

# Math 327A: Autumn 2016

## Homework 1

Solutions written by Alex Menendez (1438704)

EXERCISE 1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all the numbers of the form  $p + \sqrt{3}q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are rational numbers:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ p + \sqrt{3}q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

1. Find the additive and multiplicative identities in the forms  $p_0 + \sqrt{3}q_0$  and  $p_1 + \sqrt{3}q_1$ .
2. Given an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , find its additive inverse in the form  $p' + \sqrt{3}q'$ , where  $p'$  and  $q'$  are rational numbers.
3. Given an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , find its multiplicative inverse in the form  $p'' + \sqrt{3}q''$ , where  $p''$  and  $q''$  are rational numbers.

SOLUTION.

1. We will find both the additive and multiplicative identities summarily:

- To find the additive identity, we desire  $p_0 + \sqrt{3}q_0$  so that  $(p + \sqrt{3}q) + (p_0 + \sqrt{3}q_0) = p + \sqrt{3}q$ . Expanding the left hand side, one has

$$(p + p_0) + \sqrt{3}(q + q_0) = p + \sqrt{3}q$$

And so by direct comparison,  $p_0 = q_0 = 0$  so that the additive identity is  $\boxed{0 + 0 \cdot \sqrt{3}}$ .

- To find the multiplicative identity, we desire  $p_1 + \sqrt{3}q_1$  so that  $(p + \sqrt{3}q)(p_1 + \sqrt{3}q_1) = p + \sqrt{3}q$ . As before, expanding the left hand side gives

$$pp_1 + \sqrt{3}q_1p + \sqrt{3}qp_1 + 3qq_1 = pp_1 + \sqrt{3}(q_1p + qp_1) + 3qq_1 = p + \sqrt{3}q$$

Direct comparison reveals that  $p_1 = 1$  and  $q_1 = 0$  so that the multiplicative identity is  $\boxed{1 + 0 \cdot \sqrt{3}}$ .

2. We desire  $p' + \sqrt{3}q'$  so that  $(p + \sqrt{3}q) + (p' + \sqrt{3}q') = 0$ . Given  $p + \sqrt{3}q$ , we may define  $\boxed{p' = -p}$  and  $\boxed{q' = -q}$  so that  $p' + \sqrt{3}q' = (-p) + \sqrt{3}(-q)$  and thus

$$(p + \sqrt{3}q) + ((-p) + \sqrt{3}(-q)) = ((p + (-p)) + \sqrt{3}(q + (-q))) = 0 + 0 \cdot \sqrt{3} = 0$$

This verifies that  $(-p) + \sqrt{3}(-q)$  is the additive inverse for an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and since  $p$  and  $q$  are rational,  $p' = -p$  and  $q' = -q$  are rational.

3. We desire  $p'' + \sqrt{3}q''$  so that  $(p + \sqrt{3}q)(p'' + \sqrt{3}q'') = 1$ . Given  $p + \sqrt{3}q$ , we can first multiply by its conjugate to obtain  $(p + \sqrt{3}q)(p - \sqrt{3}q) = p^2 - 3q^2$ . We want the right hand side to be equal to 1, thus dividing by  $p^2 - 3q^2$  gives

$$(p + \sqrt{3}q) \left( \frac{p - \sqrt{3}q}{p^2 - 3q^2} \right) = (p + \sqrt{3}q) \underbrace{\left( \frac{p}{p^2 - 3q^2} + \sqrt{3} \frac{-q}{p^2 - 3q^2} \right)}_{p'' + \sqrt{3}q''} = 1$$

whence  $\boxed{p'' = \frac{p}{p^2 - 3q^2}}$  and  $\boxed{q'' = \frac{-q}{p^2 - 3q^2}}$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are rational, so too are  $p''$  and  $q''$ .

*continued on page 2...*

EXERCISE 2.

1. Prove, using the definition of the commutative field, that the multiplicative identity is unique.
2. Prove that  $(a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$  for any  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ .
3. Prove that  $(b^{-1})^{-1} = b$  for any  $b \neq 0$ .

SOLUTION.

