## MATH 340 - Spring 2017

## Homework 6

Solutions written by Alex Menendez (1438704)

## PROBLEMS ASSIGNED:

• §5.1: 3d, 4c, 4d, 9, 17

• §5.2: 2b, 2d, 3a, 3b, 7, 11

## §5.1

3.

(d) (i) We need to solve the equation  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  for  $\lambda$ , in which case we compute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & 0 & -1\\ 4 & 1-\lambda & -4\\ 2 & 0 & -1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Computing the Laplace expansion along the second column (the one with the most zeroes), we obtain

$$-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda^2 - \lambda = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow -\lambda(\lambda - 1)^2 = 0.$$

for which  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ . (ii) We now need to calculate the eigenvectors of A associated to each  $\lambda_i$ . Doing so requires us to determine all vectors  $\vec{x}$  such that  $\vec{x} \in \text{Null}(A - \lambda_i I)$ .

• For  $\lambda = 0$ , we have that  $A - \lambda I = A$ , and so we have that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \Longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$ .

This gives the following system of equations:

$$2x_1 - x_3 = 0$$
$$4x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 = 0$$

The first row gives  $2x_1 = x_3$  for which we have  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_3 = 2$ . Substituting these into the second row gives  $4 + x_2 - 8 = 0$ , for which  $x_2 = 4$ .

Thus,  $\vec{x}_1 \in \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda = 0$ .

• For  $\lambda=1$ , we have that  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector if  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$ . Of course, the rows of A-I are constant multiples of each other, so choosing  $x_1=x_3=1$  and  $x_2=0$  is sufficient. Thus,  $\vec{x}_2 \in \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is an eigenvector

associated to  $\lambda = 1$ 

• For  $\lambda=1$  (again), we need to find a generalized eigenvector, which requires us to solve  $(A-I)\vec{x}_3=\vec{x}_2$ . Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for which we obtain }$   $\vec{x}_3 \in \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  being the other eigenvector associated to  $\lambda=1$ .

(iii) Since  $\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3\}$  is linearly independent and spans  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , we have that a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is the set

spans 
$$\mathbf{R}^3$$
, we have that a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is the set  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . (iv) If we choose  $Q = (\vec{x}_1 \mid \vec{x}_2 \mid \vec{x}_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have that  $Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = D$ , as required.

4.

1

(c) If we examine how T acts on the basis elements of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we see that

$$T(\vec{e}_1) = -4\vec{e}_1 + 6\vec{e}_2 + 6\vec{e}_3$$

$$T(\vec{e}_2) = 3\vec{e}_1 - 7\vec{e}_2 - 6\vec{e}_3$$

$$T(\vec{e}_3) = -6\vec{e}_1 + 12\vec{e}_2 + 11\vec{e}_3,$$

whence we obtain the matrix

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 3 & -6 \\ 6 & -7 & 12 \\ 6 & -6 & 11 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the eigenvalues, we have that  $\lambda_1=2$  and  $\lambda_2=\lambda_3=-1$ . If  $[T]_\beta$  is to be diagonal, then we require that every element off the diagonal is equal to zero. Thus, in particular we have that, for the first column we must solve the system

$$-4a + 3b - 6c = -4$$
  
 $6a - 7b + 12c = 0$   
 $6a - 6b + 11c = 0$ 

which, when solved via direct elimination, gives

$$a = 10$$

$$b = -12$$

$$c = -12$$

Performing the same calculation for rows 2 and 3 of  $[T]_{\beta}$ , we generate

$$a = -21/2$$

$$b = 28$$

$$c = -21$$

and

$$a = -33$$

$$b = 66$$

$$c = 55$$

Thus, the ordered basis for  $\mathbf{R}^3$  we're after is the set  $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -12 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{21}{2} \\ 28 \\ 21 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -33 \\ 66 \\ 55 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Indeed,  $\beta$  forms a basis due to the fact that its elements are linearly

basis due to the fact that its elements are linearly independent and span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We'll show this directly:

• Linear Independence: The system

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -12 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{21}{2} \\ 28 \\ 21 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} -33 \\ 66 \\ 55 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for which A = B = C = 0, and thus the linear combination above can only be satisfied trivially.

