.0	
under review	392204.836399	365245.5	128718.050339	71204.5	

	video_share_count		
	mean	median	
author_ban_status			
active	14111.466164	437.0	
banned	29998.942508	14468.0	
under review	25774.696999	9444.0	

```
[6]: # What's the median video share count of each author ban status?
      data3 = data.groupby(['author_ban_status'])['video_share_count'].
      ↪median(numeric_only=True).reset_index()
      print(data3)
```

```
author_ban_status  video_share_count
0             active             437.0
1             banned          14468.0
2      under review          9444.0
```

```
[7]: # What's the median video share count of each author ban status?

      data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).median(numeric_only=True)[
        ['video_share_count']]
```



```
[7]:
      video_share_count
author_ban_status
active                437.0
banned              14468.0
under review        9444.0
```

Question: What do you notice about the share count of banned authors, compared to that of active authors? Explore this in more depth.

shared count of banned authors is significantly larger than active: 14,468 vs 437
 shared count of banned authors is larger than under review: 9444 vs 437

Banned authors have a median share count that's 33 times the median share count of active authors! This is an interesting behaviour, it seems as if controversial material is shared and commented rapidly by the community, perhaps manifesting disagreement with the video until the claim is processed and the video/author is banned

Use `groupby()` to group the data by `author_ban_status`, then use `agg()` to get the count, mean, and median of each of the following columns: `video_view_count` `video_like_count` `video_share_count`

Remember, the argument for the `agg()` function is a dictionary whose keys are columns. The values for each column are a list of the calculations you want to perform.

```
[38]: aggregated_data = data.groupby('author_ban_status').agg({
      'video_view_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
      'video_like_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
      'video_share_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median']
    })
print(aggregated_data)
```

	video_view_count			video_like_count \	
	count	mean	median	count	
author_ban_status					
active	15383	215927.039524	8616.0	15383	
banned	1635	445845.439144	448201.0	1635	
under review	2066	392204.836399	365245.5	2066	

	video_share_count		\	
	mean	median	count	mean
author_ban_status				
active	71036.533836	2222.0	15383	14111.466164
banned	153017.236697	105573.0	1635	29998.942508
under review	128718.050339	71204.5	2066	25774.696999

	median
author_ban_status	
active	437.0
banned	14468.0

under review 9444.0

```
[8]: data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).agg(
      {'video_view_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
       'video_like_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
       'video_share_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median']}
    )
```

```
[8]:
```

	video_view_count			video_like_count \	
	count	mean	median	count	
author_ban_status					
active	15383	215927.039524	8616.0	15383	
banned	1635	445845.439144	448201.0	1635	
under review	2066	392204.836399	365245.5	2066	

	video_share_count			\	
	mean	median	count	mean	
author_ban_status					
active	71036.533836	2222.0	15383	14111.466164	
banned	153017.236697	105573.0	1635	29998.942508	
under review	128718.050339	71204.5	2066	25774.696999	

	median
author_ban_status	
active	437.0
banned	14468.0
under review	9444.0

Question: What do you notice about the number of views, likes, and shares for banned authors compared to active authors?

Banned authors and those under review get far more views, likes, and shares than active authors. In most groups, the mean is much greater than the median, which indicates that there are some videos with very high engagement counts.

Now, create three new columns to help better understand engagement rates: * `likes_per_view`: represents the number of likes divided by the number of views for each video * `comments_per_view`: represents the number of comments divided by the number of views for each video * `shares_per_view`: represents the number of shares divided by the number of views for each video

```
[12]: # Create a likes_per_view column
      data['likes_per_view'] = data['video_like_count'] / data['video_view_count']

      # Create a comments_per_view column
      data['comments_per_view'] = data['video_comment_count'] / data['video_view_count']

      # Create a shares_per_view column
      data['shares_per_view'] = data['video_share_count'] / data['video_view_count']
```

```
print(data[['likes_per_view', 'comments_per_view', 'shares_per_view']])
```

	likes_per_view	comments_per_view	shares_per_view
0	0.056584	0.000000	0.000000
1	0.549096	0.004855	0.004855
2	0.108282	0.000365	0.000365
3	0.548459	0.001335	0.001335
4	0.622910	0.002706	0.002706
...
19377	NaN	NaN	NaN
19378	NaN	NaN	NaN
19379	NaN	NaN	NaN
19380	NaN	NaN	NaN
19381	NaN	NaN	NaN