1. *Proof.* Let  $e$  and  $e'$  be multiplicative identities. It follows, by definition, that  $e = e' \cdot e = e \cdot e' = e'$ . Thus we have exploited the fact that multiplication is commutative to prove necessarily that  $e = e'$  and so the multiplicative identity is unique, as required.  $\square$

2. *Proof.* We know that

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} = 1 \quad (1)$$

which follows from the definition of the multiplicative inverse. More generally, for a field  $\mathcal{F}$ , an element  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  is a multiplicative inverse if  $(a \cdot b) \cdot x = 1$ . Since we know from (1) that  $x = (a \cdot b)^{-1}$ , we should deduce algebraically that  $x = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$ . Knowing  $(a \cdot b) \cdot x = 1$ , we can isolate  $x$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}((a \cdot b) \cdot x) &= a^{-1} \cdot 1 = a^{-1} \\ \implies \underbrace{a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot x}_{\text{Associative rule}} &= (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot (b \cdot x) = a^{-1} \\ \implies 1 \cdot (b \cdot x) &= b \cdot x = a^{-1} \\ \implies b^{-1}(b \cdot x) &= b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \\ \implies (b^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot x &= b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \\ \implies 1 \cdot x = x &= b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, applying the associative rule on the right hand side gives  $x = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$ , as required.  $\square$

3. *Proof.* In a manner similar to that used to prove problem (2), we will begin by making the assumption that  $(b^{-1})^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of  $b^{-1}$  so that

$$(b^{-1}) \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1} = 1 \quad (2)$$

More generally, (2) can be rephrased as  $b^{-1} \cdot x = 1$ , where  $x = (b^{-1})^{-1}$ , by definition. Our aim is to deduce algebraically that  $x = b$ . It follows that

$$b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot x) = b \cdot 1 = b$$

By the associative rule, re-grouping the parentheses on the left hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned} (b \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot x &= b \\ \implies 1 \cdot x = x &= b \end{aligned}$$

And so  $x = (b^{-1})^{-1} = b$ , as required.  $\square$

continued on page 3...

EXERCISE 3. Prove, using axiom 2, that

1. Given real numbers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , if  $a < b$  then  $a + c < b + c$ .
2. For any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $0 < a < b$ , one has that  $b^{-1} < a^{-1}$ .
3. If  $a < b$  and  $c < 0$ , then  $ac > bc$ .

SOLUTION.

1. *Proof.* We will go the route of proving the contrapositive. Assuming that  $a + c$  is not less than  $b + c$ , there are two possibilities:

- If  $a + c = b + c$ , then  $(a + c) - (b + c) = 0$ . By both the distributive and associative rules, the left hand side may be concatenated as  $a + c - b - c = (a - b) + (c - c)$  so that  $(a - b) + (c - c) = 0$ . Since  $c - c = 0$  for any  $c \in \mathcal{F}$ , one has that  $a - b = 0$ , or  $a = b$ .
- Alternatively, if  $a + c > b + c$ , then by the same reasoning it follows that  $(a + c) - (b + c) > 0$ . By the distributive and associative rules, this is equivalent to  $(a - b) + (c - c) > 0$ . Since  $c - c = 0$  for any  $c \in \mathcal{F}$ , it stands that  $a - b > 0$  and so  $a > b$ .

In either case, the assumption that  $a + c$  is not less than  $b + c$  has allowed us to prove that  $a$  is not less than  $b$  and thus the contrapositive is proven. □

2. *Proof.* Beginning with  $0 < a < b$ , we may multiply the inequality through by  $b^{-1}$ , a legal move since  $b > 0$ . Doing so, we get  $0 < a \cdot b^{-1} < b \cdot b^{-1}$ , or

$$0 < a \cdot b^{-1} < 1$$

Multiplying through by  $a^{-1}$ , another legal move given  $a > 0$ , one has  $0 < a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b^{-1} < a^{-1} \cdot 1$ , or

$$0 < b^{-1} < a^{-1}$$

Which is the desired result. □

3. *Proof.* If  $a < b$ , then  $a - b < 0$ . Multiplying both sides of the inequality by  $c$ , one has that  $c \cdot (a - b) < 0$ . Now, we note that  $c < 0$  as prescribed, and  $a - b < 0$ , as we deduced earlier. Since both factors are negative,  $c \cdot (a - b)$  is greater than zero, or  $c \cdot (a - b) > 0$ . By the distributive and associative rules, the left hand side can be rephrased so that  $ac - bc > 0$ , and thus  $ac > bc$ . □