• SPANS: We compute  $\operatorname{Span}(\beta) = \begin{cases} \left( \begin{array}{c} 10A - \frac{21}{2}B - 33C \\ -12A + 28B + 66C \\ -12A + 21B + 55C \end{array} \right) & A, B, C \in \mathbf{R} \end{cases}$ , for which we see that, given any  $\vec{u} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ , it holds that  $\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $A, B, C \in \mathbf{R}$ , it follows that  $10A - \frac{21}{2}B - 33C \in \mathbf{R}, -12A + 28B + 66C \in \mathbf{R},$  and  $-12A + 21B + 55C \in \mathbf{R}$ . It's a natural consequence, then, that for any  $\vec{u} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $\vec{u} \in \operatorname{Span}(\beta)$ . So  $\mathbf{R}^3 \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(\beta)$ . Alternatively, any  $\vec{u} \in \operatorname{Span}(\beta)$  is written as a triplet of real numbers, for which we have that  $\vec{u} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ , and so  $\operatorname{Span}(\beta) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Span}(\beta) = \mathbf{R}^3$ , as required.

(d) Applying T to the standard basis elements of  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$ , (that is,  $\beta = \{1, x\}$ ) we have that

$$T(1) = 2x + 2$$

$$T(x) = -6x - 6$$

for which we have that

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -6 \\ 1 & -6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the eigenvalues directly, we have that  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & -6 \\ 1 & -6-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 6 = 0$ , for which  $\lambda_1 = -2 + \sqrt{10}$  and  $\lambda_2 = -2 - \sqrt{10}$ . If T is to be diagonal, then we require a basis of  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$  so that  $[T]_{11} = \lambda_1$ ,  $[T]_{22} = \lambda_2$ , and  $[T]_{ij} = 0$  everywhere else. Applying the exact same methodology as in part (c),

we must solve the following sets of simultaneous equations,

$$S_1: \begin{cases} -6a + 2b &= 0\\ -6a + b &= -2 + \sqrt{10} \end{cases}$$
$$S_2: \begin{cases} -6a + 2b &= -2 - \sqrt{10}\\ -6a + b &= 0 \end{cases}$$

which can easily solved via elimination. Doing so, we have that  $S_1$  is satisfied by  $\left(\frac{2\sqrt{10}-4}{-6}, 2-\sqrt{10}\right)$  and  $S_2$  is satisfied by  $\left(\frac{2+\sqrt{10}}{-6}, -2-\sqrt{10}\right)$ . Thus, we have that a suitable basis is

$$\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{2\sqrt{10} - 4}{-6} \right) x + \left( 2 - \sqrt{10} \right), \left( \frac{2 + \sqrt{10}}{-6} \right) x + \left( -2 - \sqrt{10} \right) \right\}.$$

9.

*Proof.* Let M be an  $n \times n$  upper-triangular matrix, and, without loss of generality, let the diagonal entries of M be denoted  $m_{ii}$ . By definition, computing the eigenvalues of M requires us to solve the equation  $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$ , where I is the identity matrix. The diagonal entries of  $M - \lambda I$  are then given by  $m_{ii} - \lambda$ . Since the determinant of an upper-triangular matrix is simply the product of the elements down its diagonal, it follows that

$$\det(M - \lambda I) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (m_{ii} - \lambda).$$

Thus, if  $det(M - \lambda I) = 0$ , then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (m_{ii} - \lambda) = 0 \Longrightarrow (m_{11} - \lambda)(m_{22} - \lambda) \dots (m_{nn} - \lambda) = 0,$$

which is satisfied if  $\lambda$  is equal to any one of  $m_{11}, m_{22}, \ldots, m_{nn}$  since, if any one of the factors is zero, the entire product is zero. Thus, we must have that  $\lambda_i = m_{ii}$ , as required. QED.

17.