[19382 rows x 3 columns]

Use `groupby()` to compile the information in each of the three newly created columns for each combination of categories of claim status and author ban status, then use `agg()` to calculate the count, the mean, and the median of each group.

```
[8]: aggregated_participation = data.groupby(['claim_status', 'author_ban_status']).
    ↪agg({
        'likes_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
        'comments_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
        'shares_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median']
    }).reset_index()
print(aggregated_participation)
```

	claim_status	author_ban_status	likes_per_view	
			count	mean median
0	claim	active	6566	0.329542 0.326538
1	claim	banned	1439	0.345071 0.358909
2	claim	under review	1603	0.327997 0.320867
3	opinion	active	8817	0.219744 0.218330
4	opinion	banned	196	0.206868 0.198483
5	opinion	under review	463	0.226394 0.228051

	comments_per_view			shares_per_view		
	count	mean	median	count	mean	median
0	6566	0.001393	0.000776	6566	0.065456	0.049279
1	1439	0.001377	0.000746	1439	0.067893	0.051606
2	1603	0.001367	0.000789	1603	0.065733	0.049967
3	8817	0.000517	0.000252	8817	0.043729	0.032405
4	196	0.000434	0.000193	196	0.040531	0.030728
5	463	0.000536	0.000293	463	0.044472	0.035027

```
[13]: data.groupby(['claim_status', 'author_ban_status']).agg(
      {'likes_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
       'comments_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
       'shares_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median']})
```

```
[13]:
```

		likes_per_view \		
		count	mean	median
claim_status	author_ban_status			
claim	active	6566	0.329542	0.326538
	banned	1439	0.345071	0.358909
	under review	1603	0.327997	0.320867
opinion	active	8817	0.219744	0.218330
	banned	196	0.206868	0.198483
	under review	463	0.226394	0.228051

		comments_per_view \		
		count	mean	median
claim_status	author_ban_status			
claim	active	6566	0.001393	0.000776
	banned	1439	0.001377	0.000746
	under review	1603	0.001367	0.000789
opinion	active	8817	0.000517	0.000252
	banned	196	0.000434	0.000193
	under review	463	0.000536	0.000293

		shares_per_view		
		count	mean	median
claim_status	author_ban_status			
claim	active	6566	0.065456	0.049279
	banned	1439	0.067893	0.051606
	under review	1603	0.065733	0.049967
opinion	active	8817	0.043729	0.032405
	banned	196	0.040531	0.030728
	under review	463	0.044472	0.035027

Question:

How does the data for claim videos and opinion videos compare or differ? Consider views, comments, likes, and shares.

We know that videos by banned authors and those under review tend to get far more views, likes, and shares than videos by non-banned authors. However, when a video does get viewed, its engagement rate is less related to author ban status and more related to its claim status.

Also, we know that claim videos have a higher view rate than opinion videos, but this tells us that claim videos also have a higher rate of likes on average, so they are more favorably received as well. Furthermore, they receive more engagement via comments and shares than opinion videos.

Note that for claim videos, banned authors have slightly higher likes/view and shares/view rates than active authors or those under review. However, for opinion videos, active authors and those

under review both get higher engagement rates than banned authors in all categories.

Opinion videos trigger more participation (views, comments, likes and shares) than claim videos. if either are banned, then the engagement drops significantly

4.3 PACE: Construct

Note: The Construct stage does not apply to this workflow. The PACE framework can be adapted to fit the specific requirements of any project.

4.4 PACE: Execute

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document and those below to craft your response.

4.4.1 Given your efforts, what can you summarize for Rosie Mae Bradshaw and the TikTok data team?

Note for Learners: Your answer should address TikTok's request for a summary that covers the following points:

- What percentage of the data is comprised of claims and what percentage is comprised of opinions?
- What factors correlate with a video's claim status?
- What factors correlate with a video's engagement level?

What percentage of the data is comprised of claims and what percentage is comprised of opinions?

claims: 49.57 % opinions: 48.89 %

What factors correlate with a video's claim status? Engagement Level (likes comments and shares per view) this needs further investigation

What factors correlate with a video's engagement level? Videos with banned authors have significantly higher engagement than videos with active authors. Videos with authors under review fall between these two categories in terms of engagement levels.

Congratulations! You've completed this lab. However, you may not notice a green check mark next to this item on Coursera's platform. Please continue your progress regardless of the check mark. Just click on the "save" icon at the top of this notebook to ensure your work has been logged.