

Table 1: Indices used for staging reproductive condition and a description of the criteria for classifying mature and immature condition

Organ	Index	Description	Maturity assumption
Female Uterus	U = 1	Uniformly thin tubular structure	Immature
	U = 2	Thin, tubular structure, partly enlarged posteriorly	Immature
	U = 3	Uniformly enlarged tubular structure	Mature
	U = 4	In utero eggs present without macroscopically visible embryos present	Mature
	U = 5	In utero embryos macroscopically visible	Mature
	U = 6	Enlarged tubular structure distended	Mature
Male Clasper	C = 1	Pliable with no calcification	Immature
	C = 2	Partly calcified	Immature
	C = 3	Rigid and fully calcified	Mature

Table 2: Estimated life history parameters and standard errors for *C. limbatus* from the present study compared with those of *C. tilstoni* from previous studies in Queensland (Harry *et al.* 2013) and the Northern Territory (Stevens and Wiley 1986; Davenport and Stevens 1988) * approximate values not statistically derived

Process	Parameter	Description	<i>C. limbatus</i>			<i>C. tilstoni</i> (QLD)			<i>C. tilstoni</i> (NT)		
			Female	Both	Male	Female	Both	Male	Female	Both	Male
Growth		Model type	Von Bertalanffy			Logistic			Von Bertalanffy		
	L_{∞}	Asymptotic length (cm)	263.3 (6.4)			241.9 (3.6)			147.8		
	K	Growth coefficient (yr^{-1})	0.1418 (0.012)			0.1565 (0.0088)			181.4		
	L_0	Length at birth (cm)	72.77 (0.3)						0.19		
Weight	CV_L	CV length at age	0.0487 (0.0024)						59.68		
	$\log(\beta_1)$	Weight length coefficient	-12.34 (0.082)						-12.26		
	β_2	Weight length exponent	3.061 (0.017)						3.06		
	σ_W	Variance	0.1363			0.09209					
Maturity	L_{50}	50 % maturity (cm)	200.2 (1.5)			119.9			120*		
	L_{95}	95 % maturity (cm)	216.2 (3)			128			130*		
	A_{50}	50 % maturity (yrs)	8.334 (0.26)			5.215			4*		
	A_{95}	95 % maturity (yrs)	9.738 (0.66)			6.98			5*		
	L_{50}'	50 % maternity (cm)							130*		
	L_{95}'	95 % maternity (cm)							140*		
	A_{50}'	50 % maternity (yrs)							5*		
	A_{95}'	95 % maternity (yrs)							6*		
Fecundity	β_5	Intercept / Mean	6.6 (2.7)						3		
	β_6	Slope							-5.408		
	P_{Max}	Annual prop. pregnant	0.33 - 0.5			0.05725			1		
	R	Sex ratio	1:1			0.833 - 1			1:1		
									1:0.924		

Table 3: Details of five pregnant female *C. limbatus* captured from northern New South Wales waters

Date	Maternal TL (cm)	No. embryos	Mean embryo TL (cm)	Comments
11 April 2010	217	7	44	3M 4F
21 April 2010	202	2	32	1M and 1 undeveloped egg
21 April 2010	246	8	48	2M 6F
21 April 2010	228	7	42	2M 5F
28 June 2009	264	9	55	4M 5F

Table 4: Comparative demographic analysis of *C. limbatus* and *C. tilstoni*. Λ is the intrinsic rate of population decrease with age (gross productivity), M is the instantaneous rate of natural mortality, r is the intrinsic rate of population increase with time (net productivity), r_0 is lifetime female reproductive output of female offspring, μ and σ^2 are the mean and variance of ages in the population in numbers, N , and biomass, B . Values presented are the mean and standard errors derived from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations.

	Sex	$\Lambda(yr^{-1})$	$M(yr^{-1})$	$r(yr^{-1})$	r_0	μ_N	σ_N^2	μ_B	σ_B^2
<i>C. tilstoni</i> (NT)	Female	-0.31 (0.022)	0.1 (0.021)	0.2 (0.03)	8.5 (3.3)	3.3 (0.24)	11 (1.5)	6 (0.41)	18 (2.2)
	Male	-0.33 (0.04)	0.13 (0.027)			3 (0.37)	9.4 (2.3)	5.2 (0.58)	14 (3)
<i>C. tilstoni</i> (QLD)	Female	-0.26 (0.012)	0.09 (0.018)	0.17 (0.022)	12 (4.9)	3.9 (0.18)	15 (1.4)	7.4 (0.41)	26 (2)
	Male	-0.29 (0.034)	0.12 (0.025)			3.5 (0.42)	12 (3.1)	6.1 (0.66)	19 (3.7)
<i>C. limbatus</i>	Female	-0.19 (0.011)	0.074 (0.015)	0.11 (0.019)	9.2 (4.1)	5.4 (0.33)	29 (3.6)	10 (0.58)	46 (4.8)
	Male	-0.19 (0.025)	0.081 (0.016)			5.3 (0.72)	28 (8.1)	9.5 (1.1)	42 (10)