(a) *Proof.* Applying T to the standard basis elements of  $\mathbf{R}^{2\times 2}$ , we have that

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which, when expressed as linear combinations of the standard basis elements of  $\mathbf{R}^{2\times 2}$ , gives the matrix

$$[T] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can swap rows 2 and 3 to obtain the diagonal matrix

$$[\tilde{T}] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which computing the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{[T]}$  requires us to solve

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1-\lambda & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1-\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Of course,  $[\tilde{T}] - \lambda I$  is diagonal, so its determinant is simply the product of its diagonal elements, so we obtain  $-(\lambda-1)^4=0$ , where the sign change was induced through swapping rows. Of course, this equation is only satisfied for  $\lambda=\pm 1$ . **QED.** 

- (b) A is an eigenvector of T if  $T(A) = \lambda A$ , where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue. Since we already know that  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , we have that A is an eigenvector of T if  $T(A) = \pm A$ . Of course, knowing the definition of T yields  $A^{\top} = \pm A$ . If  $A^{\top} = A$ , then A is symmetric. Alternatively, if  $A^{\top} = -A$ , then A is skew-symmetric. Thus, the eigenvectors of T are any  $n \times n$  symmetric or skew-symmetric matrices.
- (c) If we take the set  $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \text{ we have the desired result.}$
- (d) Let  $E^{ij}$  be the matrix with the entry at position  $E_{ij} = 1$ , and 0 otherwise. Taking

$$\beta = \{E_{ii}\} \cup \{E_{ij} + E_{ji}\} \cup \{E_{ij} - E_{ji}\}$$

as a basis for  $T(A) = A^{\top}$  is sufficient.

 $\S 5.2$ 

2.

- (b) We compute the eigenvalues of A so as to obtain  $\det\begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 3 \\ 3 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda^2 2\lambda 8 = 0, \text{ for which } \lambda_1 = 4 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = -2. \text{ Thus, } A \text{ has 2 distinct eigenvalues, and thus it is diagonalizable. Now, our task is dredging up a matrix <math>S$  such that  $S^{-1}AS = D$ . We'll first compute the eigenvectors associated to each eigenvalue:
  - For  $\lambda = 4$ , we solve  $\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The rows of the coefficient matrix are scalar multiples of one another (they differ by a sign change), so we can choose  $x_1 = x_2$  for which we have that  $\vec{x}_1 \in \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is an eigenvector.

• For  $\lambda = -2$ , we solve  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The rows of the coefficient matrix are identical, so we can choose  $x_1 = -x_2$  for which we have that  $\vec{x}_2 \in \text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  is an eigenvector.

Thus, we've found that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  are eigenvectors of A, so we'll let  $S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We see that S is invertible since  $\det(S) = -2 \neq 0$ , and  $S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ . It remains for us to check:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}}_{D},$$

as required.

(d) As is typical, we'll begin by computing the eigenvalues of A, thus solving

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 7 - \lambda & -4 & 0 \\ 8 & -5 - \lambda & 0 \\ 6 & -6 & 3 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Expanding along the 3<sup>rd</sup> column, we have

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (3 - \lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 7 - \lambda & -4 \\ 8 & -5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (3 - \lambda)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 3) = 0.$$

This is only satisfied if  $\lambda = 3$  or  $\lambda = -1$ . Since  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{3\times3}$  and has three *non-distinct* eigenvalues (i.e.  $\lambda = 3$  has multiplicity 2), it's not diagonalizable.

3.

(a) Applying T to the elements of the standard basis  $\beta = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ , we have

$$T(1) = 0$$

$$T(x) = 1$$

$$T(x^{2}) = 2x + 2$$

$$T(x^{3}) = 3x^{2} + 6x$$

for which the matrix [T] is given by

$$[T] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the eigenvalues yields the characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^4=0$ , for which the only root is  $\lambda=0$  (with multiplicity 4). Now, we have to solve T(f(x))=0, for which it follows, by the definition of T, that f'(x)+f''(x)=0. This can easily be done using basic techniques to solve second-order, linear, homogeneous ODEs; doing so, we obtain

 $f(x) = A + Be^{-x}$ , for some real constants A and B. So  $Null(T - \lambda I) = Null(T)$  consists of only exponentials of the form given in the previous step, and so  $\{1, e^{-x}\}$ is a basis for  $E_{\lambda}$ , so dim $(E_{\lambda}) = 2$ . As a consequence, we can't write a general element of  $P_3(\mathbf{R})$  as a linear combination of the basis elements 1 and  $e^{-x}$ , so T isn't diagonalizable.

(b) We have that

$$T(1) = x^{2}$$

$$T(x) = x$$

$$T(x^{2}) = 1,$$

so as to obtain the matrix

$$[T] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing the eigenvalues yields the characteristic polynomial  $(1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 1) = 0$ , for which  $\lambda = \pm 1$  is a root. Now, it remains to examine  $T(f(x)) = \pm f(x) \Longrightarrow cx^2 + bx + a = \pm (ax^2 + bx + c).$ This gives rise to two cases: if  $cx^2 + bx + a = ax^2 + bx + c$ , then by direct comparison, we see that c = a is necessary. Alternatively, if  $cx^2 + bx + a = -ax^2 - bx - c$ , then c = -a and b = -bare necessary. Of course, the only real value of b that satisfies b = -b is b = 0, so we gather that

- The eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue 1 are the quadratic polynomials  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + a = a(x^2 + 1) + bx.$
- The eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue -1are the quadratic polynomials  $f(x) = ax^2 - a = a(x^2 - 1).$

Thus, a candidate for our new basis would be  $\bar{\beta} = \{a(x^2 + 1) + bx, a(x^2 - 1)\}.$  We see that, since the sum

$$A_1 (a(x^2 + 1) + bx) + A_2 (a(x^2 - 1)) = 0$$

is satisfied if and only if  $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ , we have linear independence. Secondly,  $\operatorname{Span}(\bar{\beta}) \subseteq P_2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $P_2(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(\bar{\beta})$ , so  $\bar{\beta}$  spans, and thus  $\bar{\beta}$  forms a basis for  $P_2(\mathbf{R})$  such that [T] is diagonal.

7. If A is diagonalizable, then there exists S such that  $S^{-1}AS = D$ , where the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues of A are the columns of S and D is the matrix with A's eigenvalues down the diagonal and 0 everywhere off the diagonal. Of course, if  $S^{-1}AS = D$ , then solving for A, we have that  $A = SDS^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$A^{n} = (SDS^{-1})^{n} = \underbrace{(SDS^{-1})(SDS^{-1})\dots(SDS^{-1})}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

But  $S^{-1}S = I$ , so this yields

$$A^n = SD^n S^{-1}.$$

Thus, it suffices to determine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A in order to compute  $A^n$ . Computing the eigenvalues of A, we have that  $\lambda_1 = 5$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$  for which the eigenvectors are  $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , respectively. Thus, we have that  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus,

$$A^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5^n + 2(-1)^n}{3} & \frac{2(5^n)}{3} \\ \frac{5^n - (-1)^n}{3} & \frac{2(5^n) + (-1)^n}{3} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

where  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ .

11.

(a) Proof. If A and T are similar, then we have that, via a previous exercise, that Tr(A) = Tr(T). Since A and T are related by the equation  $A = S^{-1}TS$ , for some change of coordinate matrix S, and since A has distinct eigenvalues, T is a diagonal matrix and has the eigenvalues of A down its diagonal. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T) = \underbrace{(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_1)}_{m_1 \text{ terms}} + \underbrace{(\lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_2)}_{m_2 \text{ terms}}$$
$$+ \ldots + \underbrace{(\lambda_k + \ldots + \lambda_k)}_{m_k \text{ terms}}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k} m_i \lambda_i.$$

Since Tr(T) = Tr(A), the result follows. QED.

(b) *Proof.* By a previous exercise, since A and T are similar, det(A) = det(T). Since, as described in part (a), T has the eigenvalues of A down its diagonal, and T is upper-triangular, we have that  $\det(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n T_{ii}$ .  $\det(T) = \underbrace{(\lambda_1 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_1)}_{m_1 \text{ factors}} \underbrace{(\lambda_2 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_2)}_{m_2 \text{ factors}} \dots \underbrace{(\lambda_k \lambda_k \dots \lambda_k)}_{m_k \text{ factors}} = \lambda_1^{m_1} \lambda_2^{m_2} \dots \lambda_k^{m_k}. \text{ And, since } \det(T) = \det(A), \text{ the}$ 

result follows.